

From: Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us
Sent time: 07/29/2022 05:43:13 PM
To: surendraval@gmail.com; Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us; Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us; Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US; Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us; Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us; Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov; kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov; brianna.earle@nj.gov; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us
Subject: Re: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

There are a lot of threats in here. I thought it was frowned upon to threaten people. Especially elected officials. Many of us have homes and families and careers and we do not appreciate this level of hostility and once again will engage with professionals ...lawyers who the district will pay for and continue to siphon monies away from the education of children....and law enforcement who will have to take our statements; hear our concerns and provide protection to guard against the threats ...bleeding resources from others who may need them.
Good work.

From: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendraval@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:17 AM
To: Adi Nikitinsky <Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Chrissy Skurbe <Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Karen Bierman <Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Michele Arminio <Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US>; Katie Fabiano <Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kate Rattner <Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Ken Chiarella <Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kathleen Belko <Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Gazala Bohra <Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov <commissioner@doe.nj.gov>; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov <ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov>; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>; brianna.earle@nj.gov <brianna.earle@nj.gov>; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us <ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us>
Subject: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Some people who received this message don't often get email from surendraval@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the school district. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Monroe Township IT Department

Good Morning Monroe Township Board of Education Members!

I know that some of you have been extremely busy with your shenanigans, but I hope you all pause to read this email. I believe in complete transparency so I would like you to know all of the people that are on this email. I am sending this email to all of the members of the BOE, and I am copying the NJ State Commissioner of Education, Mr. Kyle Anderson, and the Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office. I am blind copying a whole bunch of other individuals including but not limited to: journalists, attorneys, and individuals in law enforcement, potential whistleblowers and a few other individuals. Please make sure that you carefully go through all of the attachments because the picture becomes a lot clearer.

First of all, I would like to apologize to Miss Arminio, Miss Rattner, and Miss Fabiano for prejudging them too harshly. Thank you ladies for proving me wrong. It actually brings a smile to my face when individuals who face adversity, stand by their convictions and who have their moral compass pointed in the right direction are extremely rare finds and should be valued and not attacked. Knowing Mrs. Skurbe and her cronies like I do, I know that this could not have been an easy task for the last few months. Due to the insecurities that authoritarian leaders (like Skurbe) have, any opinion that goes counter to their opinion is the wrong opinion. I know that the pressures to coalesce with the majority opinion (even when the choice is morally and ethically wrong) must have been great and I applaud you for voting with your conscience.

I think we need to first establish what the Board of Education is and isn't for municipalities. Think of the Board of Education as an educational charitable trust. This means that the Board of Education members need to safeguard the taxpayer funds to ensure that these funds are used in the best possible manner for the public. BOE members have a fiduciary responsibility to protect these funds. (Wouldn't it be great if we had someone with risk management experience on the BOE to limit the amount of Board of Education exposure to risk? Oh wait...) **It doesn't mean that you can use the taxpayer funds to reward your friends and punish your enemies.** The Board of Education is an entity of itself, and the insurance companies that the Board of Education uses only insures BOE members when they are performing legitimate BOE business. **These insurance companies do not insure BOE member when they are abusing their positions.** To ensure that the Board of Education as an entity is protected, the entity has an attorney. The BOE attorney is just that, he or she is the attorney for the entity and not the attorney for individual members of the Board of Education. **I wonder why the Monroe Township BOE attorney allowed the BOE to become this corrupted...**

As a quick FYI to the all of the individuals who are copied and blind copied on this email. The budget for the Monroe Township School District is \$140 million dollars. I believe it accounts for roughly 55% of the total tax bill that the each property owner pays in Monroe. Every single tax payer is affected by the performance of the school system. Property values are directly proportional to school performance in New Jersey, so if the school district is mismanaged, we are all affected.

Most of you have no idea who I am, so I want to give you a little background of who I am. I am the son of 1st generation immigrants from India who arrived in 1970. My dad came here with \$8.00 in his pocket. My parents started a manufacturing business in 1984, and they became financially successful. It wasn't easy, and for the first couple of years, my family were the only the employees. Below are the most valuable things that I learned from my parents were the following:

- 1) Education is the most valuable gift that you can give a child, because education is the great societal equalizer.
- 2) It is every individual's responsibility to give back to society, so the more fortunate that you are, the greater the responsibility you have to give back.

We moved to Monroe Township, NJ in 2015. Since we moved to Monroe, my wife and I have donated as generously as possible wherever we can. In the last year, we have donated approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 to Monroe charities. We sponsor 10 need based \$1,000 scholarships for graduating seniors. We give generously to Friends of the Senior Center (Food Pantry in Monroe), Deacon's Cupboard (Food Pantry in Jamesburg), the Monroe Educational Foundation, Soups for Rossmoor Seniors, Make-A-Wish and other charities. We give to anyone who needs a helping need not for any other reason, but because it is the right thing to do.

We try to give anonymously as much as possible, but the reason that I am explaining things in detail is that I need to establish my character to our wider audience. Prosecutors, investigators and journalists all need as much back story as possible to uncover the truth, and I have nothing to hide. (By the way, Skurbe I am sure that you can reach out to any of these organizations for my character reference, and to establish that I am in fact telling the truth. I hope everyone OPRA's all of my emails to the district and to the schools because it will be the fastest way to verify that I am telling the truth.

This is where the email gets really interesting.

I had heard that Monroe BOE meetings were getting combative and you had to be careful of certain individuals. I immediately thought that this had to be same made up nonsense. The first and only time that I ever spoke at a BOE meeting was the day that Dr. Dori Alvich announced her retirement, July, 2021. I spoke harshly to the BOE at the meeting as I have a right to do as a resident of Monroe Township, New Jersey.

Funny thing happened the next day. Chrissy Skurbe (using her online fake persona Suzy Ann) slandered me online, and she slandered my business. Until that moment, I was rarely on social media except for Twitter. My wife was the only who used FaceBook. After my wife saw the attacks by Skurbe and her friends, she immediately became frightened and no longer felt safe in her home. (Cyber bullying at its finest by Skurbe and the gang.) Everyone on this email chain knows the pain of seeing a loved one hurt or frightened, and now imagine knowing that this pain was being caused by an elected official using tax payer funds. (Highly illegal behavior by the way!) One thing that I realized almost immediately was that this not normal behavior, and that my wife and I probably just joined a large list of people targeted by Chrissy Skurbe. Sadly this turned out to be true. Monroe Township turned into a really bad movie and the villains had taken over.

By the way, one of the attachments shows an email that I sent the BOE a few months before I spoke at the July, 2021 BOE meetings about my frustrations with how the BOE was behaving in public. Skurbe knew who I was and she stated in public that my charitable giving was actually a way to bribe a BOE member. How insane is that behavior? I immediately contacted a friend from a college who is a former US Prosecutor. She told me that New Jersey has one of the toughest cyber laws in the country. I contacted a few other friends and family members who happen to be attorneys, and they advised me that targeted retaliatory harassment from elected officials against a class of individuals is a very winnable class action lawsuit. (This is why I blind copied the attorneys). I posted this on Facebook, and the first thing that happened was that Skurbe's Facebook group went from being a public group to becoming a private group. This confirmed a few things about Skurbe and company. She is nothing more than an authoritarian bully, and she and her bunch were morally and ethically corrupted.

Fast forward to January, 2022 of this year, when Skurbe became BOE president. Skurbe and Chanley moved to consolidate power. They also began their campaign of vengeance and retribution. Skurbe and Chanley seized funds from the Monroe Township High School Athletic Booster Club because they personally disliked the President of the Booster Club. Word spread throughout the district that you did not want to cross Skurbe and crew because not only did they have the majority of the BOE, but the Superintendent was in her pocket. The Monroe Township Educational system has lost an unusually large number of teachers, staff, and administrators in the 7 months since Skurbe became president. The damage that she and her cohorts have caused may be irreparable.

While Skurbe and Chanley were screwing over school district, I was able to join a group of individuals who realized that our school system was in trouble. We started connecting dots and we started to gain momentum. Whistleblowers in the district started to send emails and messages about irregularities and policy changes that were being implemented, so that Skurbe and crew could do whatever they wanted to at the expense of taxpayer dollars.

I met and spoke with parents, teachers, and staff who were worried that Skurbe and Chanley would retaliate towards their children or it would affect their livelihoods because of past disagreements or because they were seen as disloyal. Skurbe also let her friends (Tim Eosso is a name that everyone should familiarize themselves with) bully teachers, staff and administration. (I would be remiss to not mention Monroe Township's own crooked Indian weatherman, Mr. Prakash Parab, the president of MICA (another Indian organization with questionable motives). Mr. Parab seems to have an outsized influence with Mrs. Skurbe. Every perceived enemy of Skurbe and company is attacked by members of MICA. I wonder why??? **(This is a classic example of a criminal conspiracy. Let me spell it out for you: A group of individuals conspire to commit a crime. In this case the group would be a corrupted majority of the BOE, Charie Chanley, and a few of their supporters, and the thefts would be good and services for the BOE (the goods and services just happen to be the school system and all that it entails) and for Chanley it would be her ill-gotten gains (Chanley's contract would make her the highest paid employee in Monroe Township history).** For those of you who went along with the majority just for the sake of getting favors for your children or your friends. Shame on you and you should have known better.

I would like to give a great big thank you to Mr. Pete Piro, the former Director of Security of the Monroe Township School System. It is because of Mr. Piro that I am able to write this email. Mr. Piro is a decorated, retired police officer from Monroe Township. He spent 25 years in the police force, and 11 years working security for the school system. Mr. Piro has worked for many different superintendents and administrations, but he could only last 6 months working with Skurbe and Chanley. Attached is his resignation letter, and Mr. Piro has confirmed that he is willing to be questioned and to give sworn testimony. **Mr. Piro's resignation letter is Must Read material!**

The beauty of being a teacher, a journalist, a prosecutor or just having an inquisitive mind is that you look for trends. If something happens once, we can brush it off as an accident. If it happens a second time, you notice the trend, and if it happens a third time then it's a problem. Notice Skurbe and co.'s pattern for harassment and retaliation.

Below is another whistleblower complaint. Boy it seems like these are popping up on a daily basis. It seems like it confirms Skurbe's pattern of vindictive behavior, but since it is anonymous, we cannot corroborate the full details, but we can look at what was written about Chanley. Did Chanley lie about her credentials once again? What is the Monroe Township BOE going to do?

There are only 2 possible scenarios and everyone on the BOE not named Skurbe should be paying attention to this:

Painless Path Forward –

BOE investigates Chanley's record, and we all know that she lied. Chanley retires and Skurbe resigns as well. Adam Laymen becomes interim superintendent and we let the elections dictate who becomes the newest BOE members. (Anyone know a printer willing to manufacture signs for the upcoming election?) They actually hold a real interview process, and make the best selection to be the next superintendent. This would be the absolute best thing for Monroe Township. I know all of you are parents, and employees and I do not want to throw a monkey wrench into your lives. We can move on from this hiccup and do what is best for our town. The journalists who are blind copied on this email have promised that everything that they have seen is off the record and that they won't print any of this.

Scorched Earth Scenario -

This is what happens if the BOE does not investigate the new allegations against Chanley, or if there is any retaliation from Skurbe and crew towards my family, friends, staff or anyone else for that matter. We start talking to Middlesex County Prosecutor's office, and the fact that they are copied on this email, makes it really easy to communicate with them. We will be talking to the press on the record and we will start giving them tons of evidence, and you all know that as much evidence you think we have, we have even more...

Skurbe used information that she gained on Linked to target me and my family business. It's only fair that we do the same thing. While we are talking to the prosecutor's office and the press, I think it is only fair that we contact employers to inform them of their employees' extracurricular activities. I'm not sure that everyone realizes that due to decreased newspaper and magazine readership have decreased to the point where you can buy space in magazines and newspapers. Any guesses on why I would do the research for something like this??? FYI, I know higher ups on Wall Street too, and the one thing that people on Wall Street don't want is publicity. They don't want any attention brought to them while they make unseemly amounts of money, and the hedge fund people are the most secretive.

By the way, if you think I am joking, and that we won't follow through, ask yourself this one question, would I copy the people that I did on this email if I wasn't serious of following through?

