

Section/Unit Administration
completing report
Section IV. 15

County Bergen
Year 2018

BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

ACTIVITY	YEAR	Total Operating Budget (excluding Grants)	Total Grants Funding
1. TOTAL actual expenditures, prior report year (include all County, State and Federal funding)	2017	29,137,038.00	410,982.00
a. Salaries and Wages		27,695,522.00	410,982.00
b. Other Expenses		1,441,516.00	
2. TOTAL Budgeted Appropriations, current report year (include all County, State and Federal funding)	2018	31,202,957.00	347,291.00
a. Salaries and Wages		29,728,082.00	347,291.00
b. Other Expenses		1,474,875.00	

Rev. 2007

Section IV. 15.

BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

This table requests information on actual and budgeted expenditures. It should include all expenditures or appropriations, regardless of the source of funding (i.e., County, State and Federal funds).

In 2003, a column was added to allow a separate reporting of grants funding - regardless of source (County, State or Federal). The total grants funding for each category for the corresponding year should be entered here. This number should not be included in the first column, Total Operating Budget.

1. TOTAL actual expenditures, prior reporting year.

Fill in the year for which the expenditures are being reported. Actual expenditures are reported for the year prior to the current annual report year. For example, if you are filing the 2004 Prosecutor's Annual Report, this form should show 2003 actual expenditures.

Complete the total actual expenditures. The total should be the sum of 1a. and 1b.

a. Salaries and Wages: Report the actual expenditures on salaries and wages.

b. Other Expenses: Report the actual expenditures on other expenses.

2. TOTAL Budgeted Appropriations, current reporting year.

Fill in the year for which the appropriations are being reported. Budgeted appropriations are reported for the current annual report year. For example, if you are filing the 2004 Prosecutor's Annual Report, this form should show 2004 total budgeted appropriations.

Complete the total budgeted appropriations. The total should be the sum of 2a. and 2b.

a. Salaries and Wages: Report the budgeted appropriations for salaries and wages.

b. Other Expenses: Report the budgeted appropriations for other expenses.

Section/Unit Appellate
 completing report
 Section IV. 8.a.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

Appellate Division and Other Appellate Courts

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS/OUTCOMES	Appellate Division Appeals						NJ Supreme Court Appeals	U.S. and Other Court Appeals (specify court)	TOTAL
	Criminal referral cases	Other criminal appeals	Criminal Interlocutory	Juvenile	Law Division (de novo)	Civil			
1. Appeals pending at beginning of theyear	97	4	1	1	20	2	6	3	134
2. Notices of appeal received/filed	105	15	0	3	4	8	2	2	139
3. Appellate motions, motion responses filed	9	0	1	0	0	8	26	3	47
4. Appellate briefs filed	47	18	1	1	15	3	5	0	80
5. STATE Appeals and - TOTAL DISPOSED Cross Appeals	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	7
a. Conviction or order affirmed	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
b. Conviction or order reversed	0.000	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
c. Remanded or judgment modified	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
d. Withdrawn or dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. DEFENSE Appeals - TOTAL DISPOSED	84	11	0	3	8	5	1	2	114
a. Conviction or order affirmed	61	7	0	3	2	5	0	2	80
b. Conviction or order reversed	13	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	16
c. Remanded or judgment modified	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
d. Withdrawn or dismissed	8	4	0	0	3		1	0	16
7. Appeals pending at the end of the year	118	4	1	1	16	5	4	3	152

Section IV. 8.a.

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS
Appellate Division and Other Appellate Courts

This chart concerns appeals to the Appellate Division and other appellate courts. Do not include appellate matters handled by the Division of Criminal Justice.

1. Appeals pending at the beginning of the year.

Appellate Division Appeals--report the total number of Appellate Division appeals pending at the beginning of the reporting year for each of the categories indicated below.

- Criminal referral cases--criminal appeals referred from the Division of Criminal Justice to the county prosecutor's office and to be heard in the Appellate Division.
- Other criminal appeals--criminal appeals as of right, other than those referred from DCJ, to be heard in the Appellate Division, including state adult criminal appeals, criminal cross appeals, and sentence appeals.
- Criminal interlocutory appeals--appeals to the Appellate Division involving interlocutory orders.
- Juvenile--appeals from the family court involving juvenile defendants, including waiver motions and transfer orders.
- Civil--appeals to the Appellate Division involving any civil matters, e.g., expungements, forfeiture matters, extradition orders.
- Law Division (de novo)-appeals to the Appellate Division from trials de novo in the Law Division.

N.J. Supreme Court Appeals--report the total number of N.J. Supreme Court appeals pending at the beginning of the reporting year.

U.S. and Other Court Appeals--includes appeals to the 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals, U.S. Supreme Court and courts within other states. Specify both the court and the number of appeals pending.

Section IV. 8.a. Appellate Workload and Dispositions, Appellate Division and Other Appellate Courts (cont'd)

2. Notices of appeals received/filed.

Report the total number of notices of appeal received or filed during the reporting year for each of the categories defined above.

3. Appellate motions, motion responses filed.

Includes petitions for re-hearing and certification responses.

4. Appellate briefs filed.

Report the total number of appellate briefs filed during the reporting year. Include plenary briefs, state petitions for certification, supplemental briefs and amicus briefs.

5. State Appeals and Cross Appeals--Total Disposed.

Report the total number of state appeals and cross appeals disposed during the report year for each appeal category defined in item 1.

Provide the number of state appeals disposed by each of the following dispositions or outcomes.

- a. Conviction or order affirmed.
- b. Conviction or order reversed.
- c. Remanded or judgment modified.
- d. Appeal withdrawn or dismissed.

6. Defense Appeals--Total Disposed.

Report the total number of defense appeals disposed during the report year for each appeal category defined in item 1.

Provide the number of defense appeals disposed by each of the following dispositions or outcomes.

- a. Conviction or order affirmed.
- b. Conviction or order reversed.
- c. Remanded or judgment modified.
- d. Appeal withdrawn or dismissed.

7. Appeals pending at the end of the year.

Provide the total number of appeals pending (not disposed) at the end of the reporting year for each category defined in item 1.

Section/Unit Appellate
 completing report
 Section IV, 8.b.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS **Law Division**

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS/OUTCOMES	MUNICIPAL COURT APPEALS -- BY TYPE OF VIOLATION					TOTAL
	Criminal	Disorderly Persons	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Ordinances	Other	
1. Appeals pending at beginning of year	0	6	14	0	0	20
2. Notices of appeal received/filled	0	28	40	0	0	68
3. Appellate motions, motion responses filed	0	11	1	0	0	12
4. Appellate briefs filed	0	12	20	0	0	32
5. TOTAL APPEALS DISPOSED	0	19	31	0	0	50
a. Conviction or order affirmed	0	11	18	0	0	29
b. Conviction or order reversed	0	3	6	0	0	9
c. Remanded or judgment modified	0	5	6	0	0	11
d. Withdrawn or dismissed	0	0	1	0	0	1
6. Appeals pending at the end of the year	0	15	23	0	0	38

Section IV. 8.b.

APPELLATE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS
Law Division

This chart concerns only appeals to the Law Division. Record only one entry per incident. Should the appeal involve more than one type of violation, record workload and disposition information in that category which represents the most serious violation charged. Violations ordered for entry are:

- (1) Criminal
- (2) Disorderly persons
- (3) Motor vehicle
- (4) Municipal ordinances
- (5) Other

1. Appeals pending at the beginning of the year.

Provide the total number of Law Division appeals pending at the beginning of the reporting year for each of the indicated categories.

- ☐ Criminal - appeals from the municipal court involving criminal offenses.
- ☐ Disorderly Persons - appeals from the municipal court involving disorderly persons offenses.
- ☐ Motor Vehicle - appeals from the municipal court involving motor vehicle offenses.
- ☐ Municipal Ordinances - appeals from the municipal court involving municipal ordinance violations.
- ☐ Other - any other appeal from the municipal court.

2. Notices of appeal received/filed.

Report the total number of notices of appeal received during the reporting year for each of the categories defined above.

3. Appellate motions, motion responses filed.

Report the total number of appellate motions and motion responses filed during the report year.

4. Appellate briefs filed.

Provide the total number of appellate briefs filed during the reporting year for each of the categories defined in item 1.

Section IV. 8.b. Appellate Workload and Dispositions, Law Division (cont'd)

5. TOTAL appeals disposed.

Report the total number of appeals disposed of during the reporting year in each category defined in item 1.

Provide the number of appeals by each of the following types of disposition.

- a. Conviction or order affirmed.
- b. Conviction or order reversed.
- c. Judgment remanded or modified.
- d. Appeal withdrawn or dismissed.

6. Appeals pending at the end of the year.

Provide the total number of appeals pending (not disposed) at the end of the reporting year for each category defined in item 1.

Bias

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV, 13a.

Bergen

County
Year 2018

ADULT DEFENDANTS WITH BIAS CRIME RELATED CHARGES DISPOSED

	TOTAL	NUMBER CONVICTED		ACQUITTED	DISMISSED	DOWNGRADE/ REMAND
		PLEA	TRIAL			
Number of defendants disposed	6	1				3
Number of defendants for whom application for extended term of imprisonment made	0					
Number of defendants for whom application was granted	0					
Number of defendants for whom application was denied	0					
Number of defendants for whom simple assault was upgraded to 4th degree crime	2					
Number of defendants for whom harassment was upgraded to 4th degree crime	4					
Number of defendants who had both an upgrade to a 4th degree crime and an application for extended terms	0					

Rev. 1992

Section/Unit Confidential Investigations Unit
completing report
Section IV, 7.b.

County Bergen

Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	2
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	1
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	1
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	3
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	0
a. Defendants indicted	0
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

POLICE PURSUIT SUMMARY REPORT

Agency: All County Police Agencies	County: Bergen
Reporting Period: January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018	
Person completing report: Detective Michael Leighton	Date completed: February 26, 2019
Phone number: 201-226-5500	

1.	Number of pursuits initiated	153
2.	Number of pursuits resulting in accidents	36
3.	Number of pursuits resulting in injuries (NO DEATHS)	9
4.	Number of pursuits resulting in death	1
5.	Number of pursuits resulting in arrest	70
6.	Number of vehicles in accidents	61
	a. Pursued vehicles	38
	b. Police vehicles	14
	c. Third party vehicles	9
7.	Number of people injured	17
	a. Pursued vehicles	15
	b. Police vehicles	2
	c. Third party vehicles	0
	d. Pedestrians	0
8.	Number of people killed	1
	a. Pursued vehicles	1
	b. Police vehicles	0
	c. Third party vehicles	0
	d. Pedestrians	0
9.	Number of people arrested	85
10.	Number of pursuits in which a tire deflation device was used	0

2018 PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BERGEN COUNTY

The following report contains a statistical summary of reports of police misconduct in Bergen County for 2018. In addition, the report provides statistics for the disposition of these complaints. A review of the report and a comparison of this report with the reports prepared in prior years reveals the following:

