

From: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Gene J. Anthony Esq.](#)
Subject: FW:
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 8:32:06 AM

Committee members,

Please see below email from Jim Chenitz. Do you have interest in acquiring a light system to notify speakers when their five minute limit is approaching?

Rick

From: James Chenitz [mailto:~~@x~~]
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <~~@x~~>
Subject:

Hi Rick--

Last week I forwarded to you a copy of the letter I sent to the Township Committee members and am wondering if it was included as part of the official record. I would like it to be in the record. Would you please let me know if it is. If it is not, please let me know what needs to be done to get it in.

Also, I had thought of this before Monday evening but, having been cut off by the clock, I was fully reminded, we need a better method than just shutting people off because they exceeded their 5 minutes. At conferences I've attended as well as those I've been a speaker, they use a light system that gives a green light, yellow warning (varies in time for how much warning your getting) and a red to tell you it is time to wrap it up.

So, we could do green for 3 minutes, full yellow for 1, flashing yellow for 1, and red, and it should be visible to speakers. But, cutting someone off, abruptly, seems harsh and means the committee misses out on ideas citizens wish to share.

I'm offering these thoughts to you and hope you will present them to whomever is the correct party to give it further consideration.

Thank you for all you've done for this township. You are outstanding!!!

Jim

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:59:43 AM

Nick,

I am meeting with Jim tomorrow on another matter but will discuss this issue with him. I am not aware of such a regulation but will do some follow up and get back to you. Certainly not a police matter, rather our Shade Tree Commission and DPW could get involved.

Our current ordinance permits residents on developed single family lots to clear cut their lot should they desire to do so. We should visit this ordinance language and develop some new guidelines.

Vito

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:56 AM
To: Vito Gadaleta <~~x@x~~>
Subject: Fwd: Police matter

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <~~x@x~~>
Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:49:01 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Police matter

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Carol Rizzo](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Michael Bascom](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#); [Robert Lane](#)
Cc: [Leanne Hoffmann](#)
Subject: FW: PDM 2011
Date: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:27:03 PM

Please see below response from NJOEM concerning the flood mitigation project. I would suggest, based upon public opinion, that Township revise the scope of work to provide only for the storm drainage portion of the project, and submit the scope change to NJOEM and FEMA. We would be looking for a reduced federal commitment.

If the members of the Committee agree, I would get out a press release now for public distribution prior to the meeting.

Vito

From: Jillian Stokley [mailto:~~@xx~~]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Vito Gadaleta <~~@xx~~>
Cc: Leanne Hoffmann <~~@xx~~>
Subject: Re: PDM 2011

Good Afternoon,

Leanne had reached out to me about this recently. You can revise the scope of work based upon the public comments and we can submit a scope of work change request to FEMA.

FEMA will be the ultimate decision maker as to whether or not a scope change is allowed, but if the redesign is due to public concern I think they will allow for it (as Mike Foley indicated).

If the redesign increases the budget we can request additional funding from FEMA but it is unlikely that additional funds will be available, as the PDM/FMA funding pots are limited.

In order to process of scope change with FEMA you will need to provide NJOEM with a letter requesting the change, detailing the reason for the change (e.g. concerns raised by the residents), along with the newly proposed design plan.

Should the township wish to drop the project entirely instead of seeking a scope change, you just need to provide a letter stating you wish to return the obligated funding and closeout the grant.

Those would basically be your two options, seek a scope change or return the funding. If this is a priority area for the township I would definitely recommend the scope change route before returning funding.

If you have any additional questions please contact me.

Regards,

Jillian Stokley

NJOEM Mitigation Grants Specialist

Desk: 732-866-1513

Cell: 609-462-5642

Email: @._____

>>> Vito Gadaleta <@._____> 8/21/2017 1:21 PM >>>
> _____

Good afternoon Jillian. I am reaching out today concerning PDM 2011 grant. We are getting some serious public pushback on the project. In fact one resident advised at a recent public hearing that he had spoken with Mike Foley about the project and this resident, Jim Chenitz stated that Mike Foley had said FEMA would support a scaled down, phased in project. I sent an email to Mike but have not received a reply. Our next public hearing is one week from today. I need to advise our governing body of their options. If there is any assistance or direction you can provide me it would be most appreciated. Thank you for your cooperation and considerations.

Vito D. Gadaleta, RMC, QPA, NJCEM

Business Administrator
Township of Neptune
732-988-5200, ext. 232

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE The information contained in this communication from the Division of State Police is privileged and confidential and is intended for the sole use of the persons or entities who are the addressees. If you are not an intended recipient of this e-mail, the dissemination, distribution, copying or use of the information it contains is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately contact the Division of State Police at (609) 882-2000 to arrange for the return of this information.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee
Date: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 10:57:51 AM

Nick

I slipped out early last evening due to an awful nights sleep on Sunday but do hope to get a chance to get to know you. From the bench your very pleasant smile makes one feel very comfortable.

Best regards!

Jim

On Aug 25, 2017 2:35 PM, "Nicholas Williams" <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Jim

Thank you for all your concern and especially your input in making our decision to table the Shark River project. As you can see this Committee does not take public opinion lightly and do listen to our residents. The voting members of Neptune put your future in our hands to do the right thing. I feel we did the right thing and can keep a better eye on the situation with proper data moving forward. I feel good about not changing the river at all except to do the sewage and drain work. I like many others have and. Intimidating to use the river as much as possible. I have had a boat in the river for the past 25 years I've resided in the Hills. Hope you have a great weekend and will see you Monday ugh get if you attend

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[x@x](#)>

Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 9:53:54 AM

To: Carol Rizzo; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Rick Cuttrell; Robert Lane; Vito Gadaleta

Subject: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee

An expression of our Gratitude!

From: [Carol Rizzo](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Cc: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Fwd: Tree Ordinance Changes
Date: Friday, August 24, 2018 2:28:18 PM

Should we discuss this in a workshop?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Date: August 24, 2018 at 10:34:14 AM EDT
To: Carol Rizzo <[xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)>
Cc: Rick Cuttrell <[xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)>, Jim Chenitz
<[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>, Susan Gloor Chenitz <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>, Maire <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Subject: Re: Tree Ordinance Changes

Hi Carol,

Four months ago we had the below email conversation.

Your last reply to me was that you would ask the committee to consider my request.

My request was "I'm requesting Neptune to reach out to Ocean Twp to discuss the issues as you put it "we are likely to lose if you go to court" It appears that Ocean Twp, right or wrong, sees it differently"

Can you please provide an update of your request for committee followup ?

Thank you
Ray

On May 28, 2018, at 12:26 PM, Carol Rizzo
<[xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)> wrote:

Ray,
I will ask the Committee to consider your request. Be well.
Carol

Sent from my iPhone

On May 28, 2018, at 10:27 AM, Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
wrote:

Hi Carol.

Thank you again for your time to explain Neptune's position.

Where I'm still a little puzzled is why Ocean Twp has an ordinance with cutting restrictions and replanting requirements as law in their township.

It's certainly possible that Ocean Twp has missed the point that you have made that it will not stand up in court. But, it is also possible that Neptune is missing something.

Given that two adjoining towns feel so differently would lead me to believe a discussion between those two towns is necessary.

I'm requesting Neptune to reach out to Ocean Twp to discuss the issues as you put it "we are likely to lose if you go to court"

It appears that Ocean Twp, right or wrong, sees it differently.

Respectfully
Ray

On May 22, 2018, at 4:02 PM, Carol Rizzo
<xxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Hi Ray

I don't think you misunderstood anything.

You are right.

The issue I think maybe that the Committee and the Town need to develop new strategies to make keeping the existing trees healthy and strong, encourage homeowners to plant new trees that can thrive in our environment, perhaps even be beautiful and lush, and also discourage people from cutting down healthy trees because they don't want leaves(just making that up cause I don't understand cutting down a beautiful tree).