I hope all of you are feeling sick to your stomachs right now, with a reasonably healthy amount of fear. It's no less than you deserve. We are all lucky to be living in one of the greatest towns in the country, and you are all unworthy to be representatives of Monroe.

I hope all of you make the right decision because we are all watching you.

Surendra Vallabhaneni

10 Belmont Court

Monroe Township, NJ 08831

I am not on Facebook, and am not able to attend or participate remotely in the Board of Education meeting. I could not post this on Facebook. I am hoping it makes it to you via this email. Note, my account is not my ordinary account or my true name. I am a teacher and also a parent. Mrs. Skurbe's record of vindictive behavior is of concern. Mrs. Chanley's record does not bode well, and I fear retribution. However, I could not stay silent on this matter. We need to have a superintendent and Board of Education with integrity.

Perhaps you can post the info below on Facebook, or perhaps you or someone else at the Board meeting might want to bring the following to the Board's attention and demand action.

Mrs. Chanley's resume includes verifiably false information, discussed below. To repeat: verifiably false. As in: patently untrue. This information is not simply intentionally misleading; it is outright and provably counterfactual. She was not a "Summa cum laude" graduate in either of the programs indicated on her resume, even if she had the grade point averages noted.

Note: Mrs. Chanley may not have intentionally lied. While the fictitious information on her resume may be the result of willful dishonesty, it may also stem from sheer stupidity, or, at best, utter ignorance (peppered with arrogance, opportunism, and a degree of self-importance so inflated as to render Mrs. Chanley incapable of recognizing her own arrogance).

Again, on the surface, to the uneducated or unaware or unethical, the false information may seem minor. To the rest of us, regardless of why it was included on the resume, **verifiably false information reflects poorly on Mrs. Chanley's character and judgement when it comes to what she is or is not authorized to do—so poorly that the Board of Education must immediately remove her from the acting superintendent position and really should begin the steps to remove her tenure as the middle school's principal.**

Mrs. Chanley notes her very commendable GPAs achieved in her studies at Florida Atlantic University and Monmouth University. Fine. No problem. Very good (presuming it is true).

However, twice Mrs. Chanley indicates she received the distinction "Summa cum laude" (under "Education Specialist, Educational Leadership" at Florida Atlantic University, and under "Master of Arts, Teaching" at

Monmouth University. This claim is verifiably false, even if the stated grade point averages are correct.

- The distinctions “Summa cum laude,” “Magna cum laude,” and “Cum laude” are generally only used in undergraduate programs, not graduate programs.
- In some universities, for undergraduates to achieve these distinctions, all that is required is a particular GPA. In others, a faculty endorsement is also needed. Thus, GPA is not the only factor.
- Mrs. Chanley indication that she received such a distinction demonstrates that when she crafted her resume, she was neither aware of the distinctions’ application to undergraduates only nor of the requirements that often exist beyond GPA.
- Here’s a little more information. Most, if not all, accredited graduate programs do not use these distinctions for graduate programs for a variety of reasons.

- In a graduate program, maintaining a high GPA is expected to be a given. In fact, most (legitimate) graduate programs have different grading standards to maintain matriculation. (For example, according to Florida Atlantic University’s website, for the program Mrs. Chanley completed, a minimum passing grade was considered to be a C. Earning a C- was considered failing.)
- Thus, general GPAs in graduate programs almost always far exceed those of undergraduate programs. Students who cannot achieve grades of A and B generally do not last. In fact, it is not uncommon for all of the graduates from within any particular graduate program to have an A average. While attrition is expected in graduate programs, there is no expectation of a “bell curve” among the GPAs of those who complete their degrees; in fact, it’s quite the opposite.
- Therefore, awarding distinctions such as “Summa cum laude,” etc., simply does not exist in graduate programs. The distinctions would be rendered meaningless, because everyone, or close to everyone, would have a distinction.
- Rather, often universities will convey prestige or honor to select graduate level students by awarding specific, named awards to individual graduates for specific achievements in research or contributions to their fields (for instance, some universities or programs convey named awards for outstanding dissertations, others for excellence in research or discovery, etc.).

- Please feel free to consult the websites or give a call to the registrars at Florida Atlantic University and/or Monmouth University. This information is easily checked.

○ Despite Mrs. Chanley’s indication on her resume that she received the distinction of “Summa cum laude” from both universities, and despite her commendable (if true) GPAs at both, neither Florida Atlantic University nor Monmouth University award the distinction of “summa cum laude” for graduate level degrees, only undergraduate degrees.

• The Board of Education must request and require proof of Mrs. Chanley’s claim to have received the “Summa cum laude” distinction from both universities. Accordingly, the Board must take commensurate action once Mrs. Chanley’s misrepresentation of her credentials is proven.

- Generally, degrees awarded with distinction are noted on official transcripts. Did the board ever require official transcripts? If so, were they read? If not, they must make this request now.
- Generally, commencement ceremony programs also indicate graduates who have received distinctions. (Again, have a look. Recent commencement programs from Monmouth University, for example, are online. A quick look shows the symbols used to designate degrees awarded with distinction are noted as pertaining to undergraduate degrees only.)
- What does it suggest about our Board of Education that this blatant untruth was overlooked?
 - * Did they read her resume?
 - * Did they have any knowledge as to the way degrees with distinction work? (Surely most graduate level educated people should know this basic fact...)
 - * Was receipt of the resume a pure sham to make it look like there was a valid vetting process?

- Mrs. Chanley clearly decided for herself that she was a “Summa cum laude” graduate. This distinction was not conveyed to her officially or unofficially by either institution from which she claims she earned it.

- Did Mrs. Chanley knowingly lie, or did she simply use their GPA standards for

undergraduate distinctions and mistakenly apply them to herself?

○ If indeed it was a mistake, on the surface level it would be easy to blow it off and say it was an honest human error. However, such a casual interpretation would belie the intent.

- Clearly, no one at either university conveyed this distinction to Mrs. Chanley.
- Mrs. Chanley took it upon herself to add that distinction to her resume without anything official from either university.
- Even if she had the GPA, didn't she know it was not official?
- Didn't she know these distinctions are not used in graduate programs?
- If she didn't know these distinctions did not apply to graduate students, didn't she know that often a student's GPA is not the only criteria on which the distinctions are awarded?
- Most importantly, why did Mrs. Chanley believe she had the authority to "award" herself a distinction?
- If Mrs. Chanley believes she has the right to make her own rules, then what "rules" will she create as superintendent, and how will they affect our district?
- If her stated GPAs are indeed truthful (and I am not suggesting they aren't) then why did she feel the need to add an honor she did not earn and, in fact, could not have earned? Why could she not have allowed the GPAs to speak for themselves? (And why did she not include her GPAs in the other programs she completed?)

▪ If Mrs. Chanley indeed lied, then about what else might she lie? And if she was simply mistaken, then it appears she "does not know what she does not know." In that case, what reckless actions might she take based on presumptions of knowledge that is, in fact, incorrect?

*** It is the latter potential that seems most dangerous. When so many other previous superintendents have rejected a potential plan as a potential money pit, and, more significantly, plainly unsafe, Mrs. Chanley again proves not to know what she does not know. Her arrogance is baffling, and the inclusion of the term "Summa cum laude" on her resume is much more than a minor error.**

From: Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us
Sent time: 07/29/2022 12:22:51 PM
To: surendraval@gmail.com; Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us; Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us; Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us; Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov; kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov; brianna.earle@nj.gov; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us
Subject: Re: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Im sorry is this the same person that bribed a sitting Board member by giving her son a job? You have no idea who I am and what I've done for this district. You get your information from the same psychopath that is bleeding this district dry with ethic complaints. You better have strong evidence with the misinformation you and your cronies are spreading about me. I'll put my money where my mouth is, you better be ready. Wasn't really planning on running a vitamin business, maybe I'll just turn it into a parking lot. You'll be hearing from me soon.

Have a great weekend!

From: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendraval@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:17 AM
To: Adi Nikitinsky <Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Chrissy Skurbe <Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Karen Bierman <Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Michele Arminio <Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US>; Katie Fabiano <Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kate Rattner <Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Ken Chiarella <Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kathleen Belko <Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Gazala Bohra <Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov <commissioner@doe.nj.gov>; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov <ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov>; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>; brianna.earle@nj.gov <brianna.earle@nj.gov>; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us <ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us>
Subject: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Some people who received this message don't often get email from surendraval@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the school district. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Monroe Township IT Department

Good Morning Monroe Township Board of Education Members!

I know that some of you have been extremely busy with your shenanigans, but I hope you all pause to read this email. I believe in complete transparency so I would like you to know all of the people that are on this email. I am sending this email to all of the members of the BOE, and I am copying the NJ State Commissioner of Education, Mr. Kyle Anderson, and the Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office. I am blind copying a whole bunch of other individuals including but not limited to: journalists, attorneys, and individuals in law enforcement, potential whistleblowers and a few other individuals. Please make sure that you carefully go through all of the attachments because the picture becomes a lot clearer.

First of all, I would like to apologize to Miss Arminio, Miss Rattner, and Miss Fabiano for prejudging them too harshly. Thank you ladies for proving me wrong. It actually brings a smile to my face when individuals who face adversity, stand by their convictions and who have their moral compass pointed in the right direction are extremely rare finds and should be valued and not attacked. Knowing Mrs. Skurbe and her cronies like I do, I know that this could not have been an easy task for the last few months. Due to the insecurities that authoritarian leaders (like Skurbe) have, any opinion that goes counter to their opinion is the wrong opinion. I know that the pressures to coalesce with the majority opinion (even when the choice is morally and ethically wrong) must have been great and I applaud you for voting with your conscience.

I think we need to first establish what the Board of Education is and isn't for municipalities. Think of the Board of Education as an educational charitable trust. This means that the Board of Education members need to safeguard the taxpayer funds to ensure that these funds are used in the best possible manner for the public. BOE members have a fiduciary responsibility to protect these funds. (Wouldn't it be great if we had someone with risk management experience on the BOE to limit the amount of Board of Education exposure to risk? Oh wait...) **It doesn't mean that you can use the taxpayer funds to reward your friends and punish your enemies.** The Board of Education is an entity of itself, and the insurance companies that the Board of Education uses only insures BOE members when they are performing legitimate BOE business. **These insurance companies do not insure BOE member when they are abusing their positions.** To ensure that the Board of Education as an entity is protected, the entity has an attorney. The BOE attorney is just that, he or she is the attorney for the entity and not the attorney for individual members of the Board of Education. **I wonder why the Monroe Township BOE attorney allowed the BOE to become this corrupted...**

As a quick FYI to the all of the individuals who are copied and blind copied on this email. The budget for the Monroe Township School District is \$140 million dollars. I believe it accounts for roughly 55% of the total tax bill that the each property owner pays in Monroe. Every single tax payer is affected by the performance of the school system. Property values are directly proportional to school performance in New Jersey, so if the school district is mismanaged, we are all affected.

Most of you have no idea who I am, so I want to give you a little background of who I am. I am the son of 1st generation immigrants from India who arrived in 1970. My dad came here with \$8.00 in his pocket. My parents started a manufacturing business in 1984, and they became financially successful. It wasn't easy, and for the first couple of years, my family were the only the employees. Below are the most valuable things that I learned from my parents were the following:

- 1) Education is the most valuable gift that you can give a child, because education is the great societal equalizer.
- 2) It is every individual's responsibility to give back to society, so the more fortunate that you are, the greater the responsibility you have to give back.

We moved to Monroe Township, NJ in 2015. Since we moved to Monroe, my wife and I have donated as generously as possible wherever we can. In the last year, we have donated approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 to Monroe charities. We sponsor 10 need based \$1,000 scholarships for graduating seniors. We give generously to Friends of the Senior Center (Food Pantry in Monroe), Deacon's Cupboard (Food Pantry in Jamesburg), the Monroe Educational Foundation, Soups for Rossmoor Seniors, Make-A-Wish and other charities. We give to anyone who needs a helping need not for any other reason, but because it is the right thing to do.

We try to give anonymously as much as possible, but the reason that I am explaining things in detail is that I need to establish my character to our wider audience. Prosecutors, investigators and journalists all need as much back story as possible to uncover the truth, and I have nothing to hide. (By the way, Skurbe I am sure that you can reach out to any of these organizations for my character reference, and to establish that I am in fact telling the truth. I hope everyone OPRA's all of my emails to the district and to the schools because it will be the fastest way to verify that I am telling the truth.