(A) Summary- Complaints filed

	2014	2015	Inc (Dec)	% change	2016	Inc (Dec)	% change	2017	Inc (Dec)	% change	2018	Inc (Dec)	% change
Excessive Force	75	21	-54	-72.00%	49	28	133.33%	39	-10	-20.41%	31	-8	-20.51%
Improper Arrest	22	11	-11	-50.00%	13	2	18.18%	15	2	15.38%	8	-7	-46.67%
Improper Entry	5	5	0	0.00%	6	1	20.00%	10	4	66.67%	8	-2	-20.00%
Improper Search	39	21	-18	-46.15%	25	4	19.05%	18	-7	-28.00%	12	-6	-33.33%
Other Criminal Violation	74	67	-7	-9.46%	91	24	35.82%	78	-13	-14.29%	53	-25	-32.05%
Differential Treatment	74	64	-10	-13.51%	62	-2	-3.13%	59	-3	-4.84%	35	-24	-40.68%
Demeanor	313	285	-28	-8.95%	217	-68	-23.86%	264	47	21.66%	216	-48	-18.18%
Domestic Violence	14	17	3	21.43%	13	-4	-23.53%	19	6	46.15%	14	-5	-26.32%
Other Rule Violation	552	555	3	0.54%	459	-96	-17.30%	466	7	1.53%	479	13	2.79%
TOTAL	1168	1046	-122	-10.45%	935	-111	-10.61%	968	33	3.53%	856	-112	-11.57%

(B) Number of complaints disposed

Excessive Force	31
Improper Arrest	5
Improper Entry	8
Improper Search	12
Other Criminal Violation	44
Diff. Treatment	28
Demeanor	207
Domestic Violence	12
Other Rule Violation	411
Total	758

(C) Criminal Dispositions

Cases Dismissed	4
Cases Diverted	0
Acquittals	0
Convictions	2
Total	6

(D) Administrative Disposition of Complaints

	<u>S</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>NS</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>AC</u>
Excessive Force	2	14	8	5	2
Improper Arrest	0	4	1	0	0
Improper Entry	0	4	3	1	0
Improper Search	3	8	1	0	0
Other Criminal Violation	5	12	11	9	6
Differential Treatment	0	16	1	8	3
Demeanor	27	60	82	25	13
Domestic Violence	0	1	8	2	0
Other Rule Violation	204	70	61	31	45
Totals	241	189	176	81	69

(E) Trends

A comparison between the 2017 and 2018 year end summary reports revealed there was a decrease of 112 cases for the year end 2018. The decreases were in eight (8) of the nine (9) types of complaints filed. There was a 20% decrease in Improper Entry complaints, 26% decrease in Domestic Violence complaints, 18% decrease in Demeanor complaints, 33% decrease in Improper Search complaints, and less than 3% increase in Other Rule Violations complaints. The highest decrease was in Improper Arrest complaints, which saw a decrease of over 46%.

(F) Complaints with suspensions of ten days or more:

Bergen County Prosecutor's Office:

1 officer suspended for 30 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

Bergen County Sheriff's Office:

1 officer suspended for 10 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended for 12 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended for 15 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended for 30 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended for 40 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended for 55 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer terminated for pleading guilty to a First Degree crime.

East Rutherford Police Department:

1 officer suspended 12 days for Domestic Violence

Elmwood Park Police Department:

1 officer suspended for 60 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer suspended 60 days for Rules and Regulation violation.

1 officer terminated for Insubordination, and Neglect of Duty.

Englewood Cliffs Police Department:

1 officer suspended for 120 days for Insubordination.

Garfield Police Department:

1 officer suspended 75 days for Insubordination.

Montvale Police Department:

1 officer suspended 30 days for DWI violation.

Northvale Police Department:

1 officer suspended 6 months for Rules and Regulations violations.

Palisades Interstate Parkway Police Department:

1 officer suspended 90 days for Failure to Supervise

Upper Saddle River Police Department:

1 officer suspended 15 days for Insubordination and Rules and Regulations violation.

1 officer demoted for Conduct Unbecoming

(G) Conclusions

In summary, after compiling information from summary reports of 72 law enforcement agencies for 2018, there was a decrease of 112 complaints filed compared to 2017. With regard to criminal violations, notifications are made to this agency along with the findings pursuant to Attorney General Guidelines.

(H) Recommendations

Continue the availability of Internal Affairs training courses for all officers, specifically those responsible for conducting Internal Affairs investigations pursuant to Attorney General Guidelines. Departments should consider undergoing a certification process to ensure all rules and regulations are adequate and that all complaints of police misconduct, whether filed by agency personnel or citizens are reported to Internal Affairs Units, and investigated thoroughly.

Section IV.14B

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency: BERGEN
County: BERGEN

BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 1 – COMPLAINTS FILED

Type of Complaint	Anonymous Complaints	Citizens Complaints	Agency Complaints	Total Complaints
Excessive Force				
Improper Arrest				
Improper Entry				
Improper Search				
Other Criminal Violation				
Differential Treatment				
Demeanor			1	1
Domestic Violence				
Other Rule Violation	1	3	5	9
TOTAL	1	3	6	10

Revised 2011

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency: BERGEN BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 2 – AGENCY DISPOSITIONS

	Sustained	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Unfounded	Administratively Closed	Total Disposition
Excessive Force						
Improper Arrest						
Improper Entry						
Improper Search						
Other Criminal Violation						
Differential Treatment						
Demeanor	1					1
Domestic Violence						
Other Rule Violation	7		1		1	9
TOTAL	8		1		1	10

Revised 2011

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency:
County: Bergen

BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 3 – AGENCY DISPOSITIONS

Court	Cases Dismissed	Cases Diverted	Acquittals	Convictions
Municipal Court				
Superior Court				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0

Revised 2011

Section IV.14B

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency: ALL COUNTY
County: BERGEN

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 1 – COMPLAINTS FILED

Type of Complaint	Anonymous Complaints	Citizens Complaints	Agency Complaints	Total Complaints
Excessive Force	0	31	0	31
Improper Arrest	0	7	1	8
Improper Entry	0	8	0	8
Improper Search	0	11	1	12
Other Criminal Violation	3	42	8	53
Differential Treatment	2	32	1	35
Demeanor	1	207	8	216
Domestic Violence	0	9	5	14
Other Rule Violation	14	191	274	479
TOTAL	20	538	298	856

Revised 2011

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency: ALL COUNTY
 County: BERGEN

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 2 – AGENCY DISPOSITIONS

	Sustained	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Unfounded	Administratively Closed	Total Disposition
Excessive Force	2	14	8	5	2	31
Improper Arrest	0	4	1	0	0	5
Improper Entry	0	4	3	1	0	8
Improper Search	3	8	1	0	0	12
Other Criminal Violation	5	12	11	9	6	43
Differential Treatment	0	16	1	8	3	28
Demeanor	27	60	82	25	13	207
Domestic Violence	0	1	8	2	0	11
Other Rule Violation	204	70	61	31	45	411
TOTAL	241	189	176	81	69	756

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS SUMMARY REPORTS FORMS

Agency: ALL COUNTY
 County: Bergen

Reporting Year: 2018

Table 3 – AGENCY DISPOSITIONS

Court	Cases Dismissed	Cases Diverted	Acquittals	Convictions
Municipal Court	3	0	0	1
Superior Court	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	4	0	0	2

CCU

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County **BERGEN**Year **2018**

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	39	0	0	5	28	72
2. Investigations opened during the year	105	4	4	6	158	277
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	144	4	4	11	186	349
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	103	4	4	11	153	275
a. Resulting in criminal charges	92	4	3	4	31	134
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	1	6	0	7
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Closed - No further action	11	0	0	1	12	24
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	41	0	0	0	33	74
						0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Cyber
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	28 ^{3 pending}
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	13
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	9
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	13
a. Defendants indicted	13
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

DV

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV, 7.a.

County Bergen

Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	0	0	0	0	0	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	0	0	0	0	0	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	0	0	0	0	0	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit DV
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	1,234
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	27
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	235
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	237
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	73
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	74
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	73
a. Defendants indicted	71
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	2

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	0	2	0	1	22	25
2. Investigations opened during the year	8	10	0	5	60	83
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	8	12	0	6	82	108
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	4	10	0	6	55	75
a. Resulting in criminal charges	1	0	0	1	7	9
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	2	7	0	4	12	25
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	1	3	0	1	27	32
d. Closed - No further action	0	0	0	0	9	9
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	4	2	0	0	27	33

Section/Unit Financial
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	42 <i>8 pending</i>
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	33
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	14
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	34
a. Defendants indicted	33
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	1

Section/Unit FORFEITURE
 completing report
 Section IV, 12

County BERGEN
 Year 2018

MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY	NUMBER	VALUE
1. Notice of Intention to solicit funds received		
2. Expungement applications received	602 - applications 40 - drug court orders 882 - ex parte orders	
3. TOTAL number of forfeiture actions	279 submissions for forfeiture; 269 complaints filed.	
4. Number of motor vehicles obtained through forfeiture actions	91	
5. TOTAL value of property forfeited (add a. - c.)		\$4,014,559.82
a. Cash forfeited		\$3,644,018.82
b. Value of forfeited motor vehicles		\$331,766.00
c. Value of other forfeited property*		\$38,775.00
(Specify property)		
COMPUTER TOWER		\$2,000.00
COMPUTER TOWER	est value	\$2,000.00
CARD READER		\$1,000.00
SAMSUNG 65" TV		\$1,200.00
APPLE IPHONE		\$ 200.00

(3) MACBOOK PROS	est value	\$5,600.00
(3) BEATS HEADPHONES		
(1) ROUTER		
(1) MODEM		
(1) TABLET		
(2) FLATSCREEN TVS		
(1) IPOD MINI		
(1) KEYBOARD		
(1) LAPTOP		
27 money orders (converted to cash once forfeited)	actual value	\$26,775.00

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV. 5

County Bergen
Year 2018

**POST-CONVICTION ACTIVITIES
AND MISCELLANEOUS COURT ACTIVITIES
BY TYPE AND OUTCOME**

POST CONVICTION ACTIVITY AND OUTCOME	NUMBER
1. Krol hearings involving the prosecutor's office	122
2. TOTAL post-conviction relief applications/briefs filed involving the prosecutor's office	56
a. Defendants granted relief	5
b. Defendants denied relief	30
c. Defendants granted relief in part/Denied relief in part	1
d. Defendants dismissed/Withdrawn	29
3. TOTAL habeas corpus petitions/briefs filed involving the prosecutor's office	6
a. Defendants granted relief	0
b. Defendants denied relief	6
c. Defendants granted relief in part/Denied relief in part	0
d. Defendants dismissed/Withdrawn	0

Rev. 2015

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV. 6.e.

County Bergen
Year 2018

CAREER CRIMINAL PROGRAM

CASE INTAKE CRITERIA (LIST)

NOT APPLICABLE

Section/Unit Grand Jury
 completing report
 Section IV, 1.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

PROSECUTORIAL SCREENING OF DEFENDANTS

SCREENING OUTCOMES	STAGE OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCESS WHEN DECISION OCCURS	
	PRE-COMPLAINT DECISIONS	POST-COMPLAINT DECISIONS
a. Defendants administratively dismissed	0	214
b. Defendants with charges downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0	3,652
c. Defendants accepted for pre-trial diversion	0	129
d. Defendants otherwise screened out	0	89
e. Defendants with change of venue	0	20
f. Accusations filed	0	414
g. Defendants with either indictable complaints authorized or charges approved for grand jury	0	420
h. AOC Correction: Defendants who completed grand jury	0	788
TOTAL SCREENING DECISIONS FOR YEAR (add a - h)	0	5,726

Rev. 2010

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV. 2

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DEFENDANT APPLICATIONS FOR DIVERSION PROGRAM,
ACTION TAKEN AND OUTCOME**

Pre-trial Intervention Diversion Program

	Number of DEFENDANT APPLICATIONS FOR PRE- TRIAL INTERVENTION	
	PRE-INDICTMENT	POST-INDICTMENT
1. Applications reviewed	190	180
2. Recommended for acceptance	126	179
3. Recommended for rejection	64	74
4. Accepted into program	127	139

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV. 3.a.