The bigger issue about trees on smaller properties is a property rights issue and one we are likely to lose if we had to go to court. So essentially, we need to find ways to keep the trees and have people care and properly

maintain them.

So can you and the Committee develop ideas to encourage people to maintain and love their trees?

Carol

***Carol Rizzo
Deputy Mayor
Neptune Township***

Sent from my iPhone

On May 22, 2018, at 10:21 AM, Ray Dodd
<xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx> wrote:

Hi Carol,

Thanks for taking the time to respond, it's always good to hear back from the committee.

Dead or hazardous trees must be dealt with, of course. And if replanting after their removal is planned that's really a positive move on the part of townships. I'd like to see that happen.

My concern focuses more with the ordinance allowing unlimited removal of perfectly healthy trees with no permit and with no replanting requirements.

After meeting with the Shade Tree Commission last fall the plan was to remove the 1 acre clause treating all trees on all lots equally. The current ordinance requires permitting on lots 1 acre or greater.

However, additional wording has been introduced to allow 10 trees to be cut with no replanting requirements. Given the fact that the vast majority of

lots contain less than 10 trees,
this new wording gives
permission to cut as much as
you want and no replanting is
required. Basically no change to
cutting practices.

I honestly do not see a
difference, in regards to
protecting trees, between the
current and proposed change to
the ordinance. Both allow clear
cutting to continue.
I cannot support this change.

If I have misunderstood the
proposed changes please
advise.

Respectfully
Ray

On May 22, 2018,
at 9:06 AM, Carol
Rizzo

xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Hi Ray
About 2 or 3 years
ago, Mindy and
someone who is a
tree expert came
through Ocean
Grove and
determines that
many of our trees
are dead or a
hazard.
Specifically, the
pear trees on Main
Street whose
branching system
severely weakens
the branches which
reach out over the
parked cars. At that
time, their

recommendation
was to consider
replacing them over
a period of time. Of
course the
Township has no
purview over the
trees. I was
wondering if we
could talk with the
CMA and offer to
do a survey
identifying trees at
risk.
Please let me know

Sent from my
iPhone

On May 21, 2018,
at 3:32 PM, Ray
Dodd

<xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
wrote:

Neptune
Township
Committee,

I
recently
took a
survey
of all
lots in
SRH
protected
by the
current
tree
ordinance. I
had
hoped
to
survey
all
Neptune
lots but
found

it to be
too
large
of a
task. I
focused
on
SRH .
By
using
the
township
tax
assessment
maps
and a
magnifying
glass I
was
able to
survey
approx
1,500
SRH
lots.

This is
important
because
the
current
tree
ordinance
protects
lots of
1 acre
or
greater.
The
result
of my
survey revealed 5
lots out
of
1,500
... or
0.3%
are
protected
by the current

ordinance.
99.7
percent
of the
lots are
not
protected.

Using
a
separate
ZILLOW
search
for all
of
Neptune
we
found
97% of
township
lots are
under
1 acre
and not
protected.

Chainsaws,
stump
grinders
and
chippers
can be
heard
every
day. I
have
before
and
after
pictures
showing
how
clearcutting
has
become
popular
with
not
even a
shrub

when
completed.
If you
would
like a
copy
of the
pictures
please
let me
know.

I get it
that
none
of us
want
our
government
on our
backs,
me
included.
But I
am
concerned
that if
the
current
tree
cutting
trend
isn't
stopped
Neptune
will be
changed
permanently.

The
question
the
committee
has to
ask
itself is
do you
want to
lose
Neptune's

trees?
Meeting
with
the
Shade
Tree
Commission
they
confirm
they
are not
able to
meet
the
township's
forestry
program
nor
keep
up
with
the
current
pace of
loss of
trees.

I ask
you to
please
pass
the
change
to the
current
ordinance
eliminating
the 1
acre
clause
giving
our
trees
the
protection
needed.

Thank
you

Ray
Dodd
908.489
.7961

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Carol Rizzo](#); [Jim Chenitz](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: RE: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:32:16 AM

Jim,

I cannot add anything to what Carol has presented, other than to say we have a dedicated IT team and our insurance company has rated our protections as very high.

Vito

From: Carol Rizzo
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:18 AM
To: Jim Chenitz <[x@x](#)>
Cc: Nicholas Williams <[x@x](#)>; Vito Gadaleta <[x@x](#)>
Subject: Re: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks

Jim,

All our systems use sophisticated encryption and are monitored. Systems are backed up.

Ok We have an incredible IT team who are extremely aware of risks and have mitigated those risks as best as possible. But as a former Chief Technology Officer for Citigroup, AIG and Kaiser Permanente and a Chief Security Officer for a defense contractor, no one is safe from a Cyber attack. If someone wants in they will find a way.

Regards,
Carol

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 30, 2018, at 7:59 AM, Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xx](#)> wrote:

Good morning
This article discusses how common cyber attacks are against local governments.
Has Neptune done anything substantial as of yet to prevent such an attack?

Thank you,
Jim Chenitz
632 S. Riverside Dr.

How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks <https://nyti.ms/2GhzIaq>

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: How to proceed
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:07:41 PM

Hello Nick!

I know you've heard from others but, I might as well add my thoughts.

As you know, I was told the township could do the river project incrementally, i.e., first repair the back flow pipes with new flaps that would allow rain water to run from the road out to the river but prevent river water from flowing onto the roadway.

Obviously, if it was found that this one step satisfactorily resolved the issue (an issue that seems to be known to only a select few people) that would be wonderful. Job completed for far less money. But, if after doing the pipe repairs flooding really was occurring then more could be done.

As a point of information, I spent a reasonable amount of time talking with Sam, the mailman that covers that area. He, like the rest of us, said that the occasional puddling of water is from back flow up the stormdrains. He estimated that only 2-3 times A YEAR he will have to reroute his route until the puddles go down. He noted this water is NOT across the entire roadway but on the sides of the road where he has to drive to get to mailboxes. Remember the road is bermed.

If the township proceeds with the full blown project we risk wasting taxpayer money and irreparably damaging our shoreline.

It makes most sense to fix the drains and then reassess. Remember, the only documentation that exists at all is that which was done by Ray Dodd. And, his observations support the need to repair the drains but fully refute the notion that the roadway floods to the point of causing traffic to have to be diverted.

Though I do not yet know you well I do enjoy your very pleasant nature and your humor. I hope you will maintain an open mind in this very meaningful township matter. A significant part of town is called Shark River Hills. The Shark River plays an important part in the lifestyle of people throughout the township. Covering the beach with concrete to resolve an unproven problem is too great a loss to consider without first correcting the drains.

I appreciate what you do for our town and I fully understand the magnitude of your commitment and the hours of your time it consumes. Thank you!!!

Best personal regards!

Jim Chenitz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: anything to report
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 11:19:16 PM

Thst's a very uplifting report if it is correct. Somehow, I don't see him pulling out without some type of drama

Thanks for that news, though. It just adds to the fun we're having
Jim

On Mon, Sep 17, 2018, 10:43 PM Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I think that it is very expensive according to Rob Lane. It is like 900.00 or something like that. I heard Vito knows the actual prices. I will check with him tomorrow.
On the flip side there are rumors coming out of the Republican Camp that the lady withdrew from running and that Grinelli is also packing it in. Grinelli told Jim Manning that he is running alone against us but others are saying he is finished. Only time will tell but either way, we will continue to do what we can and get the vote out for the entire ticket. Have fun and any other need I'll get back to you but let's hope he does drop out.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xx@xx](#)>
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:35:49 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: anything to report

Hi Mayor--

We're outside Yellowstone Park having already made friends with a herd of Bison and watched Ol' Faithful.

Which causes me to write again. Wishing to remain faithful, have you gotten any information on the billboard. I'd like to know soon so I don't blow my budget on travel issues. As it is, in order to budget, I'm limiting Susan to 2 meals a day.