This is where the email gets really interesting.

I had heard that Monroe BOE meetings were getting combative and you had to be careful of certain individuals. I immediately thought that this had to be same made up nonsense. The first and only time that I ever spoke at a BOE meeting was the day that Dr. Dori Alvich announced her retirement, July, 2021. I spoke harshly to the BOE at the meeting as I have a right to do as a resident of Monroe Township, New Jersey.

Funny thing happened the next day. Chrissy Skurbe (using her online fake persona Suzy Ann) slandered me online, and she slandered my business. Until that moment, I was rarely on social media except for Twitter. My wife was the only who used FaceBook. After my wife saw the attacks by Skurbe and her friends, she immediately became frightened and no longer felt safe in her home. (Cyber bullying at its finest by Skurbe and the gang.) Everyone on this email chain knows the pain of seeing a loved one hurt or frightened, and now imagine knowing that this pain was being caused by an elected official using tax payer funds. (Highly illegal behavior by the way!) One thing that I realized almost immediately was that this not normal behavior, and that my wife and I probably just joined a large list of people targeted by Chrissy Skurbe. Sadly this turned out to be true. Monroe Township turned into a really bad movie and the villains had taken over.

By the way, one of the attachments shows an email that I sent the BOE a few months before I spoke at the July, 2021 BOE meetings about my frustrations with how the BOE was behaving in public. Skurbe knew who I was and she stated in public that my charitable giving was actually a way to bribe a BOE member. How insane is that behavior? I immediately contacted a friend from a college who is a former US Prosecutor. She told me that New Jersey has one of the toughest cyber laws in the country. I contacted a few other friends and family members who happen to be attorneys, and they advised me that targeted retaliatory harassment from elected officials against a class of individuals is a very winnable class action lawsuit. (This is why I blind copied the attorneys). I posted this on Facebook, and the first thing that happened was that Skurbe's Facebook group went from being a public group to becoming a private group. This confirmed a few things about Skurbe and company. She is nothing more than an authoritarian bully, and she and her bunch were morally and ethically corrupted.

Fast forward to January, 2022 of this year, when Skurbe became BOE president. Skurbe and Chanley moved to consolidate power. They also began their campaign of vengeance and retribution. Skurbe and Chanley seized funds from the Monroe Township High School Athletic Booster Club because they personally disliked the President of the Booster Club. Word spread throughout the district that you did not want to cross Skurbe and crew because not only did they have the majority of the BOE, but the Superintendent was in her pocket. The Monroe Township Educational system has lost an unusually large number of teachers, staff, and administrators in the 7 months since Skurbe became president. The damage that she and her cohorts have caused may be irreparable.

While Skurbe and Chanley were screwing over school district, I was able to join a group of individuals who realized that our school system was in trouble. We started connecting dots and we started to gain momentum. Whistleblowers in the district started to send emails and messages about irregularities and policy changes that were being implemented, so that Skurbe and crew could do whatever they wanted to at the expense of taxpayer dollars.

I met and spoke with parents, teachers, and staff who were worried that Skurbe and Chanley would retaliate towards their children or it would affect their livelihoods because of past disagreements or because they were seen as disloyal. Skurbe also let her friends (Tim Eosso is a name that everyone should familiarize themselves with) bully teachers, staff and administration. (I would be remiss to not mention Monroe Township's own crooked Indian weatherman, Mr. Prakash Parab, the president of MICA (another Indian organization with questionable motives). Mr. Parab seems to have an outsized influence with Mrs. Skurbe. Every perceived enemy of Skurbe and company is attacked by members of MICA. I wonder why??? **(This is a classic example of a criminal conspiracy. Let me spell it out for you: A group of individuals conspire to commit a crime. In this case the group would be a corrupted majority of the BOE, Charie Chanley, and a few of their supporters, and the thefts would be good and services for the BOE (the goods and services just happen to be the school system and all that it entails) and for Chanley it would be her ill-gotten gains (Chanley's contract would make her the highest paid employee in Monroe Township history).** For those of you who went along with the majority just for the sake of getting favors for your children or your friends. Shame on you and you should have known better.

I would like to give a great big thank you to Mr. Pete Piro, the former Director of Security of the Monroe Township School System. It is because of Mr. Piro that I am able to write this email. Mr. Piro is a decorated, retired police officer from Monroe Township. He spent 25 years in the police force, and 11 years working security for the school system. Mr. Piro has worked for many different superintendents and administrations, but he could only last 6 months working with Skurbe and Chanley. Attached is his resignation letter, and Mr. Piro has confirmed that he is willing to be questioned and to give sworn testimony. **Mr. Piro's resignation letter is Must Read material!**

The beauty of being a teacher, a journalist, a prosecutor or just having an inquisitive mind is that you look for trends. If something happens once, we can brush it off as an accident. If it happens a second time, you notice the trend, and if it happens a third time then it's a problem. Notice Skurbe and co.'s pattern for harassment and retaliation.

Below is another whistleblower complaint. Boy it seems like these are popping up on a daily basis. It seems like it confirms Skurbe's pattern of vindictive behavior, but since it is anonymous, we cannot corroborate the full details, but we can look at what was written about Chanley. Did Chanley lie about her credentials once again? What is the Monroe Township BOE going to do?

There are only 2 possible scenarios and everyone on the BOE not named Skurbe should be paying attention to this:

Painless Path Forward –

BOE investigates Chanley's record, and we all know that she lied. Chanley retires and Skurbe resigns as well. Adam Laymen becomes interim superintendent and we let the elections dictate who becomes the newest BOE members. (Anyone know a printer willing to manufacture signs for the upcoming election?) They actually hold a real interview process, and make the best selection to be the next superintendent. This would be the absolute best thing for Monroe Township. I know all of you are parents, and employees and I do not want to throw a monkey wrench into your lives. We can move on from this hiccup and do what is best for our town. The journalists who are blind copied on this email have promised that everything that they have seen is off the record and that they won't print any of this.

Scorched Earth Scenario -

This is what happens if the BOE does not investigate the new allegations against Chanley, or if there is any retaliation from Skurbe and crew towards my family, friends, staff or anyone else for that matter. We start talking to Middlesex County Prosecutor's office, and the fact that they are copied on this email, makes it really easy to communicate with them. We will be talking to the press on the record and we will start giving them tons of evidence, and you all know that as much evidence you think we have, we have even more...

Skurbe used information that she gained on Linked to target me and my family business. It's only fair that we do the same thing. While we are talking to the prosecutor's office and the press, I think it is only fair that we contact employers to inform them of their employees' extracurricular activities. I'm not sure that everyone realizes that due to decreased newspaper and magazine readership have decreased to the point where you can buy space in magazines and newspapers. Any guesses on why I would do the research for something like this??? FYI, I know higher ups on Wall Street too, and the one thing that people on Wall Street don't want is publicity. They don't want any attention brought to them while they make unseemly amounts of money, and the hedge fund people are the most secretive.

By the way, if you think I am joking, and that we won't follow through, ask yourself this one question, would I copy the people that I did on this email if I wasn't serious of following through?

I hope all of you are feeling sick to your stomachs right now, with a reasonably healthy amount of fear. It's no less than you deserve. We are all lucky to be living in one of the greatest towns in the country, and you are all unworthy to be representatives of Monroe.

I hope all of you make the right decision because we are all watching you.

Surendra Vallabhaneni
10 Belmont Court
Monroe Township, NJ 08831

I am not on Facebook, and am not able to attend or participate remotely in the Board of Education meeting. I could not post this on Facebook. I am hoping it makes it to you via this email. Note, my account is not my ordinary account or my true name. I am a teacher and also a parent. Mrs. Skurbe's record of vindictive behavior is of concern. Mrs. Chanley's record does not bode well, and I fear retribution. However, I could not stay silent on this matter. We need to have a superintendent and Board of Education with integrity.

Perhaps you can post the info below on Facebook, or perhaps you or someone else at the Board meeting might want to bring the following to the Board's attention and demand action.

Mrs. Chanley's resume includes verifiably false information, discussed below. To repeat: verifiably false. As in: patently untrue. This information is not simply intentionally misleading; it is outright and provably counterfactual. She was not a "Summa cum laude" graduate in either of the programs indicated on her resume, even if she had the grade point averages noted.

Note: Mrs. Chanley may not have intentionally lied. While the fictitious information on her resume may be the result of willful dishonesty, it may also stem from sheer stupidity, or, at best, utter ignorance (peppered with arrogance, opportunism, and a degree of self-importance so inflated as to render Mrs. Chanley incapable of recognizing her own arrogance).

Again, on the surface, to the uneducated or unaware or unethical, the false information may seem minor. To the rest of us, regardless of why it was included on the resume, **verifiably false information reflects poorly on Mrs. Chanley's character and judgement when it comes to what she is or is not authorized to do—so poorly that the Board of Education must immediately remove her from the acting superintendent position and really should begin the steps to remove her tenure as the middle school's principal.**

Mrs. Chanley notes her very commendable GPAs achieved in her studies at Florida Atlantic University and Monmouth University. Fine. No problem. Very good (presuming it is true).

However, twice Mrs. Chanley indicates she received the distinction "Summa cum laude" (under "Education Specialist, Educational Leadership" at Florida Atlantic University, and under "Master of Arts, Teaching" at Monmouth University. This claim is verifiably false, even if the stated grade point averages are correct.

- The distinctions "Summa cum laude," "Magna cum laude," and "Cum laude" are generally only used in undergraduate programs, not graduate programs.
- In some universities, for undergraduates to achieve these distinctions, all that is required is a particular GPA. In others, a faculty endorsement is also needed. Thus, GPA is not the only factor.
- Mrs. Chanley's indication that she received such a distinction demonstrates that when she crafted her resume, she was neither aware of the distinctions' application to undergraduates only nor of the requirements that often exist beyond GPA.
- Here's a little more information. Most, if not all, accredited graduate programs do not use these distinctions for graduate programs for a variety of reasons.

- In a graduate program, maintaining a high GPA is expected to be a given. In fact, most (legitimate) graduate programs have different grading standards to maintain matriculation. (For example, according to Florida Atlantic University's website, for the

program Mrs. Chanley completed, a minimum passing grade was considered to be a C. Earning a C- was considered failing.)

- Thus, general GPAs in graduate programs almost always far exceed those of undergraduate programs. Students who cannot achieve grades of A and B generally do not last. In fact, it is not uncommon for all of the graduates from within any particular graduate program to have an A average. While attrition is expected in graduate programs, there is no expectation of a “bell curve” among the GPAs of those who complete their degrees; in fact, it’s quite the opposite.
- Therefore, awarding distinctions such as “Summa cum laude,” etc., simply does not exist in graduate programs. The distinctions would be rendered meaningless, because everyone, or close to everyone, would have a distinction.
- Rather, often universities will convey prestige or honor to select graduate level students by awarding specific, named awards to individual graduates for specific achievements in research or contributions to their fields (for instance, some universities or programs convey named awards for outstanding dissertations, others for excellence in research or discovery, etc.).

- Please feel free to consult the websites or give a call to the registrars at Florida Atlantic University and/or Monmouth University. This information is easily checked.

○ **Despite Mrs. Chanley’s indication on her resume that she received the distinction of “Summa cum laude” from both universities, and despite her commendable (if true) GPAs at both, neither Florida Atlantic University nor Monmouth University award the distinction of “summa cum laude” for graduate level degrees, only undergraduate degrees.**

● **The Board of Education must request and require proof of Mrs. Chanley’s claim to have received the “Summa cum laude” distinction from both universities. Accordingly, the Board must take commensurate action once Mrs. Chanley’s misrepresentation of her credentials is proven.**

- Generally, degrees awarded with distinction are noted on official transcripts. Did the board ever require official transcripts? If so, were they read? If not, they must make this request now.

○ Generally, commencement ceremony programs also indicate graduates who have received distinctions. (Again, have a look. Recent commencement programs from Monmouth University, for example, are online. A quick look shows the symbols used to designate degrees awarded with distinction are noted as pertaining to undergraduate degrees only.)