County Bergen
Year 2018

DEFENDANTS PENDING GRAND JURY PROCESS
(Pre-Indictment Defendant Cases)
BY AGE OF COMPLAINT

AGES OF PRE-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF COMPLAINT	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS	
	ACTIVE	INACTIVE/FUGITIVE
1. 0 to 1 month	86	0
2. 1+ to 2 months	81	6
3. 2+ to 3 months	78	4
4. 3+ to 4 months	39	2
5. Over 4 months	116	8
6. TOTAL defendant cases pending grand jury	400	20

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Bergen
completing report
Section IV. 3.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DEFENDANTS COMPLETING THE
GRAND JURY PROCESS
AND ACTION TAKEN**

ACTION TAKEN	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants presented to the grand jury	1,227
2. Defendants indicted	1,214
3. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
4. Defendants no billed/no action	13
5. TOTAL defendants completing the grand jury process	1,227

DEFENDANTS CHARGED BY ACCUSATION

	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
TOTAL Defendants charged through Accusation	414

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV, 6.a.

County Bergen
Year 2018

CAREER CRIMINAL WORKLOAD AND GRAND JURY ACTION

CAREER CRIMINAL WORKLOAD AND GRAND JURY ACTION	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants reviewed for acceptance into career criminal prosecution program	0
2. Defendants accepted for prosecution as career criminals	0
3. TOTAL career criminal defendants completing grand jury process	0
a. Defendants indicted	0
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0
4. TOTAL career criminal defendants charged through accusation	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Grand Jury
completing report
Section IV. 6.b.

County Bergen

Year 2018

**CAREER CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS PENDING GRAND JURY PROCESS
(Pre-Indictment Defendant Cases)
BY AGE OF COMPLAINT**

AGES OF PRE-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF COMPLAINT	NUMBER
1. 0 to 1 month	0
2. 1+ to 2 months	0
3. 2+ to 3 months	0
4. 3+ to 4 months	0
5. Over 4 months	0
6. TOTAL defendant cases pending grand jury	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit _____ Juvenile _____
completing report
Section IV. 9.c.

County _____ Bergen _____

Year _____ 2018 _____

JUVENILE WAIVER DECISIONS

1. Voluntary Waivers at Juvenile's Request	0
2. Juvenile Waiver Motions by Prosecutor	
a. Pending at Beginning of Year	1
b. Motions Filed by Prosecutor this Year	1
3. Juvenile Waiver Decisions (Prosecutor's Motions)	
a. Waived on Prosecutor's Motion with Juvenile's Consent	0
b. Waived on Prosecutor's Motion without Juvenile Consent and after a Hearing	1
c. Motion Voluntarily Withdrawn by Prosecutor	0
d. Waivers Denied	0
e. Total Decisions (sum of 3a through 3d)	1
4. Juvenile Waiver Motions filed by Prosecutor Pending at Year's End (2.a. + 2.b. - 3.e.)	0

Rev. 2017

Section/Unit JUVENILE
 completing report
 Section IV, 13b.

County BERGEN
 Year 2018

JUVENILE DEFENDANTS WITH BIAS CRIME RELATED CHARGES DISPOSED

		NUMBER CONVICTED				
	TOTAL	PLEA	TRIAL	ACQUITTED	DISMISSED	DOWNGRADE/ REMAND
Number of juveniles disposed	2					
Number of juveniles waived for adult prosecution	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application for extended term of imprisonment made	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application was granted	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application was denied	0					
Number of juveniles for whom simple assault was upgraded to 4th degree crime	0					
Number of juveniles for whom harassment was upgraded to 4th degree crime	0					
Number of juveniles who had both an upgrade to a 4th degree crime and an application for extended terms	0					

Rev. 1992

Section/Unit JUVENILE
completing report
Section IV. 10.a.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**JUVENILE HABITUAL OFFENDER PROGRAM
WORKLOAD AND ADJUDICATIONS**

WORKLOAD AND ADJUDICATIONS	NUMBER OF JUVENILES
1. Juveniles reviewed for acceptance into habitual offender program	0
2. Juveniles accepted for prosecution as habitual offenders	0
3. TOTAL juvenile adjudications	0
a. Adjudicated delinquent by admission, i.e., guilty plea	0
b. Adjudicated delinquent at hearing	0
c. Adjudicated not delinquent	0
d. Complaint dismissed or withdrawn	0
e. Adjudication adjourned, continuance granted	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit JUVENILE
completing report
Section IV, 10.b.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

JUVENILE HABITUAL OFFENDER PROGRAM

CASE INTAKE CRITERIA (LIST)

NOT APPLICABLE

Section/Unit MCU/ARSON

completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County BERGEN

Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	8					8
2. Investigations opened during the year	22					22
3. TOTAL investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	30					30
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	23					23
a. Resulting in criminal charges	5					5
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0					0
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0					0
d. Closed - No further action	18					18
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	7					7

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-ARSON
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen ☒
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	1
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	5
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	1
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants indicted	5
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

MC-FAIU

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV, 7.a.

County BergenYear 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	28	1	1	4	0	34	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	45	0	0	4	0	49	0
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	73	1	1	8	0	83	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	33	1	1	6	0	41	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	11	1	0	0	0	12	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	22	0	1	6	0	29	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	40	1	0	2	0	43	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-FAIU
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	13
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	4
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	9
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	4
a. Defendants indicted	4
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MCU Homicide
 completing report
 Section IV. 7.a.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	9					
2. Investigations opened during the year	17					17
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	26					26
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	17					17
a. Resulting in criminal charges	7					7
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	5					5
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0					0
d. Closed - No further action	5					5
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	9					9

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-Homicide
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen RII
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	18
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	1
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	5
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	1
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants indicted	5
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

NTF

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County BergenYear 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE – Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year					84	84
2. Investigations opened during the year	7	10	3	10	102	132
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)					186	216
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)					82	82
a. Resulting in criminal charges					82	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	2	2	1	1	6	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	3	3		1	7	
d. Closed - No further action					10	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year					80	80

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit NTF
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	124
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	30
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	13
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	81
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	81
3. Defendants charged through accusation	23
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	81
a. Defendants indicted	81
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Section/Unit SIS
 completing report
 Section IV, 7.a.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	2	0	0	0	0	2
2. Investigations opened during the year	29	0	0	1	0	29
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	30	0	0	1	0	31
4. TOTAL investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	25	0	0	1	0	26
a. Resulting in criminal charges	25	0	0	1	0	26
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Closed - No further action	21	0	0	0	0	21
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	2	0	0	0	0	2

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit SIS
completing report
Section IV, 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	38 ^{6 pending}
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	24
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	0
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	24
a. Defendants indicted	24
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

SVU

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV, 7.a.

County BERGENYear 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	65	0	0	0	0	65	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	306	1	5	0	0	312	0
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	371	1	5	0	0	377	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	275	1	5	0	0	280	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	78	0	0	0	0	78	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	1	5	0	0	6	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	196	0	0	0	0	196	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	97	0	0	0	0	97	97

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit SVU
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	78 (5 pending)
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	4
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	4
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	8
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	27
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	2
3. Defendants charged through accusation	28
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	29
a. Defendants indicted	27
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	2

Section/Unit TRIAL
 County BERGEN
 completing report
 Section IV. 4.a.

Year 2018

DEFENDANTS PENDING DISPOSITION OF CHARGES BY AGE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION

AGES OF POST-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF INDICTMENT OF ACCUSATION	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS	
	ACTIVE	INACTIVE/FUGITIVE
1. 0 to 3 months	172	74
2. 3+ to 6 months	100	47
3. 6+ to 9 months	51	43
4. 9+ to 12 months	35	35
5. 12+ to 24 months	97	134
6. 24+ months	36	822
7. TOTAL post-indictment/accusation defendant cases pending	491	1,155

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit TRIAL
 completing report
 Section IV. 4.b.

County BERGEN
 Year 2018

DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATIONS DISPOSED BY OFFENSE CATEGORY AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	OFFENSE CATEGORIES										
	Homicide	Kidnap- ping	Sexual Assault	Robbery	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Bribery	Narcotics	Official Miscon- duct	Perjury/ Falsifi- cation
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	15	18	41	46	29	115	110	0	282	5	6
2. Guilty plea to lesser indictable offense	13	6	17	20	3	17	12	0	30	1	0
3. Ind. dism., plea to dis. persons offense	0	5	3	2	6	27	8	0	40	0	2
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense											
a. Jury	9	1	3	2	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Guilty at trial, lesser indictable offense											
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Guilty at trial, dis. persons offense											
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
7. Not guilty at trial											
a. Jury	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
b. Non-jury	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
8. Acceptance into diversion program	1	0	5	1	6	50	20	0	57	4	5
9. Dismissed over objection of pros.	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	34	1	2
10. Dismissed pros. motion or consent	1	4	9	3	5	12	45	0	92	0	6
11. TOTAL dispositions	41	37	84	76	53	231	195	0	541	11	22

DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATIONS DISPOSED BY OFFENSE CATEGORY AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	Theft	Forgery/Fraud	Weapons	Child Abuse/Endanger	Gambling	Wiretap	Obstr. Gov't Oper.	Fall to Register	Other	TOTAL
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	165	44	46	20	0	0	48	5	29	1,024
2. Guilty plea to lesser indictable offense	33	17	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	178
3. Ind. dism., plea to dis. persons offense	28	8	4	2	0	0	9	0	1	145
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense										
a. Jury	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	26
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Guilty at trial, lesser indictable offense										
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Guilty at trial, dis. persons offense										
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Not guilty at trial										
a. Jury	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
8. Acceptance into diversion program	51	22	25	13	0	0	18	0	26	304
9. Dismissed over objection of pros.	14	1	5	1	0	0	3	0	1	70
10. Dismissed pros. motion or consent	183	25	10	2	0	0	4	0	4	405
11. TOTAL dispositions	475	117	93	41	0	0	89	5	61	2,172

Section/Unit TRIAL
completing report
Section IV. 6.d.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**CAREER CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATION DISPOSED
BY MANNER OF DISPOSITION**

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	0
2. Guilty plea to lesser indictable offense	0
3. Ind. dismiss., plea to disorderly persons offense	0
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
5. Guilty at trial, lesser indictable offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
6. Guilty at trial, disorderly persons offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
7. Not guilty at trial	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
8. Acceptance into diversion program	0
9. Dismissed over objection of prosecutor	0
10. Dismissed on motion of prosecutor	0
11. TOTAL dispositions	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit TRIAL
completing report
Section IV. 6.c.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**CAREER CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS PENDING DISPOSITION OF CHARGES
BY AGE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION**

AGES OF POST-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION	NUMBER
1. 0 to 3 months	0
2. 3+ to 6 months	0
3. 6+ to 9 months	0
4. 9+ to 12 months	0
5. 12+ to 24 months	0
6. 24+ months	0
7. TOTAL post-indictment/accusation defendant cases pending	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit
 completing report
 Section IV. 11.a.