Best regards!
Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: anything to report
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:36:14 PM

Hi Mayor--

We're outside Yellowstone Park having already made friends with a herd of Bison and watched Ol' Faithful.

Which causes me to write again. Wishing to remain faithful, have you gotten any information on the billboard. I'd like to know soon so I don't blow my budget on travel issues. As it is, in order to budget, I'm limiting Susan to 2 meals a day.

Best regards!
Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:49:25 AM

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Kevin McMillan](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#); [Michael Brantley](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Illegal removal of trees
Date: Tuesday, September 19, 2017 9:01:04 AM

Thank you very much for your inquiry as it relates to this most important issue. Due to recent events I am no longer on the police committee.

This committee meets with the chief to discuss issues as important as this.

I suggest that you reach out to Mayor Brantley at 732 275 2790 and Deputy Mayor Williams who lives in the Hills at 732 904 4200.

These two individuals will work with the chief to give this issue the attention it deserves.

Thanking you advance, Kevin McMillan

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <~~x~~@~~x~~>
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 4:58:11 PM
To: Kevin McMillan
Subject: Illegal removal of trees

Hi Kevin--

I'm writing to ask you a policing question given that you are the police commissioner.

As you and I discussed on Friday, a group of us have gotten involved in trying to tighten-up the tree ordinance in town. The two major issues are: (1) the ordinance does not restrict owners of properties under an acre in size from removing as many trees as they wish. That means the ordinance only applies to 2% of all the residential properties in town and, (2) The new ordinance must protect the trees on the coastal bluff along the Shark River. These trees help prevent erosion, slumping, and silting of the river as well as provide habitat to the many birds who migrate through the area as well as those who nest here, eg., eagles, osprey, hawks.

I spoke with Jeff Alpert at NJ DEP's land use division. He gave me the ordinance codes that protect the coastal bluff trees by state law. It is illegal, according to him, to remove those trees without a permit from the state. Cutting them without a permit would be subject to enforcement action.

He told me how to contact the DEP in case of such a violation; however, their enforcement people would come out of Toms River. When I asked him if the local police would have authority to enforce state law he said it is a local police matter that must be determined by the township.

I am wondering, since it is a state law and cannot be violated, can we make the local police

respond to a call to stop such activity until DEP arrives. Certainly, other state laws would be enforceable by the Neptune Police.

Your thoughts, please.

Best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxx.xxx](#); [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#); [James Mowczan](#); [xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [Anne Gunderson](#); [xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#); [Beverly Holland](#); [xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxx.xxkinda johnson](#)
Subject: Dianne Feinstein loses party backing as progressive Democrats mount pressure
Date: Monday, February 26, 2018 9:13:48 AM

Before you cast your vote for who will top the county line, I encourage you to look at what is happening elsewhere. The middle of the road, make-nice with everyone type candidate, e.g., Josh Welle, is being viewed as NOT electable. Welle is a moderate Republican when discussion moves to economics. He is more a Democrat on social issues.

To get rid of Chris Smith, we need a reason for Democrats to get mobilized, ie., a candidate that gives them a reason to believe, THIS time there is a candidate who will make MY life better. THIS TIME there is a reason to get to the polls because there can be a positive change that will help ME.

Please read this article from the Guardian. And, thank YOU for giving this further consideration!!

Jim Chenitz

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/25/dianne-feinstein-loses-party-endorsement-kevin-de-leon?CMP=Share_AndroidApp_Gmail

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Tomorrow, Oct. 3rd Concert presented by Neptune Progressives
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2019 9:14:11 AM

Hi Everyone--

There is really little room left for additional people interested in attending the concert at our home tomorrow evening. If any of you know someone who might be interested, we've got space for six more people. I had hoped to get even more coming and would have had room on our deck. But, with rain predicted for tomorrow, I'm pleased we held to the smaller number so we can all be inside.

Tickets are \$55 per person for an evening of wonderful music and good fun with the proceeds going to the Houghtaling and Downey campaign and, for Neptune residents, a third of their donation going to the reelection of township committee people Mayor Carol Rizzo and Deputy Mayor Rob Lane.

We'll have food beginning around 5PM and we'll start music around 6:45. If most people are here earlier we'll get music started earlier. There will be 2 sets, the second ending around 8:45, in time for us to do goodbyes and get home early. This will be a very intimate, sit down concert.

A reminder that Senator Vin Gopal and Monmouth County Committee Chairman Dave Brown will be here around 5:15 and have to leave around 6:15. Please try to be here during that time frame to speak with them and socialize.

Here's 2 links to John Korba on piano and vocals:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RS1CWK3GGR0>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fQMfJEYhO6E>

Come early and have a spectacular evening.
632 S. Riverside Dr.
Neptune, NJ

A final request, in a covered area on the deck there will be containers for compost, recyclables, and the reusable plastic dishes. Please use these containers properly.

From: [Ray Dodd](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 2:38:27 PM

Thanks for that Nick.
I appreciate your effort

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.
But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.
I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll

It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills

section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline. As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Thank you
Date: Wednesday, August 22, 2018 6:02:42 AM

Hi Mayor--

I know you had a frustrating day yesterday so all the more reason I want to thank you so much for attending our fundraiser last evening.

Improving the lot for all residents of Monmouth County is an important goal. The two Freeholder candidates are a very important step in that direction.

You're dedicated involvement on behalf of Neptune is greatly appreciated!!!

Best regards!
Jim

From: [Jim Chaplin](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Carol Rizzo](#)
Subject: Flood modeling
Date: Thursday, October 31, 2019 8:23:31 AM

Good morning--

I do not know if you have seen this map but it is well worth studying. You can scroll the map to find our neighborhoods.

Since climate change and flooding patterns will be incremental, not sudden, Neptune Township should now be putting into place policies that will sensibly deal with the inevitable such as buying properties that will only present a future liability.

This map shows modeling that anticipates where we'll be by 2050, longer than most of us will need to worry about but not so far in the future that responsible planning can avoid considering.

I do not have Leann's email but I'd appreciate this being forwarded to her as well.

[https://coastal.climatecentral.org/map/14/-74.0121/40.1918/?](https://coastal.climatecentral.org/map/14/-74.0121/40.1918/?theme=sea_level_rise&map_type=coastal_dem_comparison&elevation_model=coastal_dem&forecast_year=2050&pathway=rcp45&percentile=p50&return_level=return_level_1&slr_model=kopp_2014)

[theme=sea_level_rise&map_type=coastal_dem_comparison&elevation_model=coastal_dem&forecast_year=2050&pathway=rcp45&percentile=p50&return_level=return_level_1&slr_model=kopp_2014](https://coastal.climatecentral.org/map/14/-74.0121/40.1918/?theme=sea_level_rise&map_type=coastal_dem_comparison&elevation_model=coastal_dem&forecast_year=2050&pathway=rcp45&percentile=p50&return_level=return_level_1&slr_model=kopp_2014)

Best,

Jim

--

"The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing." *Edmund Burke*

"The spoils system of making appointments to and removals from office is so wholly and unmixedly evil, is so emphatically un-American and undemocratic, and is so potent a force for degradation in our public life that it is difficult to believe that any intelligent man of ordinary decency who has looked into the subject can be its advocate." *T. Roosevelt*

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: RE: PDM 2011
Date: Monday, August 21, 2017 3:42:51 PM

That is now two of you. Thanks.

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 3:39 PM
To: Vito Gadaleta <[@xx](#)>
Subject: Re: PDM 2011

I personally think that we should change this project and do the storm drains as the first phase. My advise is to have our OEM from here on in start keeping reports on any flood situations that they may have to respond to entailing the day, time and area affected so we can have data for the future if we need it for any reason moving forward. I would like to pull the second reading of the Ordinsnce on 8/28/ at the next meeting.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Vito Gadaleta
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:27:02 PM
To: Carol Rizzo; Michael Brantley; Kevin McMillan; Michael Bascom; Nicholas Williams; Rick Cuttrell; Robert Lane
Cc: Leanne Hoffmann
Subject: FW: PDM 2011

Please see below response from NJOEM concerning the flood mitigation project. I would suggest, based upon public opinion, that Township revise the scope of work to provide only for the storm drainage portion of the project, and submit the scope change to NJOEM and FEMA. We would be looking for a reduced federal commitment.