- What does it suggest about our Board of Education that this blatant untruth was overlooked?
 - * Did they read her resume?
 - * Did they have any knowledge as to the way degrees with distinction work? (Surely most graduate level educated people should know this basic fact...)
 - * Was receipt of the resume a pure sham to make it look like there was a valid vetting process?

- Mrs. Chanley clearly decided for herself that she was a “Summa cum laude” graduate. This distinction was not conveyed to her officially or unofficially by either institution from which she claims she earned it.

- Did Mrs. Chanley knowingly lie, or did she simply use their GPA standards for undergraduate distinctions and mistakenly apply them to herself?
- If indeed it was a mistake, on the surface level it would be easy to blow it off and say it was an honest human error. However, such a casual interpretation would belie the intent.

- Clearly, no one at either university conveyed this distinction to Mrs. Chanley.
- Mrs. Chanley took it upon herself to add that distinction to her resume without anything official from either university.
- Even if she had the GPA, didn’t she know it was not official?
- Didn’t she know these distinctions are not used in graduate programs?
- If she didn’t know these distinctions did not apply to graduate students, didn’t she know that often a student’s GPA is not the only criteria on which the distinctions are awarded?

- Most importantly, why did Mrs. Chanley believe she had the authority to “award” herself a distinction?
- If Mrs. Chanley believes she has the right to make her own rules, then what "rules" will she create as superintendent, and how will they affect our district?
- If her stated GPAs are indeed truthful (and I am not suggesting they aren't) then why did she feel the need to add an honor she did not earn and, in fact, could not have earned? Why could she not have allowed the GPAs to speak for themselves? (And why did she not include her GPAs in the other programs she completed?)
- **If Mrs. Chanley indeed lied, then about what else might she lie? And if she was simply mistaken, then it appears she "does not know what she does not know." In that case, what reckless actions might she take based on presumptions of knowledge that is, in fact, incorrect?**
 - * **It is the latter potential that seems most dangerous. When so many other previous superintendents have rejected a potential plan as a potential money pit, and, more significantly, plainly unsafe, Mrs. Chanley again proves not to know what she does not know. Her arrogance is baffling, and the inclusion of the term "Summa cum laude" on her resume is much more than a minor error.**

From: Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us
Sent time: 07/29/2022 11:32:22 AM
To: surendra@gmail.com; Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us; Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us; Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us; Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US; Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us; Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov; kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov; brianna.earle@nj.gov; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us
Subject: Re: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Is this email for self-promotion? You are an apologist for the developers like the Mayor, Counsel, and the County Commissioners are.

You and they should be ashamed of yourselves for what you have done to this town.

For the record, I speak for myself and not for the board of Ed when I state the above and the fact that you bore me with your narcissistic, grandiose.

Have a splendid weekend.

Ken

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendra@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:17:15 AM
To: Adi Nikitinsky <Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Chrissy Skurbe <Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Karen Bierman <Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Michele Arminio <Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US>; Katie Fabiano <Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kate Rattner <Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Ken Chiarella <Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kathleen Belko <Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Gazala Bohra <Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov <commissioner@doe.nj.gov>; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov <ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov>; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>; brianna.earle@nj.gov <brianna.earle@nj.gov>; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us <ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us>
Subject: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Some people who received this message don't often get email from surendra@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the school district. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Monroe Township IT Department

Good Morning Monroe Township Board of Education Members!

I know that some of you have been extremely busy with your shenanigans, but I hope you all pause to read this email. I believe in complete transparency so I would like you to know all of the people that are on this email. I am sending this email to all of the members of the BOE, and I am copying the NJ State Commissioner of Education, Mr. Kyle Anderson, and the Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office. I am blind copying a whole bunch of other individuals including but not limited to: journalists, attorneys, and individuals in law enforcement, potential whistleblowers and a few other individuals. Please make sure that you carefully go through all of the attachments because the picture becomes a lot clearer.

First of all, I would like to apologize to Miss Arminio, Miss Rattner, and Miss Fabiano for prejudging them too harshly. Thank you ladies for proving me wrong. It actually brings a smile to my face when individuals who face adversity, stand by their convictions and who have their moral compass pointed in the right direction are extremely rare finds and should be valued and not attacked. Knowing Mrs. Skurbe and her cronies like I do, I know that this could not have been an easy task for the last few months. Due to the insecurities that authoritarian leaders (like Skurbe) have, any opinion that goes counter to their opinion is the wrong opinion. I know that the pressures to coalesce with the majority opinion (even when the choice is morally and ethically wrong) must have been great and I applaud you for voting with your conscience.

I think we need to first establish what the Board of Education is and isn't for municipalities. Think of the Board of Education as an educational charitable trust. This means that the Board of Education members need to safeguard the taxpayer funds to ensure that these funds are used in the best possible manner for the public. BOE members have a fiduciary responsibility to protect these funds. (Wouldn't it be great if we had someone with risk management experience on the BOE to limit the amount of Board of Education exposure to risk? Oh wait...) **It doesn't mean that you can use the taxpayer funds to reward your friends and punish your enemies.** The Board of Education is an entity of itself, and the insurance companies that the Board of Education uses only insures BOE members when they are performing legitimate BOE business. **These insurance companies do not insure BOE member when they are abusing their positions.** To ensure that the Board of Education as an entity is protected, the entity has an attorney. The BOE attorney is just that, he or she is the attorney for the entity and not the attorney for individual members of the Board of Education. **I wonder why the Monroe Township BOE attorney allowed the BOE to become this corrupted...**

As a quick FYI to the all of the individuals who are copied and blind copied on this email. The budget for the Monroe Township School District is \$140 million dollars. I believe it accounts for roughly 55% of the total tax bill that the each property owner pays in Monroe. Every single tax payer is affected by the performance of the school system. Property values are directly proportional to school performance in New Jersey, so if the school district is mismanaged, we are all affected.

Most of you have no idea who I am, so I want to give you a little background of who I am. I am the son of 1st generation immigrants from India who arrived in 1970. My dad came here with \$8.00 in his pocket. My parents started a manufacturing business in 1984, and they became financially successful. It wasn't easy, and for the first couple of years, my family were the only the employees. Below are the most valuable things that I learned from my parents were the following:

- 1) Education is the most valuable gift that you can give a child, because education is the great societal equalizer.
- 2) It is every individual's responsibility to give back to society, so the more fortunate that you are, the greater the responsibility you have to give back.

We moved to Monroe Township, NJ in 2015. Since we moved to Monroe, my wife and I have donated as generously as possible wherever we can. In the last year, we have donated approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 to Monroe charities. We sponsor 10 need based \$1,000 scholarships for graduating seniors. We give generously to Friends of the Senior Center (Food Pantry in Monroe), Deacon's Cupboard (Food Pantry in Jamesburg), the Monroe Educational Foundation, Soups for Rossmoor Seniors, Make-A-Wish and other charities. We give to anyone who needs a helping need not for any other reason, but because it is the right thing to do.

We try to give anonymously as much as possible, but the reason that I am explaining things in detail is that I need to establish my character to our wider audience. Prosecutors, investigators and journalists all need as much back story as possible to uncover the truth, and I have nothing to hide. (By the way, Skurbe I am sure that you can reach out to any of these organizations for my character reference, and to establish that I am in fact telling the truth. I hope everyone OPRA's all of my emails to the district and to the schools because it will be the fastest way to verify that I am telling the truth.

This is where the email gets really interesting.

I had heard that Monroe BOE meetings were getting combative and you had to be careful of certain individuals. I immediately thought that this had to be same made up nonsense. The first and only time that I ever spoke at a BOE meeting was the day that Dr. Dori Alvich announced her retirement, July, 2021. I spoke harshly to the BOE at the meeting as I have a right to do as a resident of Monroe Township, New Jersey.

Funny thing happened the next day. Chrissy Skurbe (using her online fake persona Suzy Ann) slandered me online, and she slandered my business. Until that moment, I was rarely on social media except for Twitter. My wife was the only who used FaceBook. After my wife saw the attacks by Skurbe and her friends, she immediately became frightened and no longer felt safe in her home. (Cyber bullying at its finest by Skurbe and the gang.) Everyone on this email chain knows the pain of seeing a loved one hurt or frightened, and now imagine knowing that this pain was being caused by an elected official using tax payer funds. (Highly illegal behavior by the way!) One thing that I realized almost immediately was that this not normal behavior, and that my wife and I probably just joined a large list of people targeted by Chrissy Skurbe. Sadly this turned out to be true. Monroe Township turned into a really bad movie and the villains had taken over.

By the way, one of the attachments shows an email that I sent the BOE a few months before I spoke at the July, 2021 BOE meetings about my frustrations with how the BOE was behaving in public. Skurbe knew who I was and she stated in public that my charitable giving was actually a way to bribe a BOE member. How insane is that behavior? I immediately contacted a friend from a college who is a former US Prosecutor. She told me that New Jersey has one of the toughest cyber laws in the country. I contacted a few other friends and family members who happen to be attorneys, and they advised me that targeted retaliatory harassment from elected officials against a class of individuals is a very winnable class action lawsuit. (This is why I blind copied the attorneys). I posted this on Facebook, and the first thing that happened was that Skurbe's Facebook group went from being a public group to becoming a private group. This confirmed a few things about Skurbe and company. She is nothing more than an authoritarian bully, and she and her bunch were morally and ethically corrupted.

Fast forward to January, 2022 of this year, when Skurbe became BOE president. Skurbe and Chanley moved to consolidate power. They also began their campaign of vengeance and retribution. Skurbe and Chanley seized funds from the Monroe Township High School Athletic Booster Club because they personally disliked the President of the Booster Club. Word spread throughout the district that you did not want to cross Skurbe and crew because not only did they have the majority of the BOE, but the Superintendent was in her pocket. The Monroe Township Educational system has lost an unusually large number of teachers, staff, and administrators in the 7 months since Skurbe became president. The damage that she and her cohorts have caused may be irreparable.

While Skurbe and Chanley were screwing over school district, I was able to join a group of individuals who realized that our school system was in trouble. We started connecting dots and we started to gain momentum. Whistleblowers in the district started to send emails and messages about irregularities and policy changes that were being implemented, so that Skurbe and crew could do whatever they wanted to at the expense of taxpayer dollars.

I met and spoke with parents, teachers, and staff who were worried that Skurbe and Chanley would retaliate towards their children or it would affect their livelihoods because of past disagreements or because they were seen as disloyal. Skurbe also let her friends (Tim Eosso is a name that everyone should familiarize themselves with) bully teachers, staff and administration. (I would be remiss to not mention Monroe Township's own crooked Indian weatherman, Mr. Prakash Parab, the president of MICA (another Indian organization with questionable motives). Mr. Parab seems to have an outsized influence with Mrs. Skurbe. Every perceived enemy of Skurbe and company is attacked by members of MICA. I wonder why??? **(This is a classic example of a criminal conspiracy. Let me spell it out for you: A group of individuals conspire to commit a crime. In this case the group would be a corrupted majority of the BOE, Charie Chanley, and a few of their supporters, and the thefts would be good and services for the BOE (the goods and services just happen to be the school system and all that it entails) and for Chanley it would be her ill-gotten gains (Chanley's contract would make her the highest paid employee in Monroe Township history).** For those of you who went along with the majority just for the sake of getting favors for your children or your friends. Shame on you and you should have known better.

I would like to give a great big thank you to Mr. Pete Piro, the former Director of Security of the Monroe Township School System. It is because of Mr. Piro that I am able to write this email. Mr. Piro is a decorated, retired police officer from Monroe Township. He spent 25 years in the police force, and 11 years working security for the school system. Mr. Piro has worked for many different superintendents and administrations, but he could only last 6 months working with Skurbe and Chanley. Attached is his resignation letter, and Mr. Piro has confirmed that he is willing to be questioned and to give sworn testimony. **Mr. Piro's resignation letter is Must Read material!**

The beauty of being a teacher, a journalist, a prosecutor or just having an inquisitive mind is that you look for trends. If something happens once, we can brush it off as an accident. If it happens a second time, you notice the trend, and if it happens a third time then it's a problem. Notice Skurbe and co.'s pattern for harassment and retaliation.