Victim Witness

County Bergen

Year 2018

VICTIM/WITNESS NOTIFICATION SERVICES

NOTIFICATION SERVICES	NOTIFICATION PROVIDED TO					
	VICTIMS		Lay WITNESSES		Law Enforcement WITNESSES	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Initial contact	✓			✓		✓
Administrative dismissal	✓			✓		✓
Remand to municipal court	✓			✓		✓
Indictment returned	✓			✓		✓
No bill	✓			✓		✓
Acceptance into pre-trial intervention	✓			✓		✓
Guilty plea	✓			✓		✓
Not guilty at trial	✓			✓		✓
Guilty at trial	✓			✓		✓
Indictment dismissed	✓			✓		✓
Sentence	✓		✓			✓
Parole	✓			✓		✓
Disposition of juvenile cases		✓		✓		✓
Other (specify) Fugitive status	✓			✓		✓
Completed or failed PTI	✓			✓		✓
EDC information	✓			✓		✓

Rev. 1995

Section IV. 11.a.

VICTIM/WITNESS NOTIFICATION SERVICES

This chart reflects which notification services are provided by the prosecutor's office and to whom such notifications are provided.

For each of the events listed, indicate whether the office provides, as a regular practice, written notification to victims, lay witnesses and law enforcement witnesses by checking the appropriate column.

If there are any additional events or decisions for which notification is provided, indicate in the space provided.

Section/Unit Victim Witness
 completing report
 Section IV. 11.b.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES PROVIDED

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES	SERVICE PROVIDED TO			
	VICTIMS		WITNESSES	
	Juvenile Cases	Adult Cases	Juvenile Cases	Adult Cases
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL SERVICES				
Introductory brochure	✓	✓	✓	✓
Criminal Justice system orientation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Case information	✓	✓		
VCCB referral	✓	✓		
Social service information/referral	✓	✓		
Crime prevention information/referral	✓	✓		
Property return information	✓	✓		
Witness fee information				
Public education, community awareness	✓	✓	✓	✓
LOGISTIC SERVICES				
Stand-by subpoena and call				
Witness waiting area	✓	✓	✓	✓
Response to witness intimidation, harassment	✓	✓	✓	✓
Restitution recommendation at sentencing	✓	✓		
VCCB claim assistance	✓	✓		
Social service intervention	✓	✓	✓	✓
Employer/student intervention	✓	✓	✓	✓
Travel, lodging assistance	✓	✓	✓	✓
Transportation assistance	✓	✓	✓	✓
Child care assistance				
Property return assistance	✓	✓		
Witness fee assistance				
Victim impact statement assistance	✓	✓		
Counseling				
Other (specify) Parking validation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Food- lunch/snacks	✓	✓		
Court accompaniment	✓	✓	✓	✓

Section IV. 11.b.

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES PROVIDED

This chart measures the specific services to assist victims and witnesses which were provided, on a regular basis, by the prosecutor's office, in general (i.e., by any member or members of the office staff, including investigators, clerical personnel or support staff).

To report the requested information, designate which services were provided to victims and witnesses of juvenile cases and/or adult cases by checking the appropriate column(s). If the service is not provided, do not mark the column.

List any additional services provided by the office in the space provided and check whether those services are provided to victims or witnesses in juvenile cases or adult cases.



JUVENILE SECTION 2018

The Juvenile Section of the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office ("BCPO") is responsible for the prosecution of juvenile delinquency cases in the Family Part of the Chancery Division of the Superior Court in Bergen County. The more serious juvenile offenses received for prosecutions are heard on the formal calendar. The remaining offenses are listed on either an informal calendar before a non-attorney hearing officer, or the matters are diverted. The diverted matters are usually minor offenses involving first

hearing officer or by the judge. If the juvenile admits culpability at the ISC, the probation officer then attempts to fashion a resolution that would assist in rehabilitating the juvenile, yet at the same time, impose accountability for the juvenile's actions. Recommendations might include counseling, restitution or referral to community programs, not to exceed six months. No fines can be levied nor is a disposition of probation imposed at this level. At the conclusion of the ISC, all recommendations are reduced to a court order. At the end of the imposed, diversionary time period, the charges are dismissed via a signed court order, providing that all obligations have been satisfied.

Cases that are not diverted are referred for court action, either on an informal or formal calendar. The informal calendar is handled by a hearing officer who handles a substantial number of the juvenile cases coming through the Family Court. Most of these cases consist of charges that are fourth degree and below and an assistant prosecutor does not appear at these hearings. Juveniles listed on the informal calendar are not required to have an attorney present and none will be appointed to represent the juvenile at this stage. The juvenile may retain a private attorney if he or she chooses. At the informal hearing, the hearing officer can conduct mini trials, if need be, and will advise the juveniles as to the consequences of their actions. A juvenile whose matter is heard by the hearing officer may be given a deferred disposition and be advised to stay out of trouble for a specified period of time. Juveniles whose matters are heard on the informal calendar may be referred for services through youth programs available in Bergen County. The judge will re-enforce these mandates by signing court orders on these cases. Probation is not imposed on the informal calendar.

On the formal calendar, an assistant prosecutor will appear on all juvenile matters scheduled before the juvenile court judge. Here, the juvenile is required to retain an attorney. A juvenile who cannot afford to hire an attorney may have a public defender one appointed to represent him or her if the juvenile qualifies. If the family does not qualify for a public defender, there are some attorneys who will represent juveniles at a reduced fee arrangement in juvenile court. Dispositions on the formal calendar can range from dismissals up to commitment to the Juvenile Justice Commission.

Juvenile Intake reviews all juvenile complaints filed with the Family Court and recommends whether a juvenile complaint is to be dismissed, diverted, or referred to the court. Most complaints that allege juvenile delinquency are based upon an act that would be culpability for the offense. If there is no admission on the part of the juvenile at an ISC, the matter is re-listed for a later date and heard by the hearing officer or by the judge. If the juvenile admits culpability at the ISC, the probation officer then attempts to fashion a resolution to assist in the juvenile's rehabilitating, but at the same time, imposing accountability for the juvenile's actions. Recommendations may include counseling, restitution, or referral to community programs, not to exceed six months. No fines can be levied nor is a disposition of probation imposed at this level. At the conclusion of the ISC,

all recommendations are reduced to a court order. At the end of the imposed diversionary time period and providing that all obligations have been satisfied, the charges are dismissed via a signed court order.

On the formal calendar, an assistant prosecutor will appear on all juvenile matters that scheduled before the juvenile court judge. Here, the juvenile is required to retain an attorney. A juvenile who cannot afford to hire an attorney may have a public defender appointed to represent him or her at this level if the juvenile qualifies. If the family does not qualify for a public defender, there are attorneys who will represent juveniles at a reduced fee arrangement in juvenile court. Dispositions on the formal calendar can range from dismissals up to commitment to the Juvenile Justice Commission.

Victims of juvenile offenses are notified of the status of their cases by the individual juvenile assistant prosecutors, provided that the matter is listed on the formal calendar and the offense would be a crime if committed by an adult. The assistant prosecutor forwards correspondence to the victims, advising them of the upcoming hearing date and the services that are available to assist victims through the Office of Victim Witness Advocacy. The assistant prosecutor also notifies the BCPO Victim Witness advocate assigned to work with the victims of juvenile offenses. The Victim Witness advocate acts as a liaison with the victims on juvenile matters, arranges meetings with victims for trial preparation, and accompanies the victim to court.

Since Bergen County is a very diverse county with many municipal police departments and agencies, the Juvenile Section Chief participates in many projects and programs related to providing services for juveniles. The section chief attends monthly meetings of the Bergen County Juvenile Officers Association and serves as its legal advisor. This organization is open to all 71 of the Juvenile Bureaus within Bergen County and is a very active organization under the auspices of the Police Chief's Association. Representatives from each police department are invited to attend monthly meetings, along with representatives from various Bergen County agencies that provide services to juveniles. The juvenile officers and the representatives from the county agencies report to the organization about any new services, problems or concerns. Trends in juvenile delinquency activity are shared and discussed. The juvenile section chief advises the departments of any new developments in the law as they pertain to juveniles. Frequently, there is a featured guest speaker. On average, approximately thirty agencies and departments are represented at each meeting.

The section chief, additionally, attends quarterly meetings in Trenton of the Juvenile Unit Chiefs, sponsored by the Prosecutors and Police Bureau of the Division of Criminal Justice. This is a forum for the exchange of information regarding new laws and concerns with the juvenile justice system. As the Prosecutor's designee, the section chief also

attends monthly meetings of the Bergen County Youth Services Commission. The Youth Services Commission oversees funding received from the Juvenile Justice Commission. This money is used to fund county-based programs and services for the county's juvenile population. These services are designed to help juveniles who are at risk of offending, or to rehabilitate juveniles, who are involved with the Family Court in a juvenile delinquency or a Family Crisis matter. The juvenile section chief is also involved in the bi-monthly Sex Crimes Multi-Disciplinary Team meetings which review adult and juvenile sex offender cases from the perspective of the victims.

The Juvenile Section Chief also participates in quarterly meetings of the Juvenile Delinquency Alternatives Initiative ("JDAI"). This initiative in the near future will be statewide and New Jersey will be the first state in the nation to have JDAI in every county. JDAI has been in Bergen County for ten years and is a comprehensive approach to Juvenile Justice, intended to reduce overcrowding in juvenile detention centers. JDAI's local steering committee is comprised of numerous people and organizations, including law enforcement, court personnel, the Prosecutor's Office, the Public Defender's office, and members of the public, all entities who work with troubled youth. JDAI looks at the Juvenile Justice system as a whole to find ways to improve the process of moving juveniles through the courts. The computerized Juvenile Delinquency Complaint System that is used in Bergen County was developed in 2009 as a result of meetings that were held to study whether Bergen County could improve its case processing of juvenile delinquency complaints.

Effective January 17, 2014, the new cyber-harassment statute was enacted; N.J.S.A. 2C:33-4.1. This statute made it a fourth degree crime for someone to make a communication online through a social networking site or electronic device, if it is done with the purpose to harass another person. Prior to this, cyber-harassment fell within the confines of regular harassment, which was a disorderly persons offense. The Juvenile Court and police officers working with juveniles have seen an increase in the number of cyber-harassment cases emerging. They have become more prevalent due to an increase in the number of juveniles with access to electronic media and the Internet, and at the same time, lacking the social awareness to handle these outlets in a responsible manner. The statute provides that any juvenile under the age of 16 who is adjudicated delinquent for an act of cyber-harassment may be ordered to complete an educational program designed to reduce acts of cyber-harassment. The juvenile must attend this program with a parent or guardian.

In Bergen County, the Division of Family Guidance developed two digital safety programs in response to this statute. They are Teen Education About Cyber Harassment ("TEACH") and Teens Using Technology Responsibly ("TUTOR"). The TEACH and TUTOR programs are available to the court for referrals for juveniles placed on probation or on a Period of Adjustment and parental involvement is expected. The programs are also

available to the municipal police for referrals on stationhouse adjustments. The programs focus on educating juveniles about the law and the harmful effects of sexting and cyber harassment. The programs consist of psycho-educational sessions. Dependent upon the needs of the juvenile referred, individual and group counseling are available. Topics discussed include issues relating to sexting, digital safety, cyber bullying, and the consequences and long-term impacts of these decisions. Issues relating to the juvenile as an individual are also addressed, such as self-esteem, self-respect, privacy, and intimacy. These programs have been hugely successful and many schools within Bergen County have asked to have the directors of the programs visit their schools to do a presentation to the students on these topics.