If the members of the Committee agree, I would get out a press release now for public distribution prior to the meeting.

Vito

From: Jillian Stokley [<mailto:xx>]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Vito Gadaleta <[@xx](#)>

Cc: Leanne Hoffmann <[@x](#)>

Subject: Re: PDM 2011

Good Afternoon,

Leanne had reached out to me about this recently. You can revise the scope of work based upon the public comments and we can submit a scope of work change request to FEMA.

FEMA will be the ultimate decision maker as to whether or not a scope change is allowed, but if the redesign is due to public concern I think they will allow for it (as Mike Foley indicated).

If the redesign increases the budget we can request additional funding from FEMA but it is unlikely that additional funds will be available, as the PDM/FMA funding pots are limited.

In order to process of scope change with FEMA you will need to provide NJOEM with a letter requesting the change, detailing the reason for the change (e.g. concerns raised by the residents), along with the newly proposed design plan.

Should the township wish to drop the project entirely instead of seeking a scope change, you just need to provide a letter stating you wish to return the obligated funding and closeout the grant.

Those would basically be your two options, seek a scope change or return the funding. If this is a priority area for the township I would definitely recommend the scope change route before returning funding.

If you have any additional questions please contact me.

Regards,

Jillian Stokley

NJOEM Mitigation Grants Specialist

Desk: 732-866-1513

Cell: 609-462-5642

Email: [@.](#)

>>> Vito Gadaleta <[@.](#)> 8/21/2017 1:21 PM >>>

> _____

Good afternoon Jillian. I am reaching out today concerning PDM 2011 grant. We are getting some serious public pushback on the project. In fact one resident advised at a recent public hearing that he had spoken with Mike Foley about the project and this resident, Jim Chenitz stated that Mike Foley had said FEMA would support a scaled down, phased in project. I sent an email to Mike but have not received a reply. Our next public hearing is one week from today. I need to advise our governing body of their options. If there is any assistance or direction you can provide me it would be most appreciated. Thank you for your cooperation and considerations.

Vito D. Gadaleta, RMC, QPA, NJCEM

Business Administrator
Township of Neptune
732-988-5200, ext. 232

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE The information contained in this communication from the Division of State Police is privileged and confidential and is intended for the sole use of the persons or entities who are the addressees. If you are not an intended recipient of this e-mail, the dissemination, distribution, copying or use of the information it contains is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately contact the Division of State Police at (609) 882-2000 to arrange for the return of this information.

From: [Ray Dodd](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:44:38 PM

Thanks Nick, Guess I'm not used to those nice long well deserved vacations ?
Same to you

On Oct 17, 2018, at 2:42 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Vito is out this week on vacation. I will ask him on Monday. Knowxwe got an extension by FEMA to have it completed by 2020. Hope all is going well.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:33:33 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hey Mayor,

Just circling back to see if you were able to contact Vito on the follow-up meeting.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.

But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns. I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll it out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xx@xx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that

didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams

<xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Mike Hendricks](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Cc: [xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Robert Lane](#)
Subject: Re: Robert's Rules for Approving the Minutes - dummies
Date: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 8:27:59 AM

Nice work Jim!

On Tue, Sep 11, 2018 at 10:09 PM Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxxx@xxxxxx.xx](#)> wrote:

Jim—

This discusses the issue of emailing minutes. Please forward this to the club leadership. I do not have their email addresses.

Thank you!!!

Jim

<https://www.dummies.com/careers/business-skills/roberts-rules-for-approving-the-minutes/>

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#), [Michael Brantley](#), [Kevin McMillan](#), [Nicholas Williams](#), [Rick Cuttrell](#), [Robert Lane](#), [Carol Rizzo](#)
Cc: [Leanne Zuffante](#)
Subject: RE: Flood modeling
Date: Thursday, October 31, 2019 9:25:28 AM

Thanks Jim. I will certainly take a look. I have included Leanne as well.

Vito

From: Jim Chenitz [mailto:[jim.chenitz@neptunetownship.org](#)]
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 8:23 AM
To: Michael Brantley <[mbrantley@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Kevin McMillan <[kmc@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Nicholas Williams <[nwilliams@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Rick Cuttrell <[rcuttrell@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Robert Lane <[rlane@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Vito Gadaleta <[vito.gadaleta@neptunetownship.org](#)>; Carol Rizzo <[crizzo@neptunetownship.org](#)>
Subject: Flood modeling

Good morning--

I do not know if you have seen this map but it is well worth studying. You can scroll the map to find our neighborhoods.

Since climate change and flooding patterns will be incremental, not sudden, Neptune Township should now be putting into place policies that will sensibly deal with the inevitable such as buying properties that will only present a future liability.

This map shows modeling that anticipates where we'll be by 2050, longer than most of us will need to worry about but not so far in the future that responsible planning can avoid considering.

I do not have Leann's email but I'd appreciate this being forwarded to her as well.

https://coastal.climatecentral.org/map/14/-74.0121/40.1918/?theme=sea_level_rise&map_type=coastal_dem_comparison&elevation_model=coastal_dem&forecast_year=2050&pathway=rcp45&percentile=p50&return_level=return_level_1&slr_model=kopp_2014

Best,
Jim

--

"The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing." Edward Burke

"The spoils system of making appointments to and removals from office is so wholly and unmitigatedly evil, is so emphatically un-American and undemocratic, and is so potent a force for degradation in our public life that it is difficult to believe that any intelligent man of ordinary decency who has looked into the subject can be its advocate." T. Roosevelt

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: How to proceed
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:11:56 PM

Thanks for your comments. For sure no one knows what the future holds in store and there is nothing that we can do to change what is already done. I try to maintain an upbeat and positive attitude about life and about the people I meet. Everyone has more than enough on his or her plate.

On the river issue, I agree this is NOT a political issue. Since we live in a coastal community we are very fortunate to have at our disposal people with very many years of experience. I'm calling this to your attention because, with all due respect, Dr. Rella is a recent graduate with essentially limited exposure to real world circumstances. In fact, his company has never done a project like the Shark River. But, Captain Al Modjeski and Dr. Bill Sciarappa have done years of comparable work. These are the resources we should tap.

Sunday should be a winner. Go fishing. Have fun. I will save time to chat at the next meeting.

Best regards!!!
Jim Chenitz

On Fri, Aug 18, 2017 at 1:56 PM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Thanks for reaching out to me with your comments on the mitigation project. As an elected official I am responsible to all residents in Neptune Township and was voted in by the majority to do what's best as you have put your faith and future in our hands. I take this position very seriously and base my individual vote on facts not by party affiliation or voting as a block. I have heard your comments over the past two months and will vote on this Ordinance accordingly. I too am a taxpayer and resident of Shark River Hills. I also have a huge interest in the river as I have been a resident of Neptune since 1990 and a boat owner. I usually fish two to three times a week if I am able to get out due to my schedule. This alone will help me in making what I hope will be the right decision. Stay dry today (lol) and have a great weekend.

Nick

PS.....Thanks for the comment about my nature and humor. I look at life as waking up everyday and it can go in either direction. Good or Bad, but try to keep a positive attitude in everything I do. Years ago I came up with an philosophy that we are in a car driving and the windshield represents our future. As we drive we get closer to it but never really get to it. The rear view mirror represents our past. It is a lot smaller and as we drive we get further and further away until it disappears completely and is gone forever. That is why I live life one day at a time because we don't know what the future will bring us!
Next meeting, come up and introduce yourself to me and let's get better aquatinted.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[x@x](#)>
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:07:17 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: How to proceed

Hello Nick!

