

Public Meeting Submissions

From: FRANK LANKEY <flankey@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 6:47 PM
To: Public Meeting Submissions
Subject: O.2069-2020 Comment

I urge Councilman Brescher,
Councilman Patil, and Councilman Coyle to support and vote yes on the sewage rates being proposed in O.2069-2020.

To your concerns about the sewage system, why did you not address these issues when you and your peers set up this utility per your anti suez campaign?

Why are you now all acting like any other politician who takes advantage of a public sentiment but then never wants to fully fund their own creations?

Shame on you three if any of you vote no. It has nothing to do with "the best of the township" it has to do with "what's best for me to sound good on Facebook".

Frank Lankey
Velikan Place
Edison, NJ

MY VIEWS AND COMMENTS ARE MY OWN AS AN EDISON VOTER AND RESIDENT.

Sent from my iPhone

Public Meeting Submissions

From: FRANK LANKEY <flankey@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 10:17 PM
To: Public Meeting Submissions
Subject: Public Comment Submission

Good Evening:

Council President, you have displayed more integrity tonight and in your short time on Council than others have displayed in their long careers.

What just happened to you tonight is a painful reminder of why we still have to talk about real social justice reform across the board.

Frank Lankey, Jr
Velikan Place

My views are my own.

Sent from my iPhone

Public Meeting Submissions

From: Elizabeth Conway <elizabeth.conway1@edison.k12.nj.us>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 1:37 PM
To: Public Meeting Submissions
Subject: Increase of water and sewer tax

Will residents of Edison who are seniors with Edison Senior IDs not get the increase?

Public Meeting Submissions

From: Erik Romatowski <eromatowski89@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 5:02 PM
To: Public Meeting Submissions
Subject: Public Comment submission for 5-27 re: 11 C Court S

To whom it may concern,

Good evening Mayor and Council. My name is Erik Romatowski, and I am a resident of 66 Cedar Lane, Highland Park NJ. I am writing this e-mail in concern and opposition of your approval of a new warehouse to be built on Cedar Lane, currently known as 11 C Court S. While I understand that all public notices were made and required approvals apparently received - as a current student of Urban Planning at Rutgers, the process in which this project was approved is a shame, and the testimony provided in support of it objectionable at best.

While I understand approval was required from the County planning board, was any attempt made to contact the elected officials of Highland Park? The first notification that residents or any concerned party had, was when trees began to be cleared in earnest last week.

While this will simply be another ratable on the Edison Tax Rolls, the residents of Highland Park will be left to deal with only the negative effects of this project. How a traffic study reports that there will be "34 trucks per hour" entering the site (without even counting the 300-800 car spaces being provided for employees) and that it will not create an additional traffic situation on Cedar Lane is laughable at best. Cedar Lane in Highland Park consists of many apartment complexes, some very close to the road and bordering the site, which are filled with young families and small children - in direct conflict with this project located just across the border in Edison.

Additionally, I have concerns regarding the amount of newly impervious space this project creates, and the associated runoff which will flow into the adjacent Mill Brook - which downstream flows directly through the Cedar Lane apartments.

This project will change the character of the Cedar Lane neighborhood, leaving a permanent and negative impact on the quality of life of its residents. Again, I find your approval of this warehouse project to be deplorable and short sighted.

Sincerely,
Erik Thomas Romatowski
66 Cedar Lane, Highland Park NJ