Section/Unit Juvenile
(completing report)
Section IV. 9.a.

County: Bergen

Year.: 2018

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY INTAKE

Filings/New Cases	Number of Juveniles	Number of Cases	Number of Offenses
1. Total New Filings During the Calendar Year	<u>716</u>	<u>877</u>	<u>1,802</u>
VOP Filings			
2. Total Violations of Probation During the Calendar Year	<u>45</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>61</u>
Returned to Court			
3a. Cases Reactivated	<u>35</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>81</u>
3b. Cases Reopened	<u>129</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>291</u>
3c. Cases Successfully Appealed	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
3. Total Cases Returned to Court	<u>164</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>372</u>
Transfers			
4a. Entering this county	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>120</u>
4b. Leaving this county	<u>117</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>498</u>
4. (Net Change)	<u>-53</u>	<u>-78</u>	<u>-378</u>

5. County Screening Procedures.....: Check the box that most accurately describes the procedure in your county. Do not check more than one box.

- a. Prosecutor's office reviews all new delinquency complaints either before or after diversion. X
- b. Prosecutor's office reviews selected delinquency complaints either before or after diversion based on offense charged or other criteria
- c. Prosecutor's office does not screen new delinquency complaints.

6. Violations of Probation Procedures: Check the box that most accurately describes the procedure in your county. Do not check more than one box.

- a. An Assistant Prosecutor appears at all V.O.P. hearings. X
- b. An Assistant Prosecutor appears at selected V.O.P. hearings based on offense charged or other criteria.
- c. Assistant Prosecutor's do not at V.O.P. hearings.

Revised for 2015 data

Section/Unit Juvenile
 (completing report)
 Section IV. 9.b.

County: Bergen
 Year...: 2018

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY DISPOSED CASES

	Number of Juveniles	Number of Cases	Number of Offenses
Dismissed/Consolidated/Withdrawn			
1. Total Dismissed/Consolidated/Withdrawn during Calendar Year	67	83	143
Diverted Cases			
2. Total Diversions during Calendar Year	266	270	326
Inactivated Cases			
3a. VOP Cases Inactivated	16	18	18
3b. Non-VOP Cases Inactivated	38	57	98
3. Total Inactivations during Calendar Year	54	75	116
Non-VOP Decisions (Mandatory Calendar)			
4a. Adjudicated Delinquent	202	263	600
4b. Adjudicated Not Delinquent	0	0	0
4c. Not Adjudicated Dismissed	0	0	0
4d. Case Returned/Post Adjudication Decision	77	85	216
4. Total Non-VOP Decisions (Mandatory Calendar)	279	348	816
Non-VOP Decisions (Non-Mandatory Calendar)			
5a. Adjudicated Delinquent	0	0	0
5b. Adjudicated Not Delinquent	0	0	0
5c. Not Adjudicated Dismissed	0	0	0
5d. Case Returned/Post Adjudication Decision	2	2	4
5. Total Non-VOP Decisions (Non-Mandatory Calendar)	2	2	4
Non-VOP Decisions (Juvenile Referee)			
6a. Adjudicated Delinquent	75	83	126
6b. Adjudicated Not Delinquent	0	0	0
6c. Not Adjudicated Dismissed	105	107	165
6d. Case Returned/Post Adjudication Decision	0	0	0
6. Total Non-VOP Decisions (Juvenile Referee)	180	190	291
VOP Decisions (1:1)			
7a. Adjudicated Delinquent	34	0	0
7b. Adjudicated Not Delinquent	0	0	0
7c. Not Adjudicated Dismissed	0	0	0
7d. Case Returned/Post Adjudication Decision	2	0	0

Trials			
8a. Total Number of Trials Where the Assistant Prosecutor Appeared.			4
8b. Total Number of Juveniles Adjudicated Delinquent on One or More Charges at Trial.			3
8c. Total Number of Juveniles Adjudicated Not Delinquent at Trial.			1

Revised for 2015 data

Section/Unit Juvenile
completing report
Section IV, 9.c.

County Bergen
Year 2018

JUVENILE WAIVER DECISIONS

1. Voluntary Waivers at Juvenile's Request	0
2. Juvenile Waiver Motions by Prosecutor	
a. Pending at Beginning of Year	1
b. Motions Filed by Prosecutor this Year	1
3. Juvenile Waiver Decisions (Prosecutor's Motions)	
a. Waived on Prosecutor's Motion with Juvenile's Consent	0
b. Waived on Prosecutor's Motion without Juvenile Consent and after a Hearing	1
c. Motion Voluntarily Withdrawn by Prosecutor	0
d. Waivers Denied	0
e. Total Decisions (sum of 3a through 3d)	1
4. Juvenile Waiver Motions filed by Prosecutor Pending at Year's End (2.a. + 2.b. - 3.e.)	0

Rev. 2017

Section/Unit JUVENILE
completing report
Section IV. 10.a.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**JUVENILE HABITUAL OFFENDER PROGRAM
WORKLOAD AND ADJUDICATIONS**

WORKLOAD AND ADJUDICATIONS	NUMBER OF JUVENILES
1. Juveniles reviewed for acceptance into habitual offender program	0
2. Juveniles accepted for prosecution as habitual offenders	0
3. TOTAL juvenile adjudications	0
a. Adjudicated delinquent by admission, i.e., guilty plea	0
b. Adjudicated delinquent at hearing	0
c. Adjudicated not delinquent	0
d. Complaint dismissed or withdrawn	0
e. Adjudication adjourned, continuance granted	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit JUVENILE
completing report
Section IV. 10.b.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

JUVENILE HABITUAL OFFENDER PROGRAM

CASE INTAKE CRITERIA (LIST)

NOT APPLICABLE

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit JUVENILE
 completing report
 Section IV, 13b.

County BERGEN
 Year 2018

JUVENILE DEFENDANTS WITH BIAS CRIME RELATED CHARGES DISPOSED

		NUMBER CONVICTED				
	TOTAL	PLEA	TRIAL	ACQUITTED	DISMISSED	DOWNGRADE/ REMAND
Number of juveniles disposed	2					
Number of juveniles waived for adult prosecution	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application for extended term of imprisonment made	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application was granted	0					
Number of juveniles for whom application was denied	0					
Number of juveniles for whom simple assault was upgraded to 4th degree crime	0					
Number of juveniles for whom harassment was upgraded to 4th degree crime	0					
Number of juveniles who had both an upgrade to a 4th degree crime and an application for extended terms	0					

Rev. 1992



MAJOR CRIMES UNIT ARSON-FATAL ACCIDENT-HOMICIDE 2018

OVERVIEW

The Major Crimes Unit ("MCU") is responsible for the investigation and prosecution of three specific crime areas: Arson, Fatal Accident, and Homicide. MCU is a reactive investigative unit; calls come in from police departments within the county and MCU allocates resources depending on the needs of the investigation. The investigative and legal staffs work collaboratively throughout the course of the investigations and through dispositions of cases. The current MCU legal staff consists of a Section Chief and one additional assistant prosecutor. The investigative branch consists of one lieutenant, three sergeants, and nine detectives.

MCU assistant prosecutors often respond to the scene to work alongside the investigative staff to provide contemporaneous search warrant/communications data warrant review, charging decisions, and other legal advice as needed as an investigation unfolds. Once a determination has been made by the MCU Section Chief and the investigative command staff to charge a case, MCU assistant prosecutors follow the cases from inception to disposition. Throughout the pendency of investigations and prosecutions of all MCU cases, MCU assistant prosecutors are tasked (with the assistance of the case detective and victim witness advocate) with the responsibility of effectively communicating with victim and survivor representatives in an objective, honest, and compassionate manner.

Upon review by the MCU Section Chief and determination by the Prosecutor, MCU cases may be assigned (usually post-indictment) to assistant prosecutors outside of MCU for prosecution. More complex cases will often be assigned a lead (more experienced) assistant prosecutor and a "second chair" (less experienced) assistant prosecutor for trial disposition. The philosophy behind such assignments is to ensure that assistant prosecutors throughout the office obtain not only experience with MCU cases, but also with mentoring and mentorship.

The Bergen County Prosecutor's Office has taken steps to upgrade the training of both the investigative and legal staffs. Detectives and assistant prosecutors are encouraged to attend courses dealing with the investigation and trial of cases, many of which are specific to MCU cases. Additionally, due to the labor-intensive nature of MCU investigations, detectives are typically cross-trained in arson, fatal accident, and homicide investigations to assist one another in complex cases.

In addition, experienced MCU assistant prosecutors and detectives provide in-house, recruit and in-service trainings to law enforcement, continuing legal education to assistant prosecutors, and seminars to the community-at-large.

The MCU Arson Squad is responsible for investigating and determining the origin and cause of suspicious fires and suspected arson fires within Bergen County. The squad investigates all fires involving fatalities or seriously injured individuals. Additionally, the squad will investigate fires occurring in commercial and mercantile building/shopping mall fires; all fire incidents in schools, colleges and universities, daycare centers, houses of worship, healthcare facilities, government buildings, fires in vacant buildings, fires in establishments scheduled to be demolished or sold, and explosions where there is detection of any incendiary/explosive device.

The Arson Squad also supervises the countywide Arson Task Force, which is comprised of local police officers and fire officials specifically trained in arson investigation. The task force is on-call 24 hours a day, 365 days a year to assist in any arson-related investigation. The Arson Task Force consists of 11 law enforcement officers and 7 firefighters.

The MCU Fatal Accident Investigation Unit ("FAIU") is responsible for investigating fatal and serious bodily injury motor vehicle crashes resulting from criminally reckless operation. These investigations include collisions where death or serious bodily injury occurs and in which driving while intoxicated, excessive speed, cell phone use, asleep behind the wheel, two or more moving violations, hit and run, police pursuit, or an emergency vehicle is a factor in the collision. The FAIU also assists municipalities within Bergen County in the investigation of complex, non-criteria motor vehicle collisions.

Local police follow set criteria to determine when FAIU investigators are needed to respond to the scene of an accident. Generally, the unit is summoned when the collision involves death, or there is serious bodily injury and death appears imminent. Once it is determined that a motor vehicle accident meets any aspect of the above criteria, FAIU investigators respond to the scene and undertake a multi-faceted investigation. Due to personnel constraints placed on many police agencies as a result of the extensive investigation required by major accidents, the unit has established an At-Scene Response Team ("Response Team"). The Response Team, which is composed of county and municipal police personnel, is designed to function as a technical investigative arm of FAIU. Its principal responsibility is the coordination of the management of the accident scene. The Response Team works in conjunction with the police agency where the collision occurred.

Additionally, the FAIU legal and investigative staffs are responsible for Driving While Intoxicated ("DWI") checkpoint review/approval and reviewing all motor vehicle summonses issued within Bergen County, which are marked as involving death or serious bodily injury. Moreover, FAIU provides multiple presentations throughout the year to high school students on the risks of driving while intoxicated and distracted driving.