I know you've heard from others but, I might as well add my thoughts.

As you know, I was told the township could do the river project incrementally, i.e., first repair the back flow pipes with new flaps that would allow rain water to run from the road out to the river but prevent river water from flowing onto the roadway.

Obviously, if it was found that this one step satisfactorily resolved the issue (an issue that seems to be known to only a select few people) that would be wonderful. Job completed for far less money. But, if after doing the pipe repairs flooding really was occurring then more could be done.

As a point of information, I spent a reasonable amount of time talking with Sam, the mailman that covers that area. He, like the rest of us, said that the occasional puddling of water is from back flow up the stormdrains. He estimated that only 2-3 times A YEAR he will have to reroute his route until the puddles go down. He noted this water is NOT across the entire roadway but on the sides of the road where he has to drive to get to mailboxes. Remember the road is bermed.

If the township proceeds with the full blown project we risk wasting taxpayer money and irreparably damaging our shoreline.

It makes most sense to fix the drains and then reassess. Remember, the only documentation that exists at all is that which was done by Ray Dodd. And, his observations support the need to repair the drains but fully refute the notion that the roadway floods to the point of causing traffic to have to be diverted.

Though I do not yet know you well I do enjoy your very pleasant nature and your humor. I hope you will maintain an open mind in this very meaningful township matter. A significant part of town is called Shark River Hills. The Shark River plays an important part in the lifestyle of people throughout the township. Covering the beach with concrete to resolve an unproven problem is too great a loss to consider without first correcting the drains.

I appreciate what you do for our town and I fully understand the magnitude of your commitment and the hours of your time it consumes. Thank you!!!

Best personal regards!

Jim Chenitz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Susan Gloor Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:15:36 PM

632 South Riverside Drive

Neptune, NJ 07753

August 18, 2017

Mr. Nick Williams

Neptune Township Committee

Neptune, NJ 07754

Dear Nick:

As you know, I left with the township committee at last week's August 14, 2017 the 12th chapter from a textbook titled 'Pitfalls of Shoreline Stabilization – Selected Case Studies'. This chapter "Alternative Shoreline Erosion Control Devices: A Review" summarizes over 100 "alternative devices" on the market, how they are supposed to function and reviews their performance.

Since I know you have more going on than just the shoreline project along the Shark River I have written out the comments I would have made at this Monday's meeting, had it not been so late into the evening. Hopefully this outline and your reading the article will help you understand our concerns.

The two devices being sold by the company represented by Dr. Andrew Rella are Geotubes – silt filled tubes and Marine Mattresses, large pads of articulated concrete blocks.

Both of these structures when tried in actual littoral zones (area where water meets shore):

1. Caused Beach erosion (exactly what Dr. Rella is purportedly trying to correct)
2. Create hazards to water recreation (in other words limit beach access, which is unacceptable to all residents)
3. Negatively impacted native plants and animals.

In the Shark River these structures would:

- a) eliminate horseshoe crab spawning along beach by covering the sand where they lay their eggs
- b) affect the Shark River estuarine ecosystem which are nursery grounds for many fish species
- c) impact the endangered species (bald eagle, black skimmer, peregrine falcon) and threatened species (egret, black crowned night heron, yellow crowned night heron, osprey) that live here

According to the web site for Econcrete, the company has been in existence for a little over two years. Therefore these structures have essentially no long term track record in any setting and no track record at all in a setting comparable to the Shark River.

Econcrete mattress (marine mattress) and Geotubes are forms of beach armoring

The article I provided to you explains that rather than providing protection such devices would actually put the homes you are trying to protect at greater peril in certain types of storms because they would fully eliminate the buffering provided by sandy beaches.

As has been made fully clear at previous meetings, neither the town nor the county has any documentation of the regular street flooding of South Riverside Drive as was asserted in the FEMA application. Likewise there is no township or county documentation of regular street closing due to flooding of the Shark River.

As you know, my husband was told by a FEMA representative that the township could do the project incrementally, i.e. first repair the backflow valves with new flaps that would allow rain water to flow out to the river but prevent river water from flowing back to the roadway. If this step resolves any nuisance flooding then the project has been accomplished for a fraction of the cost and with preservation of the beach.

Nick, I know you love fishing and I am pretty sure you would not knowingly do anything to impact this very special place where we all live. Thanks for listening.

Thank you for all the time and effort you expend on behalf of Neptune.

Respectfully,

Susan Gloor Chenitz

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Carol Rizzo](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee
Date: Friday, August 25, 2017 9:54:20 AM
Attachments: [Shark River Action final.docx](#)

An expression of our Gratitude!

From: [Rick Cuttrel](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Michael Brantley](#)
Cc: [James Mowczan](#)
Subject: Election Results - District 16
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 10:35:11 AM

Also of note, there was a contested County Committee election for both male and female Democrat in District 16. The results were as follows:

Joseph Halifko – 50
James Chenitz – 27

Doreen Stanislaw – 48
Susan Chenitz - 28

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Signs
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 12:23:51 PM

Hi Nick—

We're now in Santa Fe. I'm wondering if you now know more about Granelli's run. Is he in or out? We're still shooting for being back around Oct 20th and will be available to offer any help we can to get you and Dr. Brantly to remain on the TC.

Awesome trip so far. And, as you might guess, we've had many conversations with locals. It's hard to conceive of how Trump is in office. Almost every person we've spoke to in the Midwest (not a valid sampling, I admit) despises him.

Hope you're well and keeping Neptune up and running without our oversight!!

Best regards,
Jim

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Cc: [Kevin McMillan](#)
Subject: Speeding on S. Riverside
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2019 1:26:57 PM

Hi Nick--

I am following up on my question/discussion with you about uncontrolled speeding on upper S. Riverside Dr.

In 2017 I submitted to the township and to Lt.Zarro a petition with 60 signatures requesting better patrol and radar enforcement of speeding on this section of S. Riverside. You get it on lower. We get nothing.

Today, while trimming shrubs along the street I nearly lost my backside to a speeding car. In other words, one can be seriously harmed by speeders.

This has been ignored for far too long.

As discussed at the Neptune Democratic Club's last meeting, we should be given an unmarked car with radar. I am giving you a link to the legal dictionary so as to clarify in your mind why an unmarked car is NOT entrapment. <https://legaldictionary.net/entrapment/>

"Entrapment refers to the actions of a law enforcement official that persuade or encourage a person to engage in an illegal act, which he would otherwise have been unlikely to commit. A person charged with a crime he believes a police officer, or other law enforcement official, convinced him to commit, may claim he was "entrapped," or caught in a trap baited by the police. To explore this concept, consider the following entrapment definition...The act of a law enforcement official luring a person into committing a crime, so that the person can be prosecuted."

Please let me know what will be done about this matter. You can see Rick Cuttrell or Lt. Zarro for a copy of the petition.

I look forward to hearing back from you with the specifics of what action will be implemented.

Looking forward to seeing you tomorrow evening Save the last dance for me.

Best!!

Jim

--

"We must take sides. Neutrality helps the oppressor, never the victim. Silence encourages the tormentor, never the tormented. Sometimes we must interfere." *Elie Wiesel*

"We get the government we deserve. Citizenship requires effort. Without it, the bullies and power-hungry autocrats run wild." *Jennifer Rubin, Washington Post 5/30/2019*

From: [Kevin McMillan](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Gene J. Anthony Esq.](#)
Subject: Re: FW:
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 2:50:09 PM

Seems like a good idea!

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 8:32:05 AM
To: Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: FW:

Committee members,

Please see below email from Jim Chenitz. Do you have interest in acquiring a light system to notify speakers when their five minute limit is approaching?