Below is another whistleblower complaint. Boy it seems like these are popping up on a daily basis. It seems like it confirms Skurbe's pattern of vindictive behavior, but since it is anonymous, we cannot corroborate the full details, but we can look at what was written about Chanley. Did Chanley lie about her credentials once again? What is the Monroe Township BOE going to do?

There are only 2 possible scenarios and everyone on the BOE not named Skurbe should be paying attention to this:

Painless Path Forward –

BOE investigates Chanley's record, and we all know that she lied. Chanley retires and Skurbe resigns as well. Adam Laymen becomes interim superintendent and we let the elections dictate who becomes the newest BOE members. (Anyone know a printer willing to manufacture signs for the upcoming election?) They actually hold a real interview process, and make the best selection to be the next superintendent. This would be the absolute best thing for Monroe Township. I know all of you are parents, and employees and I do not want to throw a monkey wrench into your lives. We can move on from this hiccup and do what is best for our town. The journalists who are blind copied on this email have promised that everything that they have seen is off the record and that they won't print any of this.

Scorched Earth Scenario -

This is what happens if the BOE does not investigate the new allegations against Chanley, or if there is any retaliation from Skurbe and crew towards my family, friends, staff or anyone else for that matter. We start talking to Middlesex County Prosecutor's office, and the fact that they are copied on this email, makes it really easy to communicate with them. We will be talking to the press on the record and we will start giving them tons of evidence, and you all know that as much evidence you think we have, we have even more...

Skurbe used information that she gained on Linked to target me and my family business. It's only fair that we do the same thing. While we are talking to the prosecutor's office and the press, I think it is only fair that we contact employers to inform them of their employees' extracurricular activities. I'm not sure that everyone realizes that due to decreased newspaper and magazine readership have decreased to the point where you can buy space in magazines and newspapers. Any guesses on why I would do the research for something like this??? FYI, I know higher ups on Wall Street too, and the one thing that people on Wall Street don't want is publicity. They don't want any attention brought to them while they make unseemly amounts of money, and the hedge fund people are the most secretive.

By the way, if you think I am joking, and that we won't follow through, ask yourself this one question, would I copy the people that I did on this email if I wasn't serious of following through?

I hope all of you are feeling sick to your stomachs right now, with a reasonably healthy amount of fear. It's no less than you deserve. We are all lucky to be living in one of the greatest towns in the country, and you are all unworthy to be representatives of Monroe.

I hope all of you make the right decision because we are all watching you.

Surendra Vallabhaneni

10 Belmont Court

Monroe Township, NJ 08831

I am not on Facebook, and am not able to attend or participate remotely in the Board of Education meeting. I could not post this on Facebook. I am hoping it makes it to you via this email. Note, my account is not my ordinary account or my true name. I am a teacher and also a parent. Mrs. Skurbe's record of vindictive behavior is of concern. Mrs. Chanley's record does not bode well, and I fear retribution. However, I could not stay silent on this matter. We need to have a superintendent and Board of Education with integrity.

Perhaps you can post the info below on Facebook, or perhaps you or someone else at the Board meeting might want to bring the following to the Board's attention and demand action.

Mrs. Chanley's resume includes verifiably false information, discussed below. To repeat: verifiably false. As in: patently untrue. This information is not simply intentionally misleading; it is outright and provably counterfactual. She was not a "Summa cum laude" graduate in either of the programs indicated on her resume, even if she had the grade point averages noted.

Note: Mrs. Chanley may not have intentionally lied. While the fictitious information on her resume may be the result of willful dishonesty, it may also stem from sheer stupidity, or, at best, utter ignorance (peppered with arrogance, opportunism, and a degree of self-importance so inflated as to render Mrs. Chanley incapable of recognizing her own arrogance).

Again, on the surface, to the uneducated or unaware or unethical, the false information may seem minor. To the rest of us, regardless of why it was included on the resume, **verifiably false information reflects poorly on Mrs. Chanley's character and judgement when it comes to what she is or is not authorized to do—so poorly that the Board of Education must immediately remove her from the acting superintendent position and really should begin the steps to remove her tenure as the middle school's principal.**

Mrs. Chanley notes her very commendable GPAs achieved in her studies at Florida Atlantic University and Monmouth University. Fine. No problem. Very good (presuming it is true).

However, twice Mrs. Chanley indicates she received the distinction "Summa cum laude" (under "Education Specialist, Educational Leadership" at Florida Atlantic University, and under "Master of Arts, Teaching" at

Monmouth University. This claim is verifiably false, even if the stated grade point averages are correct.

- The distinctions “Summa cum laude,” “Magna cum laude,” and “Cum laude” are generally only used in undergraduate programs, not graduate programs.
- In some universities, for undergraduates to achieve these distinctions, all that is required is a particular GPA. In others, a faculty endorsement is also needed. Thus, GPA is not the only factor.
- Mrs. Chanley indication that she received such a distinction demonstrates that when she crafted her resume, she was neither aware of the distinctions’ application to undergraduates only nor of the requirements that often exist beyond GPA.
- Here’s a little more information. Most, if not all, accredited graduate programs do not use these distinctions for graduate programs for a variety of reasons.

- In a graduate program, maintaining a high GPA is expected to be a given. In fact, most (legitimate) graduate programs have different grading standards to maintain matriculation. (For example, according to Florida Atlantic University’s website, for the program Mrs. Chanley completed, a minimum passing grade was considered to be a C. Earning a C- was considered failing.)
- Thus, general GPAs in graduate programs almost always far exceed those of undergraduate programs. Students who cannot achieve grades of A and B generally do not last. In fact, it is not uncommon for all of the graduates from within any particular graduate program to have an A average. While attrition is expected in graduate programs, there is no expectation of a “bell curve” among the GPAs of those who complete their degrees; in fact, it’s quite the opposite.
- Therefore, awarding distinctions such as “Summa cum laude,” etc., simply does not exist in graduate programs. The distinctions would be rendered meaningless, because everyone, or close to everyone, would have a distinction.
- Rather, often universities will convey prestige or honor to select graduate level students by awarding specific, named awards to individual graduates for specific achievements in research or contributions to their fields (for instance, some universities or programs convey named awards for outstanding dissertations, others for excellence in research or discovery, etc.).

- Please feel free to consult the websites or give a call to the registrars at Florida Atlantic University and/or Monmouth University. This information is easily checked.

○ Despite Mrs. Chanley’s indication on her resume that she received the distinction of “Summa cum laude” from both universities, and despite her commendable (if true) GPAs at both, neither Florida Atlantic University nor Monmouth University award the distinction of “summa cum laude” for graduate level degrees, only undergraduate degrees.

• The Board of Education must request and require proof of Mrs. Chanley’s claim to have received the “Summa cum laude” distinction from both universities. Accordingly, the Board must take commensurate action once Mrs. Chanley’s misrepresentation of her credentials is proven.

- Generally, degrees awarded with distinction are noted on official transcripts. Did the board ever require official transcripts? If so, were they read? If not, they must make this request now.
- Generally, commencement ceremony programs also indicate graduates who have received distinctions. (Again, have a look. Recent commencement programs from Monmouth University, for example, are online. A quick look shows the symbols used to designate degrees awarded with distinction are noted as pertaining to undergraduate degrees only.)
- What does it suggest about our Board of Education that this blatant untruth was overlooked?
 - * Did they read her resume?
 - * Did they have any knowledge as to the way degrees with distinction work? (Surely most graduate level educated people should know this basic fact...)
 - * Was receipt of the resume a pure sham to make it look like there was a valid vetting process?

- Mrs. Chanley clearly decided for herself that she was a “Summa cum laude” graduate. This distinction was not conveyed to her officially or unofficially by either institution from which she claims she earned it.

- Did Mrs. Chanley knowingly lie, or did she simply use their GPA standards for

undergraduate distinctions and mistakenly apply them to herself?

- If indeed it was a mistake, on the surface level it would be easy to blow it off and say it was an honest human error. However, such a casual interpretation would belie the intent.

- Clearly, no one at either university conveyed this distinction to Mrs. Chanley.
- Mrs. Chanley took it upon herself to add that distinction to her resume without anything official from either university.
- Even if she had the GPA, didn't she know it was not official?
- Didn't she know these distinctions are not used in graduate programs?
- If she didn't know these distinctions did not apply to graduate students, didn't she know that often a student's GPA is not the only criteria on which the distinctions are awarded?

- Most importantly, why did Mrs. Chanley believe she had the authority to "award" herself a distinction?

- If Mrs. Chanley believes she has the right to make her own rules, then what "rules" will she create as superintendent, and how will they affect our district?

- If her stated GPAs are indeed truthful (and I am not suggesting they aren't) then why did she feel the need to add an honor she did not earn and, in fact, could not have earned? Why could she not have allowed the GPAs to speak for themselves? (And why did she not include her GPAs in the other programs she completed?)

- **If Mrs. Chanley indeed lied, then about what else might she lie? And if she was simply mistaken, then it appears she "does not know what she does not know." In that case, what reckless actions might she take based on presumptions of knowledge that is, in fact, incorrect?**

- * **It is the latter potential that seems most dangerous. When so many other previous superintendents have rejected a potential plan as a potential money pit, and, more significantly, plainly unsafe, Mrs. Chanley again proves not to know what she does not know. Her arrogance is baffling, and the inclusion of the term "Summa cum laude" on her resume is much more than a minor error.**

From: Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us
Sent time: 07/29/2022 11:34:11 AM
To: surendra@gmail.com; Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us; Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us; Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us; Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US; Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us; Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us; Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov; kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov; brianna.earle@nj.gov; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us
Subject: Re: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Grandiose self-absorbed promotion.

Now I am done.

Ken

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Ken Chiarella <Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:32:22 AM
To: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendra@gmail.com>; Adi Nikitinsky <Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Chrissy Skurbe <Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Karen Bierman <Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Michele Arminio <Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US>; Katie Fabiano <Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kate Rattner <Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kathleen Belko <Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Gazala Bohra <Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov <commissioner@doe.nj.gov>; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov <ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov>; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>; brianna.earle@nj.gov <brianna.earle@nj.gov>; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us <ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us>
Subject: Re: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Is this email for self-promotion? You are an apologist for the developers like the Mayor, Counsel, and the County Commissioners are.

You and they should be ashamed of yourselves for what you have done to this town.

For the record, I speak for myself and not for the board of Ed when I state the above and the fact that you bore me with your narcissistic, grandiose.

Have a splendid weekend.

Ken

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendra@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:17:15 AM
To: Adi Nikitinsky <Adi.Nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Chrissy Skurbe <Chrissy.Skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Karen Bierman <Karen.Bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Michele Arminio <Michele.Arminio@Monroe.K12.NJ.US>; Katie Fabiano <Katie.Fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kate Rattner <Kate.Rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Ken Chiarella <Ken.Chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Kathleen Belko <Kathleen.Belko@monroe.k12.nj.us>; Gazala Bohra <Gazala.Bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us>
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov <commissioner@doe.nj.gov>; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov <ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov>; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>; brianna.earle@nj.gov <brianna.earle@nj.gov>; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us <ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us>
Subject: [External Email]Monroe Township BOE shenanigans

Some people who received this message don't often get email from surendra@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the school district. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Monroe Township IT Department

Good Morning Monroe Township Board of Education Members!

I know that some of you have been extremely busy with your shenanigans, but I hope you all pause to read this email. I believe in complete transparency so I would like you to know all of the people that are on this email. I am sending this email to all of the members of the BOE, and I am copying the NJ State Commissioner of Education, Mr. Kyle Anderson, and the Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office. I am blind copying a whole bunch of other individuals including but not limited to: journalists, attorneys, and individuals in law enforcement, potential whistleblowers and a few other individuals. Please make sure that you carefully go through all of the attachments because the picture becomes a lot clearer.

First of all, I would like to apologize to Miss Arminio, Miss Rattner, and Miss Fabiano for prejudging them too harshly. Thank you ladies for proving me wrong. It actually brings a smile to my face when individuals who face adversity, stand by their convictions and who have their moral compass pointed in the right direction are extremely rare finds and should be valued and not attacked. Knowing Mrs. Skurbe and her cronies like I do, I know that this could not have been an easy task for the last few months. Due to the insecurities that authoritarian leaders (like Skurbe) have, any opinion that goes counter to their opinion is the wrong opinion. I know that the pressures to coalesce with the majority opinion (even when the choice is morally and ethically wrong) must have been great and I applaud you for voting with your conscience.