Section/Unit
MCU/ARSON

completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County
BERGEN

Year
2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	8					8	
2. Investigations opened during the year	22					22	
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	30					30	
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	23					23	
a. Resulting in criminal charges	5					5	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0					0	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0					0	
d. Closed - No further action	18					18	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	7					7	

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-ARSON
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	1
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	5
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	1
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants indicted	5
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

MC-FAIU

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County BergenYear 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	28	1	1	4	0	34	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	45	0	0	4	0	49	0
3. TOTAL investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	73	1	1	8	0	83	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	33	1	1	6	0	41	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	11	1	0	0	0	12	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	22	0	1	6	0	29	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	40	1	0	2	0	43	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-FAIU
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	13
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	4
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	9
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	4
a. Defendants Indicted	4
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995

MCU Homicide

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County Bergen

Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations					
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency		
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	9					
2. Investigations opened during the year	17					17
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	26					26
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	17					17
a. Resulting in criminal charges	7					7
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	5					5
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0					0
d. Closed - No further action	5					5
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	9					9

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit MC-Homicide
completing report
Section IV, 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	18
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	1
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	5
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	1
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	5
a. Defendants indicted	5
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0

Rev. 1995



NARCOTIC TASK FORCE 2018

The Bergen County Prosecutor's Office Narcotic Task Force ("NTF") is charged with the investigation and apprehension of those persons involved in the distribution of controlled dangerous substances. Whether the target is a street dealer damaging the quality of life in a particular neighborhood or a highly sophisticated organization using Bergen County as a platform for extensive drug distribution, NTF has the personnel and resources to build a solid case and end the criminal enterprise.

Bergen County has two qualities that make it an exciting place to live, while at the same time creating challenges for drug enforcement. First, Bergen County is an area of great ethnic and economic diversity with instances of drug distribution ranging from street corner sales to the increasing use of "club drugs" like Ecstasy, GHB, and Ketamine which are favored by younger often affluent users. Second, the County is in close geographical proximity to New York City. New York is a point of entry for drugs into the United States, a location where heroin, cocaine, marijuana, and other substances can be bought "wholesale" for re-distribution elsewhere. It is also a destination for local residents to purchase narcotics for re-distribution locally. For those reasons, law enforcement must be wary of large shipments of drugs moved by organized distribution networks using creative and well-concealed methods. At the same time, lower level dealers can easily travel to New York City from Bergen County to quickly return and service local customers.

NTF addresses these issues in a number of ways. Our investigators are trained to handle a wide range of cases. To combat larger scale drug activity that crosses state and national borders, NTF shares resources with Federal and State law enforcement organizations. In the past year, NTF investigators have provided local expertise on assignments with the Drug Enforcement Administration and U.S. Customs Service. These collaborative efforts have resulted in the seizure of larger caches of illegal substances and cash proceeds of drug dealing.

On a more local level, NTF works closely with police departments throughout Bergen County's 70 municipalities, addressing drug dealing through undercover operations, with Bergen County residents benefitting from NTF's expertise and resources in addressing problems in their towns.

NTF has also been active in addressing the ever-growing distribution of club drugs such as Ecstasy, GHB, and Ketamine. NTF has pursued sellers in nightclubs, where Ecstasy pills can sell for \$25.00 each. It has also targeted larger dealers. On numerous occasions, NTF undercover investigators purchased over five ounces (typically more than 500 tablets) of Ecstasy from targets; these first degree cases carry penalties of up to twenty years in state prison. It is anticipated that these so-called designer or club drugs will further increase in popularity in years to come.

NTF has also prioritized investigations that deal with the heroin epidemic. In 2018, numerous arrests were made as a result of the interdiction of individuals buying heroin in the City of Paterson. This was part of a multi-county initiative dubbed Operation Helping Hand developed to address the raging heroin problem in our communities. The goal of this initiative is to prosecute the dealers and provide rehabilitation services for those found in possession of heroin.

In 2018, there were seventy-eight undercover buys, 152 arrests with a total of 23 kilograms of heroin seized, along with 23 kilograms of cocaine, 21 kilograms of crystal methamphetamine, 8 kilograms of fentanyl, 6,315 fentanyl pills, 63 pounds of hashish oil, 230 pounds of marijuana, and many other amounts of various controlled dangers substances. Also, NTF seized \$2 million in U.S. currency, executed 159 search warrants and communication data warrants, and seized 23 firearms, 7 extended magazines, and various ammunition.

NTF conducted two wiretap investigations in 2018. In one, NTF smashed a marijuana distribution ring that was importing numerous pounds of marijuana hidden in large speakers transported from California to the Bergen County area.

In another wiretap and undercover operation, an undercover investigator took down a narcotics distribution enterprise emanating from the Sinaloa, Mexico Drug Cartel which led to the arrest of six individuals. In the operation, approximately 3½ kilograms of heroin, 13 kilograms of crystal methamphetamine, 3 pounds of raw fentanyl, and 6,255 tablets of processed fentanyl was seized. The narcotics had an estimated street value of approximately \$3 million.

NTF has 4 supervisors and approximately 20 detectives, including those on loan from municipal departments. A Section Chief and three full-time assistant prosecutors provide NTF with legal advice during investigations. After arrest, the assistant prosecutors handle the cases for plea or trial in Superior Court.

NTF

Section/Unit _____
 completing report
 Section IV. 7.a.

County BergenYear 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year					84	84	84
2. Investigations opened during the year	7	10	3	10	102	132	132
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)					186	216	216
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)					82	82	82
a. Resulting in criminal charges					82	82	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	2	2	1	1	6	6	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	3	3		1	7	7	
d. Closed - No further action					10	10	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year					80	80	80

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit NTF
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	124
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	30
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	13
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	81
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	81
3. Defendants charged through accusation	23
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	81
a. Defendants indicted	81
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	0



SPECIAL PROSECUTIONS UNIT SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS SQUAD AND FINANCIAL CRIMES UNIT

OVERVIEW

In June 2017, the Special Prosecutions Unit was formed to include the Cyber Crimes Unit ("CCU"), Special Investigations Squad ("SIS"), and the Financial Investigations Unit ("FIU"). In January 2019, CCU was spun off as its own unit.

Consolidation of the SIS and FIU squads has provided more efficient, streamlined, and consistent legal advice and support to a sizeable portion of the investigations conducted by the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office ("BCPO"). This efficiency has also translated into more consistent plea offers and dispositions across the constituent areas of the law.

The current legal staff makeup of the Special Prosecutions Unit is an assistant prosecutor Section Chief and one additional assistant prosecutor.

The investigative branch of the Special Prosecutions Unit consists of three lieutenants, three sergeants, and 17 detectives.

SIS and FIU conduct proactive investigations and provide investigative support to local municipal police departments. The squads regularly provide assistance to local departments during investigations into a wide range of criminal activity. This comes in numerous forms such as assisting in the surveillance and arrest of suspects, drafting of communication data warrants and search warrants, forensically analyzing digital devices, or providing investigatory assistance in complex cases such as large financial matters.

The assistant prosecutors provide legal advice and assistance during all phases of the investigation as required, which usually includes review of communication data warrants, search warrants, and non-disclosure orders, as well as decisions relating to the charging of suspects and the types of charges to be filed. Cases are assigned to assistant prosecutors to follow from pre-indictment to disposition, whether by way of a guilty plea or a trial. A sizeable number of SIS cases involve gunpoint robberies, professional burglary crews, and home invasions, usually resulting in numerous first degree charges. Assistant prosecutors are responsible for litigating any detention hearings connected with their cases.

FIU is responsible for the investigation of major theft and fraud offenses committed in Bergen County. Generally, FIU limits its participation in theft and fraud investigations to cases involving a loss of \$75,000 or more. Due to the complex and multi-jurisdictional nature of theft and fraud investigations, FIU often assists local municipalities in cases requiring special expertise.

FIU detectives investigate a wide range of white collar and financial fraud, including but not limited to: trusts, estates and frauds perpetrated by executors and guardians, employee theft either directly or through complicated schemes and business transactions, embezzlement, stock fraud, mortgage fraud, insurance fraud, credit card fraud and trafficking, elder abuse, and violations of professional responsibility by attorneys and contractors.

FIU also routinely fields calls from citizens, victims, local police agencies, and other attorneys who wish to report potential fraud. These tips are fully investigated to determine whether any potential criminal activity exists.

SIS conducts investigations involving, among other crimes, illegal gambling, criminal usury (loansharking), extortion, prostitution (massage parlors, escort services, human trafficking), murder for hire, traditional organized crime, armed robbery, carjacking, burglary, home invasion, kidnapping, theft by extortion, and illegal possession and sale of firearms.

Investigations are generated from a variety of sources including referrals from state and local agencies, as well as from information received from confidential sources such as concerned citizens, undercover agents, and confidential informants. Apart from traditional organized crime, SIS focuses on individuals and groups involved in multi-jurisdictional crime patterns affecting Bergen County. Working cooperatively with municipal, state, and federal law enforcement agencies, information received is evaluated, disseminated, and investigated. When appropriate, SIS assembles task forces comprised of detectives from the BCPO and pertinent law enforcement agencies to address specific crime patterns.

Investigations emanating from SIS regularly involve technology, alongside traditional investigative methods, due to the ever-increasing sophistication of criminals. Assistant prosecutors work closely with the detectives as SIS employs communication data warrants for cellular telephones to track locations of criminals. Warrants are similarly obtained on seized GPS devices used by professional burglary and robbery crews on a regular basis to track their unsuspecting victims. Additionally, SIS employs wiretaps when required to investigate covert criminal activity.

Section/Unit Financial Crimes
 completing report
 Section IV. 7.a.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	0	2	0	1	22	25	
2. Investigations opened during the year	8	10	0	5	60	83	
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	8	12	0	6	82	108	
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	4	10	0	6	55	75	
a. Resulting in criminal charges	1	0	0	1	7	9	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	2	7	0	4	12	25	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	1	3	0	1	27	32	
d. Closed - No further action	0	0	0	0	9	9	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	4	2	0	0	27	33	

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit Financial
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County Bergen 
Year 2018

DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	42 <i>8 pending</i>
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	33
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	14
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	34
a. Defendants indicted	33
b. Defendants not billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants not billed/no action	1

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit SIS

completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County Bergen

Year 2018

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	29	0	0	1	0	29	0
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	30	0	0	1	0	31	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	25	0	0	1	0	26	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	25	0	0	1	0	26	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	21	0	0	0	0	21	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	2	0	0	0	0	2	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit SIS
completing report
Section IV, 7.b.

County Bergen
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	38 <i>6 pending</i>
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	0
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	0
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	0
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	24
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	0
3. Defendants charged through accusation	0
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	24
a. Defendants indicted	24
b. Defendants not billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants not billed/no action	0



SPECIAL VICTIMS UNIT.....

2018

The Special Victims Unit ("SVU") investigates and prosecutes sex crimes committed against minors and adults, as well as physical and emotional abuse and neglect of minors' cases. The core mission of SVU is to thoroughly and justly investigate and, to successfully prosecute cases of sex crimes and child abuse in a manner that treats victims with fairness, compassion, and respect.