Rick

From: James Chenitz [mailto:~~x@x~~]
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <~~x@x~~>
Subject:

Hi Rick--

Last week I forwarded to you a copy of the letter I sent to the Township Committee members and am wondering if it was included as part of the official record. I would like it to be in the record. Would you please let me know if it is. If it is not, please let me know what needs to be done to get it in.

Also, I had thought of this before Monday evening but, having been cut off by the clock, I was fully reminded, we need a better method than just shutting people off because they exceeded their 5 minutes. At conferences I've attended as well as those I've been a speaker, they use a light system that gives a green light, yellow warning (varies in time for how much warning your getting) and a red to tell you it is time to wrap it up.

So, we could do green for 3 minutes, full yellow for 1, flashing yellow for 1, and red, and it should be visible to speakers. But, cutting someone off, abruptly, seems harsh and means the committee misses out on ideas citizens wish to share.

I'm offering these thoughts to you and hope you will present them to whomever is the correct party to give it further consideration.

Thank you for all you've done for this township. You are outstanding!!!

Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Signs
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:53:15 PM

How about having someone either record it and post it on FB or the towns website. Another good reason we need to have a Facebook page for the NDC.

You can say you got a question submitted from New Mexico about the plans in progress for the new downtown.

One Diplomacy trick I learned at Trump University you can use if he is there: ask why he's there because NOBODY likes him.

Good luck

On Tue, Sep 25, 2018, 3:40 PM Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:
We will have our first debate which is scheduled at 7:30 tonight at the Shark River Hills Fire House for the Homeowners. It will be interesting to see if he is either up there with us, in the audience or not there at all. We are all anticipating what he will do. Doc and I will be prepared and ready to answer any of the sensible questions which may be asked. I will not get into a pissing match with him or any audience member tonight. I'll stick to facts and data. Let you know how it goes. was going to be aired live but got bumped for Wheel of Fortune instead.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xx@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 12:23:48 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Signs

Hi Nick—

We're now in Santa Fe. I'm wondering if you now know more about Granelli's run. Is he in or out?

We're still shooting for being back around Oct 20th and will be available to offer any help we can to get you and Dr. Brantly to remain on the TC.

Awesome trip so far. And, as you might guess, we've had many conversations with locals. It's hard to conceive of how Trump is in office. Almost every person we've spoke to in the Midwest (not a valid sampling, I admit) despises him.

Hope you're well and keeping Neptune up and running without our oversight!!

Best regards,

Jim

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

www.avast.com

From: [Ray Dodd](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Cc: [Maire](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:16:58 PM

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved. To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal. We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen. We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We,as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@xx](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully

Ray Dadd

From: [Ray Dodd](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Cc: [Maire](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:45:53 PM

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.

But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.

I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll

It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design

was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams
<xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xx@xx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside

living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully

Ray Dadd

From: [Carol Rizzo](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: follow up to my letter of 5/31
Date: Friday, August 24, 2018 2:24:06 PM

Please circulate to other members and perhaps we can discuss this in a workshop.

Carol

On vacation

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: mary jane Dodd <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxx.xx](#)>
Date: August 24, 2018 at 2:10:53 PM EDT
To: Carol Rizzo <[xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)>
Cc: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxxx@xxxxxx.xx](#)>, Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxx.xx](#)>, Rick Cuttrell <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)>, "Susan & Jim Chenitz" <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxx.xx](#)>
Subject: follow up to my letter of 5/31

Hi, Carol!

I sent a letter to the whole committee re: amendments to the current tree ordinance this past May. You had told me that you would get back to me after discussing it with the committee. I know it's been summer, but I'd not heard anything of yet and was curious where things stood on your end.

I wanted to let you all know where things stand on this end -

A property on the back bay in Shark River Hills - 626 south riverside drive - is looking to make large changes. An addition to the house is planned, but the one of environmental concern is the desired removal of approximately 17 trees in the back that have nothing to do with construction - their removal allows for view of the water.

This property is on a steep coastal bluff - the removal of trees will accelerate erosion. The back part of the Shark River Bay is already incredibly shallow. This silting will only make it worse and impact the fish, birds and other wildlife that rely upon the water there.

Consider historically that there has only been a residence on this property for less than 100 years. But for 100s of years, there has been life - generations of wildlife that have depended upon this rapidly declining ecosystem. There are fox dens, nesting activity of species that are considered endangered, threatened or of special concern. The very definition of special concern according to NJDEP is "applies to species that warrant special attention because of inherent vulnerability to environmental deterioration or habitat modification that would result in its becoming threatened if conditions surrounding the species begin or continue to

deteriorate”.

RE: the Forestry Plan, it is of utmost importance that Neptune establishes the position of a Conservation Officer (12-4.2). There is confusion even now regarding what trees can and cannot be cut down. There must be coordination between the town, the residents and the state. Enforcement is a challenge of its very own.

The removal of the residential 1 acre or less stipulation in the current ordinance that keeps residents from needing permits to cut down trees must be put through immediately. Permits should be required for any lot. This is being done in surrounding towns. In Neptune, owners purchase a property, clear cut, then go for building permits. There is no control over the damage being done. And I am giving you an example of just one property - we have provided others and can provide more. A number of residents approached and worked with the environmental and shade tree commission last autumn to work towards this change in the ordinance.

Residential properties also should not be able to cut down 10 trees in a year (12-4.7)- for most properties, this is clear cutting. It is rare to find a property with that many trees anymore.

We live in a time where preservation, conservation and stewardship must be considered to be of the utmost importance. Trees that are being cut have taken hundreds of years to reach their size. They can live through 3-4 human lifetimes.

This is a pressing issue - and requires immediate attention. We all need to be asking ourselves if we are doing all we can to protect the environment. A delay in this vote will cause a property to be cleared for an owner's whims. When you move into an area, you should respect and maintain the nature of the area - here, a forest.

As I write this, the United States Supreme Court has agreed to hear a suit from children and young adults who are demanding more from the federal government to address climate change. This issue is being acknowledged as one of highest import.

You have the power to make changes that will create lasting impact, that will help to preserve the very things that make Neptune so beautiful and unique.

I implore you to take immediate action on this issue.

Thank you,

Mary Jane Dodd

From: [Ray Dodd](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:33:39 PM

Hey Mayor,

Just circling back to see if you were able to contact Vito on the follow-up meeting.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.
But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.
I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which

would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll
It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to
continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the
misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment,
thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had
been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC
would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was
before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the
design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly
before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert
involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams

<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear
that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and
know we have gotten an extension on the project to
2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot
substantiate why I would make that type of a statement
to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I
said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group

by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.
I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[@x](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.
One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline. As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project. Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'
We are all from Shark River Hills.
Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.
We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee
Date: Friday, August 25, 2017 3:13:25 PM

Thank you, you too!

On Aug 25, 2017 2:35 PM, "Nicholas Williams" <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Jim

Thank you for all your concern and especially your input in making our decision to table the Shark River project. As you can see this Committee does not take public opinion lightly and do listen to our residents. The voting members of Neptune put your future in our hands to do the right thing. I feel we did the right thing and can keep a better eye on the situation with proper data moving forward. I feel good about not changing the river at all except to do the sewage and drain work. I like many others have and. Intimidating to use the river as much as possible. I have had a boat in the river for the past 25 years I've resided in the Hills. Hope you have a great weekend and will see you Monday ugh get if you attend

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[x@x](#)>

Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 9:53:54 AM

To: Carol Rizzo; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Rick Cuttrell; Robert Lane; Vito Gadaleta

Subject: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee

An expression of our Gratitude!

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules
Date: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:16:13 PM

Thanks, Nick--This is important! But, even more importantly, we are in Casper, Wyoming. You might ask, "Why"? To which I would reply: "Good point!!!" Please look into the electronic billboard. If the Rt 66 one is comparably priced at about \$400/mo, I'll be happy to buy the month before the election.

