From: Kim White
To: Galland, Kevin
Cc: Diane Pflugfelder; Lori Buckelew; 1VicePresident@njclerks.org; Denise Cafone (dcafone@Fairfieldnj.org); clerk@alexandrianj.gov; Joel Popkin (joelpopkin@optonline.net); tprol@sillscummis.com
Subject: Re: We don't need another study of OPRA, says Senate Majority Leader Loretta Weinberg
Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 6:45:23 PM

Very interesting! Hmmmmm

Kim
Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 1, 2020, at 3:21 PM, Galland, Kevin <kgalland@wpnj.us> wrote:

It's amazing that the Senator would release this piece at this time of craziness, especially in The Atlantic City Press when nobody is in AC.

From: Diane Pflugfelder <clerk@libertytownship.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 2:52 PM
To: Lori Buckelew; 1VicePresident@njclerks.org; Kim Marie White (kimwhite203@gmail.com); Denise Cafone (dcafone@Fairfieldnj.org); 'clerk@alexandrianj.gov'; Galland, Kevin; Joel Popkin (joelpopkin@optonline.net); tprol@sillscummis.com
Subject: RE: We don't need another study of OPRA, says Senate Majority Leader Loretta Weinberg

Thank you for sharing.

First – glad to know that Lori

is healthy and continuing to

monitor the show.

Couldn't do it without you.

Thank you Lori

Diane M Pflugfelder RMC, MMC

Municipal Clerk/Administrator

Township of Liberty

349 Mountain Lake Road

Great Meadows, N.J. 07838

Telephone: 908.637.4579

Fax: 908.637.6916

<image005.png>

PRIVACY NOTICE:

This e-mail, including attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or proprietary information, and may be used only by the person or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or his or her authorized agent, the reader is hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by replying to this message and delete this e-mail immediately.

WARNING:

E-mail received by or sent to Township Officials is subject to the Open Public Records Act (OPRA). This means that absent some specific privilege, all such communications are considered a public record and are subject to publication and/or dissemination to the public upon request.

From: Lori Buckelew [mailto:lbuckelew@njlm.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2020 2:29 PM
To: Diane Pflugfelder; 1VicePresident@njclerks.org; Kim Marie White (kimwhite203@gmail.com); Denise Cafone (dcafone@Fairfieldnj.org); 'clerk@alexandrianj.gov'; Kevin Galland (kgalland@wpnj.us); Joel Popkin (joelpopkin@optonline.net); tprol@sillscummis.com
Subject: We don't need another study of OPRA, says Senate Majority Leader Loretta Weinberg

Hope you and your family are doing well.

Below is an Op-Ed Senator Weinberg wrote for the Atlantic City Press.

We don’t need another study of OPRA, says Senate Majority Leader Loretta Weinberg

- Sen. Loretta Weinberg For The Press
- 10 hrs ago

A March 1 story reported on the potential reform to the Open Public Records Act (OPRA), the law that provides for public access to government records. In it, several municipal clerks and a representative of the League of Municipalities called on the Legislature to create a commission to study OPRA.

What the article did not mention was that the Legislature has undertaken serious efforts to reform OPRA over recent years. The concerns raised by individuals quoted in the article have animated the discussions I and others have been having for years. Their concerns are the focus of my bill (S380), which reforms OPRA.

Indeed, in the testimony submitted to the Legislature, neither the League of Municipalities nor the Municipal Clerks Association focused on the issues raised in the article: burdensome commercial requests; the privacy concerns of residents; and increasing costs to taxpayers.

Rather, in a public letter to the New Jersey Senate dated June 20, 2019, the League of Municipalities laid out its two main arguments against S380.

First, S380 does not abolish “attorney fee shifting” in the law. They are right, and the reason being that taking it out would be a disaster for transparency in this state.

Under current law, when a party wins an OPRA lawsuit against a government body, the government body is obligated to pay that party’s attorney’s fees.

This is crucial because no private citizen, and even most news organizations, would be able to afford constant legal challenges to their requests. And there is no avenue for the Attorney General’s Office to pursue OPRA violations.

Second, S380 does not put the same requirements on the Legislature as it does other public bodies. This is a feature of the current law, and it is unlikely that a majority of the Legislature would vote to put these obligations on their offices. Bodies of 40 and 80 need different rules from official bodies of five, seven or nine. Also, unlike in many towns, our subcommittee meetings are open to the public and available for viewing online in real time.

Again, you will notice that high cost, burdensome commercial requests were not mentioned in this letter. Why? The answer is that S380, being the product of years of stakeholder meetings, addresses this concern.

Per recommendations received from the League of Municipalities and Municipal Clerks Association, S380 offers records custodians several avenues of relief when it comes to voluminous and costly commercial requests. Unlike current law, S380 allows records custodians to direct requesters to view records online if the records are so available.

The bill appropriates some state monies to set up a centralized open data website on which records custodians could post their records cost-free to the municipality. And once online a records custodian might never have to produce a physical copy of that record again.

S380 also creates a "special administrative charge" for commercial entities which would cover the ongoing operational expenses of producing a record. Commercial entities would then pay the high costs referenced in the article, not the taxpayers.

Finally, S380 would allow municipal governments to seek protective orders from the courts in the case of "harassing" records requests. There does need to be some recourse when the nature and volume of requests is more than a custodian could reasonably handle. Current law offers no such recourse, but S380 does.

What would be accomplished by a new study commission that has not already been accomplished by years of negotiations other than kicking the can down the road? The removal of fee shifting under OPRA would be the death of government transparency in New Jersey. All of us who have worked on OPRA reform — the League included — know the progress that's been made.

The burden being borne today by municipal clerks and other records custodians is real and concerning: There is no reason to let the League of Municipalities dictate terms to the rest of us when well-considered solutions and compromises are at hand.

As has been the case for several years, I will continue to work to improve OPRA for requesters and custodians alike.

And as has been true the entire time I have worked on this issue, I welcome the input of the Municipal Clerks Association, the League of Municipalities, as well as individual clerks and local elected officials.

State Senate Majority Leader Loretta Weinberg, D-Bergen, is the sponsor of S380, the OPRA reform bill.

https://www.pressofatlanticcity.com/opinion/commentary/we-don-t-need-another-study-of-opra-says-senate-majority-leader-loretta-weinberg/article_3a4c2c44-8237-569a-8d35-be83fb232eb7.html

See the League COVID-19 page for all our resources and communications.

Lori Buckelew | Senior Legislative Analyst

New Jersey State League of Municipalities
222 West State Street, Trenton, NJ 08608
609-695-3481 extension #112

<image006.png>
<image007.jpg>
<image008.png>
<image009.jpg>

NJLM is subject to the Open Public Records Act; any email sent or received may be subject to a records request.

DISCLAIMER: Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifies and with authority, states them to be the views of the managing agency. This e-mail and any attachments to it may contain confidential information and may be legally privileged.. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not review, transmit, convert to hard copy, copy, use or disseminate this e-mail or any attachment to it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the originator by return e-mail and delete this message. Please note that if this e-mail contains a forwarded message or is a reply to a prior message, some or all of the contents of this message or any attachments may not have been produced by this office. Although the managing agency attempts to sweep e-mails and attachments for viruses, it does not guarantee that either are virus free and accepts no liability for any damage sustained as a result of viruses. This message is automatically appended to each e-mail leaving the managing agency's e-mail system.