I think we need to first establish what the Board of Education is and isn't for municipalities. Think of the Board of Education as an educational charitable trust. This means that the Board of Education members need to safeguard the taxpayer funds to ensure that these funds are used in the best possible manner for the public. BOE members have a fiduciary responsibility to protect these funds. (Wouldn't it be great if we had someone with risk management experience on the BOE to limit the amount of Board of Education exposure to risk? Oh wait...) **It doesn't mean that you can use the taxpayer funds to reward your friends and punish your enemies.** The Board of Education is an entity of itself, and the insurance companies that the Board of Education uses only insures BOE members when they are performing legitimate BOE business. **These insurance companies do not insure BOE member when they are abusing their positions.** To ensure that the Board of Education as an entity is protected, the entity has an attorney. The BOE attorney is just that, he or she is the attorney for the entity and not the attorney for individual members of the Board of Education. **I wonder why the Monroe Township BOE attorney allowed the BOE to become this corrupted...**

As a quick FYI to the all of the individuals who are copied and blind copied on this email. The budget for the Monroe Township School District is \$140 million dollars. I believe it accounts for roughly 55% of the total tax bill that the each property owner pays in Monroe. Every single tax payer is affected by the performance of the school system. Property values are directly proportional to school performance in New Jersey, so if the school district is mismanaged, we are all affected.

Most of you have no idea who I am, so I want to give you a little background of who I am. I am the son of 1st generation immigrants from India who arrived in 1970. My dad came here with \$8.00 in his pocket. My parents started a manufacturing business in 1984, and they became financially successful. It wasn't easy, and for the first couple of years, my family were the only the employees. Below are the most valuable things that I learned from my parents were the following:

- 1) Education is the most valuable gift that you can give a child, because education is the great societal equalizer.
- 2) It is every individual's responsibility to give back to society, so the more fortunate that you are, the greater the responsibility you have to give back.

We moved to Monroe Township, NJ in 2015. Since we moved to Monroe, my wife and I have donated as generously as possible wherever we can. In the last year, we have donated approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 to Monroe charities. We sponsor 10 need based \$1,000 scholarships for graduating seniors. We give generously to Friends of the Senior Center (Food Pantry in Monroe), Deacon's Cupboard (Food Pantry in Jamesburg), the Monroe Educational Foundation, Soups for Rossmoor Seniors, Make-A-Wish and other charities. We give to anyone who needs a helping need not for any other reason, but because it is the right thing to do.

We try to give anonymously as much as possible, but the reason that I am explaining things in detail is that I need to establish my character to our wider audience. Prosecutors, investigators and journalists all need as much back story as possible to uncover the truth, and I have nothing to hide. (By the way, Skurbe I am sure that you can reach out to any of these organizations for my character reference, and to establish that I am in fact telling the truth. I hope everyone OPRA's all of my emails to the district and to the schools because it will be the fastest way to verify that I am telling the truth.

This is where the email gets really interesting.

I had heard that Monroe BOE meetings were getting combative and you had to be careful of certain individuals. I immediately thought that this had to be same made up nonsense. The first and only time that I ever spoke at a BOE meeting was the day that Dr. Dori Alvich announced her retirement, July, 2021. I spoke harshly to the BOE at the meeting as I have a right to do as a resident of Monroe Township, New Jersey.

Funny thing happened the next day. Chrissy Skurbe (using her online fake persona Suzy Ann) slandered me online, and she slandered my business. Until that moment, I was rarely on social media except for Twitter. My wife was the only who used FaceBook. After my wife saw the attacks by Skurbe and her friends, she immediately became frightened and no longer felt safe in her home. (Cyber bullying at its finest by Skurbe and the gang.) Everyone on this email chain knows the pain of seeing a loved one hurt or frightened, and now imagine knowing that this pain was being caused by an elected official using tax payer funds. (Highly illegal behavior by the way!) One thing that I realized almost immediately was that this not normal behavior, and that my wife and I probably just joined a large list of people targeted by Chrissy Skurbe. Sadly this turned out to be true. Monroe Township turned into a really bad movie and the villains had taken over.

By the way, one of the attachments shows an email that I sent the BOE a few months before I spoke at the July, 2021 BOE meetings about my frustrations with how the BOE was behaving in public. Skurbe knew who I was and she stated in public that my charitable giving was actually a way to bribe a BOE member. How insane is that behavior? I immediately contacted a friend from a college who is a former US Prosecutor. She told me that New Jersey has one of the toughest cyber laws in the country. I contacted a few other friends and family members who happen to be attorneys, and they advised me that targeted retaliatory harassment from elected officials against a class of individuals is a very winnable class action lawsuit. (This is why I blind copied the attorneys). I posted this on Facebook, and the first thing that happened was that Skurbe's Facebook group went from being a public group to becoming a private group. This confirmed a few things about Skurbe and company. She is nothing more than an authoritarian bully, and she and her bunch were morally and ethically corrupted.

Fast forward to January, 2022 of this year, when Skurbe became BOE president. Skurbe and Chanley moved to consolidate power. They also began their campaign of vengeance and retribution. Skurbe and Chanley seized funds from the Monroe Township High School Athletic Booster Club because they personally disliked the President of the Booster Club. Word spread throughout the district that you did not want to cross Skurbe and crew because not only did they have the majority of the BOE, but the Superintendent was in her pocket. The Monroe Township Educational system has lost an unusually large number of teachers, staff, and administrators in the 7 months since Skurbe became president. The damage that she and her cohorts have caused may be irreparable.

While Skurbe and Chanley were screwing over school district, I was able to join a group of individuals who realized that our school system was in trouble. We started connecting dots and we started to gain momentum. Whistleblowers in the district started to send emails and messages about irregularities and policy changes that were being implemented, so that Skurbe and crew could do whatever they wanted to at the expense of taxpayer dollars.

I met and spoke with parents, teachers, and staff who were worried that Skurbe and Chanley would retaliate towards their children or it would affect their livelihoods because of past disagreements or because they were seen as disloyal. Skurbe also let her friends (Tim Eosso is a name that everyone should familiarize themselves with) bully teachers, staff and administration. (I would be remiss to not mention Monroe Township's own crooked Indian weatherman, Mr. Prakash Parab, the president of MICA (another Indian organization with questionable motives). Mr. Parab seems to have an outsized influence with Mrs. Skurbe. Every perceived enemy of Skurbe and company is attacked by members of MICA. I wonder why??? **(This is a classic example of a criminal conspiracy. Let me spell it out for you: A group of individuals conspire to commit a crime. In this case the group would be a corrupted majority of the BOE, Charie Chanley, and a few of their supporters, and the thefts would be good and services for the BOE (the goods and services just happen to be the school system and all that it entails) and for Chanley it would be her ill-gotten gains (Chanley's contract would make her the highest paid employee in Monroe Township history).** For those of you who went along with the majority just for the sake of getting favors for your children or your friends. Shame on you and you should have known better.

I would like to give a great big thank you to Mr. Pete Piro, the former Director of Security of the Monroe Township School System. It is because of Mr. Piro that I am able to write this email. Mr. Piro is a decorated, retired police officer from Monroe Township. He spent 25 years in the police force, and 11 years working security for the school system. Mr. Piro has worked for many different superintendents and administrations, but he could only last 6 months working with Skurbe and Chanley. Attached is his resignation letter, and Mr. Piro has confirmed that he is willing to be questioned and to give sworn testimony. **Mr. Piro's resignation letter is Must Read material!**

The beauty of being a teacher, a journalist, a prosecutor or just having an inquisitive mind is that you look for trends. If something happens once, we can brush it off as an accident. If it happens a second time, you notice the trend, and if it happens a third time then it's a problem. Notice Skurbe and co.'s pattern for harassment and retaliation.

Below is another whistleblower complaint. Boy it seems like these are popping up on a daily basis. It seems like it confirms Skurbe's pattern of vindictive behavior, but since it is anonymous, we cannot corroborate the full details, but we can look at what was written about Chanley. Did Chanley lie about her credentials once again? What is the Monroe Township BOE going to do?

There are only 2 possible scenarios and everyone on the BOE not named Skurbe should be paying attention to this:

Painless Path Forward –

BOE investigates Chanley's record, and we all know that she lied. Chanley retires and Skurbe resigns as well. Adam Laymen becomes interim superintendent and we let the elections dictate who becomes the newest BOE members. (Anyone know a printer willing to manufacture signs for the upcoming election?) They actually hold a real interview process, and make the best selection to be the next superintendent. This would be the absolute best thing for Monroe Township. I know all of you are parents, and employees and I do not want to throw a monkey wrench into your lives. We can move on from this hiccup and do what is best for our town. The journalists who are blind copied on this email have promised that everything that they have seen is off the record and that they won't print any of this.

Scorched Earth Scenario -

This is what happens if the BOE does not investigate the new allegations against Chanley, or if there is any retaliation from Skurbe and crew towards my family, friends, staff or anyone else for that matter. We start talking to Middlesex County Prosecutor's office, and the fact that they are copied on this email, makes it really easy to communicate with them. We will be talking to the press on the record and we will start giving them tons of evidence, and you all know that as much evidence you think we have, we have even more...

Skurbe used information that she gained on Linked to target me and my family business. It's only fair that we do the same thing. While we are talking to the prosecutor's office and the press, I think it is only fair that we contact employers to inform them of their employees' extracurricular activities. I'm not sure that everyone realizes that due to decreased newspaper and magazine readership have decreased to the point where you can buy space in magazines and newspapers. Any guesses on why I would do the research for something like this??? FYI, I know higher ups on Wall Street too, and the one thing that people on Wall Street don't want is publicity. They don't want any attention brought to them while they make unseemly amounts of money, and the hedge fund people are the most secretive.

By the way, if you think I am joking, and that we won't follow through, ask yourself this one question, would I copy the people that I did on this email if I wasn't serious of following through?

I hope all of you are feeling sick to your stomachs right now, with a reasonably healthy amount of fear. It's no less than you deserve. We are all lucky to be living in one of the greatest towns in the country, and you are all unworthy to be representatives of Monroe.

I hope all of you make the right decision because we are all watching you.

Surendra Vallabhaneni

10 Belmont Court

Monroe Township, NJ 08831

I am not on Facebook, and am not able to attend or participate remotely in the Board of Education meeting. I could not post this on Facebook. I am hoping it makes it to you via this email. Note, my account is not my ordinary account or my true name. I am a teacher and also a parent. Mrs. Skurbe's record of vindictive behavior is of concern. Mrs. Chanley's record does not bode well, and I fear retribution. However, I could not stay silent on this matter. We need to have a superintendent and Board of Education with integrity.

Perhaps you can post the info below on Facebook, or perhaps you or someone else at the Board meeting might want to bring the following to the Board's attention and demand action.

Mrs. Chanley's resume includes verifiably false information, discussed below. To repeat: verifiably false. As in: patently untrue. This information is not simply intentionally misleading; it is outright and provably counterfactual. She was not a "Summa cum laude" graduate in either of the programs indicated on her resume, even if she had the grade point averages noted.

Note: Mrs. Chanley may not have intentionally lied. While the fictitious information on her resume may be the result of willful dishonesty, it may also stem from sheer stupidity, or, at best, utter ignorance (peppered with arrogance, opportunism, and a degree of self-importance so inflated as to render Mrs. Chanley incapable of recognizing her own arrogance).

Again, on the surface, to the uneducated or unaware or unethical, the false information may seem minor. To the rest of us, regardless of why it was included on the resume, **verifiably false information reflects poorly on Mrs. Chanley's character and judgement when it comes to what she is or is not authorized to do—so poorly that the Board of Education must immediately remove her from the acting superintendent position and really should begin the steps to remove her tenure as the middle school's principal.**

Mrs. Chanley notes her very commendable GPAs achieved in her studies at Florida Atlantic University and Monmouth University. Fine. No problem. Very good (presuming it is true).

However, twice Mrs. Chanley indicates she received the distinction "Summa cum laude" (under "Education Specialist, Educational Leadership" at Florida Atlantic University, and under "Master of Arts, Teaching" at Monmouth University. This claim is verifiably false, even if the stated grade point averages are correct.