Also, Susan and I are all in on doing what we can to reelect you and Dr. Brantley. Honestly, the risk (as slim as it is) of having Granelli sitting on the township committee is (gasp) horrifying.

Thanks for forwarding the Vote By Mail info.

Jim

On Thu, Sep 13, 2018 at 10:14 AM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:13:12 AM
To: Jim Chenitz; [x@x](#); James Mowczan
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

For your information on the new rules for Vote By Mail. Hope you arrived safely and enjoy your vacation. Please forward this to anyone who might be interested in this information. Jim Mowczan, please send a email Blast out to our membership as this can have an effect on them if they have voted Vote By Mail in the last general election.
Thanks,
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 7:12:44 AM
To: James Mowczan; Jim Chenitz
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell

Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:07:42 PM

To: Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley

Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Michael Bascom; Gene J. Anthony Esq.

Subject: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Please see attached press release from the Monmouth County Clerk and pay particular attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4. All voters in NJ who voted by mail in the 2016 General Election will be sent a Mail-In ballot for the 2018 General Election *whether they request one or not*. A voter who receives a Mail-In ballot cannot vote in the voting machine on Election Day.

The County Clerk will send a letter to every 2016 Mail-In voter to alert them of this important change before the 2018 Mail-In ballot is sent.

If any of these voters do not want to receive a 2018 Mail-In ballot, they must notify the County Clerk *in writing*.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Oh what a night!!!!
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 3:12:03 AM
Attachments: [Chenitz Event 9-4-2019\(2\).pdf](#)

Just a reminder, we're having a spectacular fundraiser on Thursday, October 3rd at 632 S. Riverside Dr., Neptune.

Live music with John Korba, vocals and keys and Hal Slapin, on bass. Food and drink, and that special ingredient: YOU.

Music starts around 6:30 and will go til about 9PM. Food and visiting around 5PM.

Donors from Neptune will have 2/3 of their donation go to the reelection campaign of Mayor Carol Rizzo and Deputy Mayor Rob Lane and 2/3 to the reelection of Houghtaling & Downey. All other donors will have 100% of their donation go to Assembly people Houghtaling & Downey.

All the important ingredients are lined up. We just need YOU there!!!

Donation: \$55 per person (more if you can give more, please)

Location: 632 S. Riverside Dr. Neptune

RSVP: team@ericandjoann2019.com

All checks to be made out to: Houghtaling & Downey for Assembly

Please tell your friends 'cause this is going to be a FUN night!!!

Thanks,
Jim

--

"It is in your hands to create a better world for all who live in it." *Nelson Mandela*

"The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing." *Edmund Burke*

From: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Michael Brantley](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: FW: Tree Ordinance
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 10:55:31 AM
Attachments: [Ocean Twp tree ordinance.pdf](#)

Committee members,

I received the below email from Jim Chenitz requesting action on the discussion to adopt a more stringent tree removal ordinance. I have also attached the Ocean Township at his request.

No discussion on this group email. Individual responses only

Thanks

Rick

From: Jim Chenitz [mailto:~~x@x~~]
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Rick Cuttrell <~~x@x~~>
Subject: Tree Ordinance

Hi Rick--

I am wondering what happened to the passing of the new tree ordinance.

I would greatly appreciate this matter being reopened by the Township Committee and am therefore requesting that you bring it to their attention.

Many township residents are concerned because the present tree regulations essentially put no restrictions on a property owner unless he/she owns one of the rare properties in town which is an acre or greater.

I am also requesting you provide to them a copy of the Ocean Township tree ordinance, which places strong restrictions on tree removal.

Thank you in advance for your help in this matter!

Respectfully,
Jim Chenitz

From: [Leanne Hoffmann](#)
To: [Chris Bedrosian](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Rick Cuttrel](#); [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 9:35:43 AM

I had a conversation with Chris yesterday regarding Coastal Bluffs
I unfortunately had experience working with the on a private site years ago.
The Township cannot enforce a NJDEP regulated Coastal Bluff. As per the definition there are numerous things that have to be present to be a Coastal Bluff. (see link below)
We do not have topographic surveys showing this.
In order to determine if this is a Coastal Bluff we would have to have topographic survey, evidence of the limits in accordance with the NJDEP standards or the geological information necessary to determine that it meets the NJDEP Standards.
We recommended that they contact NJDEP enforcement.

Apparently there is talk in the neighborhood that it is a Coastal Bluff. I cannot determine by eye sight if all the above criteria is met and also this is regulated by NJDEP enforcement., The local NDEP enforcement is in Toms River so it sounds like he reached the right number. There is not an enforcement branch in each Township.
See link below for detailed definition of a Coastal Bluff.

<http://www.nj.gov/dep/landuse/specialareas.html#29>

Leanne R. Hoffmann

Leanne R. Hoffmann, PE, PP, CME, CPWM
Director of Engineering & Planning
Township of Neptune
25 Neptune Boulevard
Neptune, New Jersey 07753
xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx
www.neptunetownship.org
ph-732 988 5200 x 228
fax-732 988 4259

From: Chris Bedrosian
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Leanne Hoffmann <xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject: FW: Police matter

FYI

Christopher A. Bedrosian
Assessing Clerk/Environmental Shade Tree
25 Neptune Blvd
Neptune, NJ 07753

xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

732.988.5200 x249

732.988.4259 fax

From: Vito Gadaleta

Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 9:01 AM

To: Chris Bedrosian <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: FW: Police matter

Chris,

See email below. I am not familiar with such a regulation. Could you reach out to your Commission members and the NJDEP to obtain a copy of same. Thanks.

Vito

From: Nicholas Williams

Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:56 AM

To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: Fwd: Police matter

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:49:01 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: Police matter

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the

proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: FW: S Riverside Dr
Date: Monday, October 22, 2018 10:37:54 AM

Mayor,

I wanted to speak with you prior to responding to Ray Dodd. Thanks.

Vito

-----Original Message-----

From: Ray Dodd [<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:30 AM
To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: S Riverside Dr

Hi,

Hope your vacation went well and you got some rest.

I want to explain why Nick Williams is contacting you about the living shoreline.
I contacted Nick about something completely unrelated. During that conversation Nick brought up the living shoreline, and I brought up the follow-up meeting.
Nick thought the followup meeting was a good idea and offered to touch base with you.

My daughter and the Chenitz's have talked about it recently so if it had not been for the conversation with Nick one of us would have reached out to you soon to see if a meeting could be scheduled.

Looking forward to seeing the progress.

Regards
Ray

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: FW: S Riverside Dr
Date: Monday, October 22, 2018 4:22:59 PM

Ray Dodd's reply.

From: Ray Dodd [mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 3:39 PM
To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Re: S Riverside Dr

Thanks Vito
I'll pass this along to those involved
Ray

On Monday, Oct 22, 2018 at 2:19 PM, Vito Gadaleta
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:
Ray,

Just returned from vacation. I did speak with Mayor Williams.

To bring you up to date, FEMA has approved the Living Shoreline concept and granted the Township an extension until 2020 to complete the project.

At present our design is not yet complete. Once our consulting engineer has completed the draft design I will reassemble our committee here at Township Hall to discuss prior to another public forum. Thanks.

Vito

-----Original Message-----

From: Ray Dodd [<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:30 AM
To: Vito Gadaleta <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)>
Subject: S Riverside Dr

Hi,

Hope your vacation went well and you got some rest.

I want to explain why Nick Williams is contacting you about the living shoreline. I contacted Nick about something completely unrelated. During that conversation Nick brought up the living shoreline, and I brought up the follow-up meeting. Nick thought the followup meeting was a good idea and offered to touch base with you.

My daughter and the Chenitz's have talked about it recently so if it had not been for the conversation with Nick one of us would have reached out to you soon to see if a meeting could be scheduled.

Looking forward to seeing the progress.