SVU is currently staffed by a law enforcement contingent of a lieutenant, three sergeants, and nine detectives. The legal staff consists of six assistant prosecutors, which includes a Section Chief and five assistant prosecutors handling the unit's case load. All assistant prosecutors also handle the Megan's Law cases. A paralegal, clerical personnel, a dedicated victim witness advocate, and a SANB nurse coordinator are also on staff. The detectives work day and night shifts on a rotating basis to maximize availability for investigations throughout the county. The lieutenant oversees the investigative functions for the unit. The assistant prosecutor Section Chief provides legal advice regarding the investigations and oversees the prosecution and administration of the cases handled by the unit. The model the squad follows for effective investigations is one of complete collaboration between investigative and legal staff.

SVU works cooperatively with other police agencies throughout the county. SVU protocol requires local police departments to notify our detectives to handle all cases involving crimes against children and sexual assault cases involving adults. SVU detectives investigate every case within the County that falls subject to this protocol. However, given SVU's reputation for expertise and professionalism, it is often called upon to assist in investigations and/or in a legal advisory capacity and/or to prosecute numerous matters that fall outside the scope of the protocol. Additionally, SVU handles Human Trafficking ("HT") investigations throughout the county. The Section Chief and an assistant prosecutor within the unit serve as liaison and alternate liaison, respectively, along with a detective and sergeant who are assigned as HT task force liaisons to the Attorney General's Office.

The SVU legal staff follow a vertical model of prosecution, including handling case detention hearings. All assistant prosecutors in SVU require specialized training and gain highly specialized experience. SVU cases are motion intensive and heavily litigated and, while most cases are resolved by a plea, many cases require resolution by trial.

SVU oversees the Megan's Law sex offender registration and the tiering process of all registrants within the County, regardless of whether their offenses originally occurred in our jurisdiction. This process also includes community education and notification regarding sex offenders, as well as in-service training to municipal police departments regarding the use and operation of a statewide registration system known as "Offender Watch." SVU also prosecutes

violations of the terms of community supervision for life and failures to register under Megan's Law.

SVU takes an integrative approach to victim well-being in all aspects of prosecuting its cases. SVU representatives participate in the Multi-Disciplinary Team ("MDT") that includes victim witness advocates; the Division of Child Protection & Permanency ("DCPP"); Bergen Family Guidance Center for counseling; as well as psychologists and medical staff from the Audrey Hepburn Children's House. The MDT follows cases of child victims who are either being supervised by the DCPP or whose guardians have agreed to participate in the program. The disciplines in MDT work together, ensuring that the victim is only interviewed once and that all of the medical and psychological needs of a victim are addressed, thus limiting trauma to the victim during the investigative and legal process. The MDT meets twice a month to review cases on a rotating basis.

Victims younger than 13 are interviewed in the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office Child Advocacy Center specifically established for this purpose. The interviews are integral to the investigation and successful prosecution of these cases and are conducted by an SVU detective trained in forensic interviewing. In May 2018, SVU began application for accreditation of its Child Advocacy Center.

SVU also supervises the County's sexual assault nurse examiner ("SANE") program. Victims over the age of 13 are afforded the opportunity to be examined by a specially trained nurse who is responsible for evidence collection. There are seven SANEs who are on-call on a rotating basis at the six area hospital in Bergen County. The SANE coordinator is responsible for all the nurses and their scheduling, has an office within SVU, and reports directly to the SVU Lieutenant.

SVU

Section/Unit
completing report
Section IV. 7.a.

County **BERGEN**Year **2018**

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS

INVESTIGATIVE WORKLOAD AND DISPOSITIONS	NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS BY TYPE -- Original and Post-complaint Investigations						
	Original Investigations Conducted Jointly With:				Original Exclusive Investigations	TOTAL Original Investigations	TOTAL Post-complaint Investigations
	Local Police	State Agency	Other County Prosecutor	Other Agency			
1. Investigations pending or inactive at the beginning of the year	65	0	0	0	0	65	0
2. Investigations opened during the year	306	1	5	0	0	312	0
3. TOTAL Investigative workload for the year (add nos. 1 - 2)	371	1	5	0	0	377	0
4. TOTAL Investigations completed during this year (add a. - d.)	275	1	5	0	0	280	0
a. Resulting in criminal charges	78	0	0	0	0	78	
b. Referred to other agency for criminal prosecution	0	1	5	0	0	6	
c. Referred to other agency for civil or administrative action	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Closed - No further action	196	0	0	0	0	196	
5. Investigations pending or inactive at the end of the year	97	0	0	0	0	97	97

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit SVU
completing report
Section IV. 7.b.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**DISPOSITIONS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS
RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES**

DISPOSITION OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTING IN CRIMINAL CHARGES	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Defendants charged by complaint, TOTAL	78 (5 pending)
a. Defendants with complaints administratively dismissed	4
b. Defendants with complaints downgraded to disorderly persons offenses	4
c. Defendants with complaints referred to Family Court	8
d. Defendants with complaints presented to grand jury	27
2. Defendants with original charges presented to grand jury on direct presentment	2
3. Defendants charged through accusation	28
4. Defendants completing grand jury process on direct presentment and complaint presentation, TOTAL	29
a. Defendants indicted	27
b. Defendants no billed and remanded to municipal court	0
c. Defendants no billed/no action	2



TRIAL SECTION 2019

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office Trial Section is to effectively, efficiently, and fairly prosecute all criminal offenders from arraignment through sentencing who are referred to it from the Grand Jury Unit or other areas of the office following indictment.

GOAL

The goal of the Trial Section is to achieve fair and just resolutions in every criminal case after carefully evaluating all relevant factors surrounding the crime and the offender, including, but not limited to, the nature of the crime, the offender's role and involvement in the crime, the harm suffered by any victim of the crime, the weight of the evidence against the accused, as well as considering the character, attitude and background of the offender, and his or her risk of reoffending. After reviewing the criminal case, assistant prosecutors assigned to the Trial Section endeavor to dispose of these matters expeditiously by rendering plea offers with appropriate sentencing recommendations. Cases not resolved by plea bargains will be prosecuted at trial before a jury of citizens of Bergen County. Fair and just resolutions of criminal matters may include diversionary treatment in pre-trial intervention supervision ("PTI"), probation supervision, drug court supervision, or incarceration in the county jail or state prison.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

1. To manage the flow of criminal cases coming to the Trial Section and ensure that they are distributed appropriately among the trial teams and staff attorneys.
2. To expeditiously review and assess each case prior to arraignment. Trial attorneys assess the relative strengths and weaknesses of the criminal case in order to prepare appropriate plea offers that are fair, just, and comply with an escalating plea policy, which is designed to foster early resolution of cases.
3. To identify detention cases early and ensure that due attention is given to them in order to comply with criminal justice reform measures. These matters are subjected to speedy trial rules, resulting in a defendant's release from jail if the State is not ready to proceed to trial.
4. To thoroughly review the discovery in each case and ensure that all discoverable materials are promptly made available to defense counsel, ideally prior to arraignment. This fosters meaningful plea negotiations and contributes to early resolution of cases. Assistant

prosecutors are required to provide ongoing discovery to defendants throughout the prosecution of their case.

5. To assess the special needs of each criminal case, especially complex prosecutions, and ensure that all necessary expert witnesses are retained as early as possible. For instance, determinations should be made early in each case whether scientific analyses, forensic examinations, or medical reviews should be performed and/or used at trial.
6. To ensure that assistant prosecutors preparing for trial, and those who are on trial, are fully supported with all the resources and support staff available in the office. This includes providing the trial attorney with the following: investigative personnel (a case detective) to assist at trial; sufficient clerical support; supervisory support from the trial attorney's Team Leader and from the Trial Chief; designated legal support from the Appellate Section; designated technical/AV support from a member of the Computer Division to assist with any technological needs in the courtroom; and a designated victim witness advocate to assist all victims and witnesses who are called to testify.
7. To maintain appropriate staffing levels commensurate with the nature and number of cases referred to the Trial Section. Specific attention is given to maintaining sufficient staffing of trial attorneys (currently 11), experienced and motivated trial Team Leaders (currently 5, consistent with the number of trial courts), minimum number of case detectives (currently 4), a paralegal assistant (currently 1), and the minimum number of clerical support (currently 5, including the Clerical Supervisor).
8. To ensure that all Trial Section personnel have necessary office support, including sufficient, accessible, and comfortable work spaces, computers and updated software, and access to printers, including color printers, scanning devices, smart boards, and access to legal research resources. Staff attorneys are encouraged to familiarize themselves with office technology, including, all electronic devices, computers, software, Aquos Smart Boards, and other technological resources procured by the office for use in court for motions and for trials.
9. To ensure that all assistant prosecutors in the Trial Section are provided with regular continuing legal education courses and other special training opportunities to improve their legal skills, trial skills, and foster adherence to the highest ethical and professional standards.
10. To periodically review the conduct and performance of the Trial Section's Team Leaders and staff attorneys to promote and reinforce the highest level of professionalism, identify areas that need improvement, recognize demonstrated examples of superior performance and exceptional conduct, and to set individual goals for each member of the staff.
11. To promote, foster, and maintain the highest level of professionalism in our dealings with the courts, other law enforcement agencies, adversaries, offenders, the media, victims and witnesses, and members of the public.

2018 YEAR IN REVIEW

On the heels of significant changes in 2017, in 2018 the Trial Section increased the number of trials and case dispositions over the year prior. Like other squads in the office, the Trial Section assimilated well to the changes brought by criminal justice reform. Throughout the year, the squad was operating at a high rate of efficiency and complied with all speedy trial rules without exception. No defendants were released nor cases dismissed due to any violation of the new rules.

Special attention was given to the backlog of pre-CJR cases, and coordinated efforts were implemental and carried out, with the cooperation of the Public Defender's Office and the courts, to conduct "super-session plea conferences" designed to resolve aging cases with appropriate dispositions. This was designed to reduce the growing backlog of cases caused by newer speedy trial cases as well as a renewed effort to try "younger cases," since criminal cases do not get better with age. Due regard was given to the most sensitive cases, especially those involving victims.

The Trial Section continued to adhere to an escalating plea policy and re-doubled its efforts to enforce firm trials dates and reduce the number of cases delayed due to last minute adjournment requests by the defense. There was a significant increase in the number of jury trials over the year prior. This not only served to move cases, but many of our staff attorneys gained invaluable experience litigating cases before juries throughout the year. This also had residual benefits by increasing office morale and enhanced opportunities for attorneys to experience individual achievement.

The success of these efforts continue to flow from the creation of the first-ever coordinated "global trial list," which was developed in 2017, is updated weekly, and shared by the entire criminal division and office staff attorneys. This global list permits all stakeholders to collaboratively review, in real time, all the upcoming trials listed in one workable document that is regularly updated. This has enabled the courts to prioritize the aging trials and create plans to try these cases within realistic time periods. Most notably, this office succeeded in moving many of the aging homicide, SVU, and narcotics cases, as well as the top 10 oldest cases in the county.

The Trial Section continued a plan created in 2017. The incorporation-by-transfer of more outside squad cases (Homicide, SVU, SIS, Financial Crimes, NTF, Computer Crimes and Arson) to the Trial Section. This enabled Trial assistant prosecutors to expand their experience by working on challenging cases, many of which were investigated by this office's outside squads. It also gave the assistant prosecutors more responsibility and exposure to victims and the victimization that comes with some of the most serious cases in the county. Again, another residual benefit is the increase in office morale as well as unifying our courthouse staff with our Paramus office staff as they continued to work collaboratively.