- The distinctions "Summa cum laude," "Magna cum laude," and "Cum laude" are generally only used in undergraduate programs, not graduate programs.
- In some universities, for undergraduates to achieve these distinctions, all that is required is a particular GPA. In others, a faculty endorsement is also needed. Thus, GPA is not the only factor.
- Mrs. Chanley's indication that she received such a distinction demonstrates that when she crafted her resume, she was neither aware of the distinctions' application to undergraduates only nor of the requirements that often exist beyond GPA.
- Here's a little more information. Most, if not all, accredited graduate programs do not use these distinctions for graduate programs for a variety of reasons.
 - In a graduate program, maintaining a high GPA is expected to be a given. In fact, most (legitimate) graduate programs have different grading standards to maintain matriculation. (For example, according to Florida Atlantic University's website, for the program Mrs. Chanley completed, a minimum passing grade was considered to be a C. Earning a C- was considered failing.)
 - Thus, general GPAs in graduate programs almost always far exceed those of undergraduate programs. Students who cannot achieve grades of A and B generally do not last. In fact, it is not uncommon for all of the graduates from within any particular graduate program to have an A average. While attrition is expected in graduate programs, there is no expectation of a "bell curve" among the GPAs of those who complete their degrees; in fact, it's quite the opposite.
 - Therefore, awarding distinctions such as "Summa cum laude," etc., simply does not exist in graduate programs. The distinctions would be rendered meaningless, because everyone, or close to everyone, would have a distinction.
 - Rather, often universities will convey prestige or honor to select graduate level students by awarding specific, named awards to individual graduates for specific achievements in research or contributions to their fields (for instance, some universities or programs convey named awards for outstanding dissertations, others for excellence in research or discovery, etc.).
- Please feel free to consult the websites or give a call to the registrars at Florida Atlantic University and/or Monmouth University. This information is easily checked.
- **Despite Mrs. Chanley's indication on her resume that she received the distinction of "Summa cum laude" from both universities, and despite her commendable (if true) GPAs at both, neither Florida Atlantic University nor Monmouth University award the distinction of "summa cum laude" for graduate level degrees, only undergraduate degrees.**
- **The Board of Education must request and require proof of Mrs. Chanley's claim to have received the "Summa cum laude" distinction from both universities. Accordingly, the Board must take commensurate action once Mrs. Chanley's misrepresentation of her credentials is proven.**
 - Generally, degrees awarded with distinction are noted on official transcripts. Did the board ever require official transcripts? If so, were they read? If not, they must make this request now.

○ Generally, commencement ceremony programs also indicate graduates who have received distinctions. (Again, have a look. Recent commencement programs from Monmouth University, for example, are online. A quick look shows the symbols used to designate degrees awarded with distinction are noted as pertaining to undergraduate degrees only.)

○ What does it suggest about our Board of Education that this blatant untruth was overlooked?

* Did they read her resume?

* Did they have any knowledge as to the way degrees with distinction work? (Surely most graduate level educated people should know this basic fact...)

* Was receipt of the resume a pure sham to make it look like there was a valid vetting process?

• Mrs. Chanley clearly decided for herself that she was a "Summa cum laude" graduate. This distinction was not conveyed to her officially or unofficially by either institution from which she claims she earned it.

○ Did Mrs. Chanley knowingly lie, or did she simply use their GPA standards for undergraduate distinctions and mistakenly apply them to herself?

○ If indeed it was a mistake, on the surface level it would be easy to blow it off and say it was an honest human error. However, such a casual interpretation would belie the intent.

▪ Clearly, no one at either university conveyed this distinction to Mrs. Chanley.

▪ Mrs. Chanley took it upon herself to add that distinction to her resume without anything official from either university.

▪ Even if she had the GPA, didn't she know it was not official?

▪ Didn't she know these distinctions are not used in graduate programs?

▪ If she didn't know these distinctions did not apply to graduate students, didn't she know that often a student's GPA is not the only criteria on which the distinctions are awarded?

▪ Most importantly, why did Mrs. Chanley believe she had the authority to "award" herself a distinction?

▪ If Mrs. Chanley believes she has the right to make her own rules, then what "rules" will she create as superintendent, and how will they affect our district?

▪ If her stated GPAs are indeed truthful (and I am not suggesting they aren't) then why did she feel the need to add an honor she did not earn and, in fact, could not have earned? Why could she not have allowed the GPAs to speak for themselves? (And why did she not include her GPAs in the other programs she completed?)

▪ **If Mrs. Chanley indeed lied, then about what else might she lie? And if she was simply mistaken, then it appears she "does not know what she does not know." In that case, what reckless actions might she take based on presumptions of knowledge that is, in fact, incorrect?**

* **It is the latter potential that seems most dangerous. When so many other previous superintendents have rejected a potential plan as a potential money pit, and, more significantly, plainly unsafe, Mrs. Chanley again proves not to know what she does not know. Her arrogance is baffling, and the inclusion of the term "Summa cum laude" on her resume is much more than a minor error.**

From: Surendra Vallabhaneni <surendraval@gmail.com>
Sent time: 07/29/2022 11:17:15 AM
To: adi.nikitinsky@monroe.k12.nj.us; chrissy.skurbe@monroe.k12.nj.us; karen.bierman@monroe.k12.nj.us; michele.arminio@monroe.k12.nj.us; katie.fabiano@monroe.k12.nj.us; kate.rattner@monroe.k12.nj.us; ken.chiarella@monroe.k12.nj.us; kathleen.belko@monroe.k12.nj.us; gazala.bohra@monroe.k12.nj.us
Cc: commissioner@doe.nj.gov; ControversiesDisputes@doe.nj.gov; brianna.earle@nj.gov; ryan.stolte@co.middlesex.nj.us; Kyle Anderson <kyle.anderson@doe.nj.gov>
Subject: [External Email] Monroe Township BOE shenanigans
Attachments: Pete Piro Resignation Letter.pdf P. Piro Commendation 1.heic P. Piro Commendation 2.heic Fwd April Booster Club Meeting - 4 7 21.msg Fwd Booster Club Meeting Agenda.msg Letter from a concerned parent in Monroe.msg Letter to Education Commissioner.eml

Some people who received this message don't often get email from surendraval@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the school district. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Monroe Township IT Department

Good Morning Monroe Township Board of Education Members!

I know that some of you have been extremely busy with your shenanigans, but I hope you all pause to read this email. I believe in complete transparency so I would like you to know all of the people that are on this email. I am sending this email to all of the members of the BOE, and I am copying the NJ State Commissioner of Education, Mr. Kyle Anderson, and the Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office. I am blind copying a whole bunch of other individuals including but not limited to: journalists, attorneys, and individuals in law enforcement, potential whistleblowers and a few other individuals. Please make sure that you carefully go through all of the attachments because the picture becomes a lot clearer.

First of all, I would like to apologize to Miss Arminio, Miss Rattner, and Miss Fabiano for prejudging them too harshly. Thank you ladies for proving me wrong. It actually brings a smile to my face when individuals who face adversity, stand by their convictions and who have their moral compass pointed in the right direction are extremely rare finds and should be valued and not attacked. Knowing Mrs. Skurbe and her cronies like I do, I know that this could not have been an easy task for the last few months. Due to the insecurities that authoritarian leaders (like Skurbe) have, any opinion that goes counter to their opinion is the wrong opinion. I know that the pressures to coalesce with the majority opinion (even when the choice is morally and ethically wrong) must have been great and I applaud you for voting with your conscience.

I think we need to first establish what the Board of Education is and isn't for municipalities. Think of the Board of Education as an educational charitable trust. This means that the Board of Education members need to safeguard the taxpayer funds to ensure that these funds are used in the best possible manner for the public. BOE members have a fiduciary responsibility to protect these funds. (Wouldn't it be great if we had someone with risk management experience on the BOE to limit the amount of Board of Education exposure to risk? Oh wait...) **It doesn't mean that you can use the taxpayer funds to reward your friends and punish your enemies.** The Board of Education is an entity of itself, and the insurance companies that the Board of Education uses only insures BOE members when they are performing legitimate BOE business. **These insurance companies do not insure BOE member when they are abusing their positions.** To ensure that the Board of Education as an entity is protected, the entity has an attorney. The BOE attorney is just that, he or she is the attorney for the entity and not the attorney for individual members of the Board of Education. **I wonder why the Monroe Township BOE attorney allowed the BOE to become this corrupted...**

As a quick FYI to the all of the individuals who are copied and blind copied on this email. The budget for the Monroe Township School District is \$140 million dollars. I believe it accounts for roughly 55% of the total tax bill that the each property owner pays in Monroe. Every single tax payer is affected by the performance of the school system. Property values are directly proportional to school performance in New Jersey, so if the school district is mismanaged, we are all affected.

Most of you have no idea who I am, so I want to give you a little background of who I am. I am the son of 1st generation immigrants from India who arrived in 1970. My dad came here with \$8.00 in his pocket. My parents started a manufacturing business in 1984, and they became financially successful. It wasn't easy, and for the first couple of years, my family were the only the employees. Below are the most valuable things that I learned from my parents were the following:

- 1) Education is the most valuable gift that you can give a child, because education is the great societal equalizer.
- 2) It is every individual's responsibility to give back to society, so the more fortunate that you are, the greater the responsibility you have to give back.

We moved to Monroe Township, NJ in 2015. Since we moved to Monroe, my wife and I have donated as generously as possible wherever we can. In the last year, we have donated approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 to Monroe charities. We sponsor 10 need based \$1,000 scholarships for graduating seniors. We give generously to Friends of the Senior Center (Food Pantry in Monroe), Deacon's Cupboard (Food Pantry in Jamesburg), the Monroe Educational Foundation, Soups for Rossmoor Seniors, Make-A-Wish and other charities. We give to anyone who needs a helping hand not for any other reason, but because it is the right thing to do.

We try to give anonymously as much as possible, but the reason that I am explaining things in detail is that I need to establish my character to our wider audience. Prosecutors, investigators and journalists all need as much back story as possible to uncover the truth, and I have nothing to hide. (By the way, Skurbe I am sure that you can reach out to any of these organizations for my character reference, and to establish that I am in fact telling the truth. I hope everyone OPRA's all of my emails to the district and to the schools because it will be the fastest way to verify that I am telling the truth.

This is where the email gets really interesting.

I had heard that Monroe BOE meetings were getting combative and you had to be careful of certain individuals. I immediately thought that this had to be some made up nonsense. The first and only time that I ever spoke at a BOE meeting was the day that Dr. Dori Alvich announced her retirement, July, 2021. I spoke harshly to the BOE at the meeting as I have a right to do as a resident of Monroe Township, New Jersey.

Funny thing happened the next day. Chrissy Skurbe (using her online fake persona Suzy Ann) slandered me online, and she slandered my business. Until that moment, I was rarely on social media except for Twitter. My wife was the only who used FaceBook. After my wife saw the attacks by Skurbe and her friends, she immediately became frightened and no longer felt safe in her home. (Cyber bullying at its finest by Skurbe and the gang.) Everyone on this email chain knows the pain of seeing a loved one hurt or frightened, and now imagine knowing that this pain was being caused by an elected official using tax payer funds. (Highly illegal behavior by the way!) One thing that I realized almost immediately was that this not normal behavior, and that my wife and I probably just joined a large list of people targeted by Chrissy Skurbe. Sadly this turned out to be true. Monroe Township turned into a really bad movie and the villains had taken over.

By the way, one of the attachments shows an email that I sent the BOE a few months before I spoke at the July, 2021 BOE meetings about my frustrations with how the BOE was behaving in public. Skurbe knew who I was and she stated in public that my charitable giving was actually a way to bribe a BOE member. How insane is that behavior? I immediately contacted a friend from a college who is a former US Prosecutor. She told me that New Jersey has one of the toughest cyber laws in the country. I contacted a few other friends and family members who happen to be attorneys, and they advised me that targeted retaliatory harassment from elected officials against a class of individuals is a very winnable class action lawsuit. (This is why I blind copied the attorneys). I posted this on Facebook, and the first thing that happened was that Skurbe's Facebook group went from being a public group to becoming a private group. This confirmed a few things about Skurbe and company. She is nothing more than an authoritarian bully, and she and her bunch were morally and ethically corrupted.

Fast forward to January, 2022 of this year, when Skurbe became BOE president. Skurbe and Chanley moved to consolidate power. They also began their campaign of vengeance and retribution. Skurbe and Chanley seized funds from the Monroe Township High School Athletic Booster Club because they personally disliked the President of the Booster Club. Word spread throughout the district that you did not want to cross Skurbe and crew because not only did they have the majority of the BOE, but the Superintendent was in her pocket. The Monroe Township Educational system has lost an unusually large number of teachers, staff, and administrators in the 7 months since Skurbe became president. The damage that she and her cohorts have caused may be irreparable.

While Skurbe and Chanley were screwing over school district, I was able to join a group of individuals who realized that our school system was in trouble. We started connecting dots and we started to gain momentum. Whistleblowers in the district started to send emails and messages about irregularities and policy changes that were being implemented, so that Skurbe and crew could do whatever they wanted to at the expense of taxpayer dollars.

I met and spoke with parents, teachers, and staff who were worried that Skurbe and Chanley would retaliate towards their children or it would affect their livelihoods because of past disagreements or because they were seen as disloyal. Skurbe also let her friends (Tim Eosso is a name that everyone should familiarize themselves with) bully teachers, staff and administration. (I would be remiss to not mention Monroe Township's own crooked Indian weatherman, Mr. Prakash Parab, the president of MICA (another Indian organization with questionable motives). Mr. Parab seems to have an outsized influence with Mrs. Skurbe. Every perceived enemy of Skurbe and company is attacked by members of MICA. I wonder why??? **(This is a classic example of a criminal conspiracy. Let me spell it out for you: A group of individuals conspire to commit a crime. In this case the group would be a corrupted majority of the BOE, Charlie Chanley, and a few of their supporters, and the thefts would be good and services for the BOE (the goods and services just happen to be the school system and all that it entails) and for Chanley it would be her ill-gotten gains (Chanley's contract would make her the highest paid employee in Monroe Township history)).** For those of you who went along with the majority just for the sake of getting favors for your children or your friends. Shame on you and you should have known better.

I would like to give a great big thank you to Mr. Pete Piro, the former Director of Security of the Monroe Township School System. It is because of Mr. Piro that I am able to write this email. Mr. Piro is a decorated, retired police officer from Monroe Township. He spent 25 years in the police force, and 11 years working security for the school system. Mr. Piro has worked for many different superintendents and administrations, but he could only last 6 months working with Skurbe and Chanley. Attached is his resignation letter, and Mr. Piro has confirmed that he is willing to be questioned and to give sworn testimony. **Mr. Piro's resignation letter is Must Read material!**

The beauty of being a teacher, a journalist, a prosecutor or just having an inquisitive mind is that you look for trends. If something happens once, we can brush it off as an accident. If it happens a second time, you notice the trend, and if it happens a third time then it's a problem. Notice Skurbe and co.'s pattern for harassment and retaliation.

Below is another whistleblower complaint. Boy it seems like these are popping up on a daily basis. It seems like it confirms Skurbe's pattern of vindictive behavior, but since it is anonymous, we cannot corroborate the full details, but we can look at what was written about Chanley. Did Chanley lie about her credentials once again? What is the Monroe Township BOE going to do?

There are only 2 possible scenarios and everyone on the BOE not named Skurbe should be paying attention to this:

Painless Path Forward –

BOE investigates Chanley's record, and we all know that she lied. Chanley retires and Skurbe resigns as well. Adam Laymen becomes interim superintendent and we let the elections dictate who becomes the newest BOE members. (Anyone know a printer willing to manufacture signs for the upcoming election?) They actually hold a real interview process, and make the best selection to be the next superintendent. This would be the absolute best thing for Monroe Township. I know all of you are parents, and employees and I do not want to throw a monkey wrench into your lives. We can move on from this hiccup and do what is best for our town. The journalists who are blind copied on this email have promised that everything that they have seen is off the record and that they won't print any of this.

Scorched Earth Scenario -

This is what happens if the BOE does not investigate the new allegations against Chanley, or if there is any retaliation from Skurbe and crew towards my family, friends, staff or anyone else for that matter. We start talking to Middlesex County Prosecutor's office, and the fact that they are copied on this email, makes it really easy to communicate with them. We will be talking to the press on the record and we will start giving them tons of evidence, and you all know that as much evidence you think we have, we have even more...

Skurbe used information that she gained on Linked to target me and my family business. It's only fair that we do the same thing. While we are talking to the prosecutor's office and the press, I think it is only fair that we contact employers to inform them of their employees' extracurricular activities. I'm not sure that everyone realizes that due to decreased newspaper and magazine readership have decreased to the point where you can buy space in magazines and newspapers. Any guesses on why I would do the research for something like this??? FYI, I know higher ups on Wall Street too, and the one thing that people on Wall Street don't want is publicity. They don't want any attention brought to them while they make unseemly amounts of money, and the hedge fund people are the most secretive.

By the way, if you think I am joking, and that we won't follow through, ask yourself this one question, would I copy the people that I did on this email if I wasn't serious of following through?

I hope all of you are feeling sick to your stomachs right now, with a reasonably healthy amount of fear. It's no less than you deserve. We are all lucky to be living in one of the greatest towns in the country, and you are all unworthy to be representatives of Monroe.

I hope all of you make the right decision because we are all watching you.

Surendra Vallabhaneni

10 Belmont Court

Monroe Township, NJ 08831

I am not on Facebook, and am not able to attend or participate remotely in the Board of Education meeting. I could not post this on Facebook. I am hoping it makes it to you via this email. Note, my account is not my ordinary account or my true name. I am a teacher and also a parent. Mrs. Skurbe's record of vindictive behavior is of concern. Mrs. Chanley's record does not bode well, and I fear retribution. However, I could not stay silent on this matter. We need to have a superintendent and Board of Education with integrity.

Perhaps you can post the info below on Facebook, or perhaps you or someone else at the Board meeting might want to bring the following to the Board's attention and demand action.

Mrs. Chanley's resume includes verifiably false information, discussed below. To repeat: verifiably false. As in: patently untrue. This information is not simply intentionally misleading; it is outright and provably counterfactual. She was not a "Summa cum laude" graduate in either of the programs indicated on her resume, even if she had the grade point averages noted.

Note: Mrs. Chanley may not have intentionally lied. While the fictitious information on her resume may be the result of willful dishonesty, it may also stem from sheer stupidity, or, at best, utter ignorance (peppered with arrogance, opportunism, and a degree of self-importance so inflated as to render Mrs. Chanley incapable of recognizing her own arrogance).

Again, on the surface, to the uneducated or unaware or unethical, the false information may seem minor. To the rest of us, regardless of why it was included on the resume, **verifiably false information reflects poorly on Mrs. Chanley's character and judgement when it comes to what she is or is not authorized to do—so poorly that the Board of Education must immediately remove her from the acting superintendent position and really should begin the steps to remove her tenure as the middle school's principal.**

Mrs. Chanley notes her very commendable GPAs achieved in her studies at Florida Atlantic University and Monmouth University. Fine. No problem. Very good (presuming it is true).

However, twice Mrs. Chanley indicates she received the distinction "Summa cum laude" (under "Education Specialist, Educational Leadership" at Florida Atlantic University, and under "Master of Arts, Teaching" at Monmouth University. This claim is verifiably false, even if the stated grade point averages are correct.

- The distinctions "Summa cum laude," "Magna cum laude," and "Cum laude" are generally only used in undergraduate programs, not graduate programs.
- In some universities, for undergraduates to achieve these distinctions, all that is required is a particular GPA. In others, a faculty endorsement is also needed. Thus, GPA is not the only factor.
- Mrs. Chanley indication that she received such a distinction demonstrates that when she crafted her resume, she was neither aware of the distinctions' application to undergraduates only nor of the requirements that often exist beyond GPA.
- Here's a little more information. Most, if not all, accredited graduate programs do not use these distinctions for graduate programs for a variety of reasons.
 - In a graduate program, maintaining a high GPA is expected to be a given. In fact, most (legitimate) graduate programs have different grading standards to maintain matriculation. (For example, according to Florida Atlantic University's website, for the program Mrs. Chanley completed, a minimum passing grade was considered to be a C. Earning a C- was considered failing.)
 - Thus, general GPAs in graduate programs almost always far exceed those of undergraduate programs. Students who cannot achieve grades of A and B generally do not last. In fact, it is not uncommon for all of the graduates from within any particular graduate program to have an A average. While attrition is expected in graduate programs, there is no expectation of a "bell curve" among the GPAs of those who complete their degrees; in fact, it's quite the opposite.
 - Therefore, awarding distinctions such as "Summa cum laude," etc., simply does not exist in graduate programs. The distinctions would be rendered meaningless, because everyone, or close to everyone, would have a distinction.
 - Rather, often universities will convey prestige or honor to select graduate level

students by awarding specific, named awards to individual graduates for specific achievements in research or contributions to their fields (for instance, some universities or programs convey named awards for outstanding dissertations, others for excellence in research or discovery, etc.).

- Please feel free to consult the websites or give a call to the registrars at Florida Atlantic University and/or Monmouth University. This information is easily checked.

○ **Despite Mrs. Chanley's indication on her resume that she received the distinction of "Summa cum laude" from both universities, and despite her commendable (if true) GPAs at both, neither Florida Atlantic University nor Monmouth University award the distinction of "summa cum laude" for graduate level degrees, only undergraduate degrees.**

• **The Board of Education must request and require proof of Mrs. Chanley's claim to have received the "Summa cum laude" distinction from both universities. Accordingly, the Board must take commensurate action once Mrs. Chanley's misrepresentation of her credentials is proven.**

○ Generally, degrees awarded with distinction are noted on official transcripts. Did the board ever require official transcripts? If so, were they read? If not, they must make this request now.

○ Generally, commencement ceremony programs also indicate graduates who have received distinctions. (Again, have a look. Recent commencement programs from Monmouth University, for example, are online. A quick look shows the symbols used to designate degrees awarded with distinction are noted as pertaining to undergraduate degrees only.)

○ What does it suggest about our Board of Education that this blatant untruth was overlooked?

* Did they read her resume?

* Did they have any knowledge as to the way degrees with distinction work? (Surely most graduate level educated people should know this basic fact...)

* Was receipt of the resume a pure sham to make it look like there was a valid vetting process?

- Mrs. Chanley clearly decided for herself that she was a "Summa cum laude" graduate. This distinction was not conveyed to her officially or unofficially by either institution from which she claims she earned it.

○ Did Mrs. Chanley knowingly lie, or did she simply use their GPA standards for undergraduate distinctions and mistakenly apply them to herself?

○ If indeed it was a mistake, on the surface level it would be easy to blow it off and say it was an honest human error. However, such a casual interpretation would belie the intent.

▪ Clearly, no one at either university conveyed this distinction to Mrs. Chanley.

▪ Mrs. Chanley took it upon herself to add that distinction to her resume without anything official from either university.

▪ Even if she had the GPA, didn't she know it was not official?

▪ Didn't she know these distinctions are not used in graduate programs?

▪ If she didn't know these distinctions did not apply to graduate students, didn't she know that often a student's GPA is not the only criteria on which the distinctions are awarded?

▪ Most importantly, why did Mrs. Chanley believe she had the authority to "award" herself a distinction?

▪ If Mrs. Chanley believes she has the right to make her own rules, then what "rules" will she create as superintendent, and how will they affect our district?

▪ If her stated GPAs are indeed truthful (and I am not suggesting they aren't) then why did she feel the need to add an honor she did not earn and, in fact, could not have earned? Why could she not have allowed the GPAs to speak for themselves? (And why did she not include her GPAs in the other programs she completed?)

▪ **If Mrs. Chanley indeed lied, then about what else might she lie? And if she was simply mistaken, then it appears she "does not know what she does not know." In that case, what reckless actions might she take based on presumptions of knowledge that is, in fact, incorrect?**

* **It is the latter potential that seems most dangerous. When so many other previous**

superintendents have rejected a potential plan as a potential money pit, and, more significantly, plainly unsafe, Mrs. Chanley again proves not to know what she does not know. Her arrogance is baffling, and the inclusion of the term "Summa cum laude" on her resume is much more than a minor error.