Also, the Trial Section continued its "Trial Section Workshops," which started in 2017. This is a nine-month long course of instruction provided by more senior assistant prosecutors for the benefit of less experience assistant prosecutors. This targeted and specialized training focuses almost exclusively on the "practical" aspects of trial work from arraignment to closing arguments and verdict and is designed primarily to positively develop the advocacy skills of the young trial prosecutor.

Finally, another positive development in 2018 is the improved working relationship between the Trial Chief and the Criminal Division Manager, which has yielded positive mutual benefits for both offices. Due to our collaborative approach to case management, inroads were made to gain the attention and cooperation from the Assignment Judge in this vicinage, who took an interest in some of the proposals our office made to improve the overall handling of criminal cases from arraignment to trial. Many of these changes were incorporated in early 2019 and will be the subject of next year's report.

Section/Unit TRIAL
 County BERGEN
 completing report
 Section IV, 4.a.

Year 2018

DEFENDANTS PENDING DISPOSITION OF CHARGES BY AGE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION

AGES OF POST-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF INDICTMENT OF ACCUSATION	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS	
	ACTIVE	INACTIVE/FUGITIVE
1. 0 to 3 months	172	74
2. 3+ to 6 months	100	47
3. 6+ to 9 months	51	43
4. 9+ to 12 months	35	35
5. 12+ to 24 months	97	134
6. 24+ months	36	822
7. TOTAL post-Indictment/accusation defendant cases pending	491	1,155

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit TRIAL
 completing report
 Section IV, 4.b.

County BERGEN
 Year 2018

DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATIONS DISPOSED BY OFFENSE CATEGORY AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	OFFENSE CATEGORIES										
	Homicide	Kidnaping	Sexual Assault	Robbery	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Bribery	Narcotics	Official Misconduct	Perjury/Falsification
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	15	18	41	46	29	115	110	0	282	5	6
2. Guilty plea to lesser indictable offense	13	6	17	20	3	17	12	0	30	1	0
3. Ind. dism., plea to dis. persons offense	0	5	3	2	6	27	8	0	40	0	2
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense											
a. Jury	9	1	3	2	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Guilty at trial, lesser indictable offense											
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Guilty at trial, dis. persons offense											
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
7. Not guilty at trial											
a. Jury	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
b. Non-jury	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
8. Acceptance into diversion program	1	0	5	1	6	50	20	0	57	4	5
9. Dismissed over objection of pros.	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	0	34	1	2
10. Dismissed pros. motion or consent	1	4	9	3	5	12	45	0	92	0	6
11. TOTAL dispositions	41	37	84	76	53	231	195	0	541	11	22

DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATIONS DISPOSED BY OFFENSE CATEGORY AND MANNER OF DISPOSITION

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	Theft	Forgery/Fraud	Weapons	Child Abuse/Endanger	Gambling	Wiretap	Obstr. Gov't Oper.	Fall to Register	Other	TOTAL
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	165	44	46	20	0	0	48	5	29	1,024
2. Guilty plea to lesser indictable offense	33	17	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	178
3. Ind. dism., plea to dis. persons offense	28	8	4	2	0	0	9	0	1	145
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense										
a. Jury	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	26
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5. Guilty at trial, lesser indictable offense										
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Guilty at trial, dis. persons offense										
a. Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7. Not guilty at trial										
a. Jury	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
b. Non-jury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
8. Acceptance into diversion program	51	22	25	13	0	0	18	0	26	304
9. Dismissed over objection of pros.	14	1	5	1	0	0	3	0	1	70
10. Dismissed pros. motion or consent	183	25	10	2	0	0	4	0	4	405
11. TOTAL dispositions	475	117	93	41	0	0	89	5	61	2,172

Section/Unit TRIAL
completing report
Section IV, 6.d.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**CAREER CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS WITH INDICTMENTS/ACCUSATION DISPOSED
BY MANNER OF DISPOSITION**

MANNER OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS
1. Guilty plea to most serious offense	0
2. Guilty plea to lesser Indictable offense	0
3. Ind. dism., plea to disorderly persons offense	0
4. Guilty at trial, most serious offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
5. Guilty at trial, lesser Indictable offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
6. Guilty at trial, disorderly persons offense	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
7. Not guilty at trial	0
a. Jury trial	0
b. Non-jury trial	0
8. Acceptance into diversion program	0
9. Dismissed over objection of prosecutor	0
10. Dismissed on motion of prosecutor	0
11. TOTAL dispositions	0

Rev. 1995

Section/Unit TRIAL
completing report
Section IV, 6.c.

County BERGEN
Year 2018

**CAREER CRIMINAL
DEFENDANTS PENDING DISPOSITION OF CHARGES
BY AGE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION**

AGES OF POST-INDICTMENT DEFENDANT CASES FROM DATE OF INDICTMENT OR ACCUSATION	NUMBER
1. 0 to 3 months	0
2. 3+ to 6 months	0
3. 6+ to 9 months	0
4. 9+ to 12 months	0
5. 12+ to 24 months	0
6. 24+ months	0
7. TOTAL post-indictment/accusation defendant cases pending	0

Rev. 1995



OFFICE OF VICTIM WITNESS ADVOCACY 2018

The Office of Victim Witness Advocacy works to achieve the following objectives:

1. Provide services that respond to the immediate emotional and physical needs of victims;
2. Answer questions addressing concerns regarding criminal justice system procedures and rules; and
3. Provide an orientation to the criminal justice system.

The crime victims' advocates assigned to cases serve as liaisons for victims and witnesses with law enforcement agencies, courts, and social service providers. Additional responsibilities of the Office of Victim Witness Advocacy include assisting victims in filing claims with the Victims of Crime Compensation Office, providing emotional support, and supplying case status information/updates. Crime victims are also notified of defendants' parole eligibility, assisted with Victim Impact Statements, and accompanied to court and meetings with assistant prosecutors and detectives. In 2018, approximately 1,396 cases were opened while 1,311 were closed.

The Office currently consists of the following positions: a Victim Witness Administrator, secretary, and six Victim Witness Advocates who are dedicated to providing services to victims of crime. Staff members include one Spanish-speaking advocate and a secretary who is bi-lingual.

The Office has a strong working relationship with other community agencies such as Alternatives to Domestic Violence, The Healing Space, Center for Hope and Safety, Bergen Family Center, Bergen County Department of Health, Bergen County Division of Mental Health, as well as the mental health centers throughout the county.

A staff member from the Office of Victim Witness Advocacy is represented on the following committees: Multi-Disciplinary Team Committee, the Domestic Violence Working Group, the Bergen County Mental Health Systems Review Committee, the NJ County Coordinator's Association, and the NJ Multi-Disciplinary Team Coordinators' Association. The Victim Witness Administrator provides training to the police recruits at the Bergen County Police Academy twice a year. Several times a year a member of the Office provides a presentation to the Healing Space volunteers as they take the required 40-hour certification training to be rape crisis advocates.

In 2018, the Office of Victim Witness Advocacy assisted victims in approximately 1,396 cases. In compliance with the Crime Victim Bill of Rights, case status notification letters were sent to victims and witnesses, thereby keeping victims and witnesses informed of court

proceedings from arraignment through final disposition. In 2018, a total of 8,093 notification letters were generated.

Letters	Victim Witness Notifications	2018	2017	2016	2015
02	Downgraded Dismissed Remanded	132	349	376	292
04	VW Early Disposition	949	1,457	NA	NA
06	Indictment Returned	741	758	481	550
07	Accepted into PTI Program	189	216	253	137
8A	Completed PTI Program	163	176	192	126
8B	Terminated from PTI Program	69	39	62	26
9B	Sentencing Scheduled (Pled Guilty)	2,700	2,727	2,043	1,158
11	Bench Warrant Issued (Fugitive)	952	631	879	190
12	Case Dismissed	173	103	85	84
14A	Sentence Imposed (Victim, Parole Input)	1,458	1,508	985	716
14B	Sentence Imposed (Witness)	201	131	141	122
16A	Charges Disposed (Not Guilty By Trial)	2	11	6	10
16B	Sentencing Scheduled (Guilty By Trial)	33	11	30	13
17	Trial Scheduled	331	571	779	525
	Total ...	8,093	8,688	6,312	3,949

As reported in the Victim Witness Year End Statistics chart the office staff opened 439 cases that were assigned to the Trial section. A total of 449 domestic violence cases were opened in 2018 and a total of 7 homicide cases were opened. Victim witness advocates made a total of 5,742 telephone calls to victims and sent 3,963 emails during the year. Assistant prosecutors were contacted by telephone a total of 4,001 times and received 8,170 emails from advocates throughout the year.

VICTIM/WITNESS NOTIFICATION SERVICES

NOTIFICATION SERVICES	NOTIFICATION PROVIDED TO					
	VICTIMS		Lay WITNESSES		Law Enforcement WITNESSES	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Initial contact	✓			✓		✓
Administrative dismissal	✓			✓		✓
Remand to municipal court	✓			✓		✓
Indictment returned	✓			✓		✓
No bill	✓			✓		✓
Acceptance into pre-trial intervention	✓			✓		✓
Guilty plea	✓			✓		✓
Not guilty at trial	✓			✓		✓
Guilty at trial	✓			✓		✓
Indictment dismissed	✓			✓		✓
Sentence	✓		✓			✓
Parole	✓			✓		✓
Disposition of juvenile cases		✓		✓		✓
Other (specify) Fugitive status	✓			✓		✓
Completed or failed PTI	✓			✓		✓
EDC information	✓			✓		✓

Section/Unit Victim Witness
 completing report
 Section IV. 11.b.

County Bergen
 Year 2018

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES PROVIDED

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES	SERVICE PROVIDED TO			
	VICTIMS		WITNESSES	
	Juvenile Cases	Adult Cases	Juvenile Cases	Adult Cases
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL SERVICES				
Introductory brochure	✓	✓	✓	✓
Criminal Justice system orientation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Case Information	✓	✓		
VCCB referral	✓	✓		
Social service information/referral	✓	✓		
Crime prevention information/referral	✓	✓		
Property return information	✓	✓		
Witness fee information				
Public education, community awareness	✓	✓	✓	✓
LOGISTIC SERVICES				
Stand-by subpoena and call				
Witness waiting area	✓	✓	✓	✓
Response to witness intimidation, harassment	✓	✓	✓	✓
Restitution recommendation at sentencing	✓	✓		
VCCB claim assistance	✓	✓		
Social service intervention	✓	✓	✓	✓
Employer/student intervention	✓	✓	✓	✓
Travel, lodging assistance	✓	✓	✓	✓
Transportation assistance	✓	✓	✓	✓
Child care assistance				
Property return assistance	✓	✓		
Witness fee assistance				
Victim impact statement assistance	✓	✓		
Counseling				
Other (specify) Parking validation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Food- lunch/snacks	✓	✓		
Court accompaniment	✓	✓	✓	✓

Section IV. 11.b.

VICTIM/WITNESS ASSISTANCE SERVICES PROVIDED

This chart measures the specific services to assist victims and witnesses which were provided, on a regular basis, by the prosecutor's office, in general (i.e., by any member or members of the office staff, including investigators, clerical personnel or support staff).

To report the requested information, designate which services were provided to victims and witnesses of juvenile cases and/or adult cases by checking the appropriate column(s). If the service is not provided, do not mark the column.

List any additional services provided by the office in the space provided and check whether those services are provided to victims or witnesses in juvenile cases or adult cases.