Regards

Ray



From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Carol Rizzo](#)
Cc: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Preserving human dignity
Date: Sunday, June 17, 2018 7:32:40 PM
Attachments: [masterpiece\(1\).PDF](#)

Dear members of the Neptune Township Committee--

I have attached a letter written to you as well as State Senator Gopal, Assemblyman Haughtaling and Assemblywoman Downey.

I would appreciate your consideration of this matter and guidance as to how to put it to public discussion implementation.

Respectfully,

Jim Chenitz

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [James Mowczan](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Robert Lane](#)
Subject: Robert's Rules for Approving the Minutes - dummies
Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 10:09:32 PM

Jim—

This discusses the issue of emailing minutes. Please forward this to the club leadership. I do not have their email addresses.

Thank you!!!

Jim

<https://www.dummies.com/careers/business-skills/roberts-rules-for-approving-the-minutes/>

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: We can do it. But, we will have to work!!!!
Date: Saturday, December 14, 2019 4:20:15 PM

It's OUR Congressional Seat. Chris Smith has sat in it for 39 years and represented HIMSELF and his cockeyed views. It's OUR Congressional District. Either WE win the seat back or THEY will. We have work to do!!!!

Because you live in New Jersey's 4th Congressional district (CD4) you are receiving this invite.

Please join Susan and me at our home, 632 S. Riverside Dr., Neptune, on Tuesday, **December 17th starting at 6:30 p.m.** Being at our home, you know there will wine and some good food.

Though that is important (!!!), more importantly, this will be an opportunity to meet Stephanie for the first time or, for some of you, it will be a follow up opportunity to meet with her and ask more questions. This gathering will allow each of you to either formulate or reinforce your opinions. Many of you--like the two of us--are fully on board with Stephanie Schmid to be our Congressional Candidate for NJ District 4. We need to end Chris Smith's (poor) "representation" of our wants and needs in this central region of New Jersey.

As background, Stephanie is a New Jersey native, human rights attorney, reproductive rights advocate, and former U.S. Foreign Service Officer who served overseas on behalf of the U.S. Department of State.

In rightful shock of having Trump become president many of us came together as a group, the Neptune Progressives. But, we threw ourselves full throttle in early 2018 waging an effort to get rid of Chris Smith, our 39 year Congressional Representative who—until this year with the emergence of Stephanie Schmid—has not had a truly strong opponent. Both Susan and I have always felt that a strong woman candidate is the only candidate who can beat Smith. We believe Stephanie is that candidate. But, even with the right candidate, it will take a well organized grassroots movement to make it happen. And, that is exactly what we are good at.

So, whether you are a member of the Neptune Progressives or not, you are invited to join us and get to know Stephanie. If you have not yet had the opportunity to hear her speak or to interact with her, I am confident she will win your support and together we will end the all too long reign of Chris Smith. Stephanie can do it but she will need dedicated help. With her win she will be a sensitive, smart, thoughtful protector of individual rights and the true qualities of a democracy. In other words, with Stephanie as our Representative, starting in 2021, we will finally have an

outspoken, visible ally in Washington.

Please RSVP so we know who plans to attend. Of course, if you decide last minute, you will absolutely be welcome.

If you plan to donate, **please make your check out to "Stephanie for New Jersey"** and bring it with you. If you want to donate but can't attend, please mail your check, properly made out, to our address (above). All we ask is that you give whatever you feel you can. A run for the House of Representatives is costly so your donation will truly count. Think of it as your way of helping yourself be genuinely represented in Washington.

Obviously, the “more the merrier” so feel free to *share this invitation with anyone who may be interested in joining us and/or supporting Stephanie's campaign.*

You can also visit Stephanie's [website](#) for all the latest information on her campaign and follow her on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), and [Instagram](#)!

I sincerely hope you will join us in supporting Stephanie!

Susan & Jim

P.S.: As a bonus, we are pleased to announce that both Mike Penna and Moira Nelson, candidates for Monmouth County Freeholder--you know, the people that control the expenditure of half a billion dollars of taxpayer funds--will also be joining us on Tuesday evening and in support of Stephanie. You too should be with us.



--

“A people that values its privileges above its principles soon loses both.” *Dwight D. Eisenhower*

"Change will not come if we wait for some other person or some other time. We are the ones we've been waiting for. We are the change that we seek." *Barack Obama*

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Off Shore Drilling
Date: Sunday, March 04, 2018 6:09:18 PM

Hi Vito--

I know I'm very late on this topic. But, not too late.

As you know Zinke and Trump have proposed opening up offshore drilling along all of the US coast (save for near Mara Lago).

If Neptune has not filed something with the Department of the Interior we have until March 9th. Here is a link to their comments page:

<https://www.boem.gov/National-Program-Comment/>

I'm also pasting a link about seismic airgun blasting used to find deep, offshore oil and gas:

<http://usa.oceana.org/climate-and-energy/grassroots-opposition-offshore-drilling-and-exploration-atlantic-ocean-and>

The noise from this blasiting is reported to be huge thus damaging to marine life and unnerving to onshore humans. And, of course, should we be "lucky enough" to have a treasure trove oil and gas off our shores, we can have tarballs on the beaches once again.

This is something every (well, most) resident in Neptune would be pleased to know our town took a stand on. Maybe it could be done jointly with Avon, Belmar, Bradley Beach.

I know it will be tight to meet this deadline but--if it hasn't already been done--it really should be done.

No pressure (Ha)!!

Best regards--
Jim

From: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Michael Bascom](#); [James Hunt](#); [Michael Zarro](#); [Leanne Hoffmann](#)
Subject: Petition Received - Speeding on South Riverside Drive
Date: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 11:54:09 AM
Attachments: [Petition - South Riverside Dr speeding.pdf](#)

Please see attached petition submitted by Jim Chenitz regarding speeding on “upper” South Riverside Drive.

From: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: RE: S Riverside Dr
Date: Monday, October 22, 2018 2:19:22 PM

Ray,

Just returned from vacation. I did speak with Mayor Williams.

To bring you up to date, FEMA has approved the Living Shoreline concept and granted the Township an extension until 2020 to complete the project.

At present our design is not yet complete. Once our consulting engineer has completed the draft design I will reassemble our committee here at Township Hall to discuss prior to another public forum. Thanks.

Vito

-----Original Message-----

From: Ray Dodd [<mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:30 AM
To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: S Riverside Dr

Hi,

Hope your vacation went well and you got some rest.

I want to explain why Nick Williams is contacting you about the living shoreline. I contacted Nick about something completely unrelated. During that conversation Nick brought up the living shoreline, and I brought up the follow-up meeting. Nick thought the followup meeting was a good idea and offered to touch base with you.

My daughter and the Chenitz's have talked about it recently so if it had not been for the conversation with Nick one of us would have reached out to you soon to see if a meeting could be scheduled.

Looking forward to seeing the progress.

Regards
Ray

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Speeding on S. Riverside
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2019 8:14:24 PM

Thanks, Nick. I appreciate your personal interest.
Jim

On Thu, Jun 20, 2019, 8:05 PM Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:
Jim,

I know that an unmarked car is not entrapment which I established with a Lt. Other then I was told years ago. We are having another POC meeting with the Chief and his Administrative Officers. I will get answers from him for sure. We may not like what we hear (I would think) but we must make him aware of the complaints and put the responsibility on him. If something occurs then we as the POC can always say that the head of the Neptune Police Dept was and has been aware for years of this problem but has done nothing to correct it. Just an initial thought!

I must get home before the stroke of midnight though or I will turn into a rabbit!

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 1:26:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Kevin McMillan
Subject: Speeding on S. Riverside

Hi Nick--

I am following up on my question/discussion with you about uncontrolled speeding on upper S. Riverside Dr.

In 2017 I submitted to the township and to Lt.Zarro a petition with 60 signatures requesting better patrol and radar enforcement of speeding on this section of S. Riverside. You get it on lower. We get nothing.

Today, while trimming shrubs along the street I nearly lost by backside to a speeding car. In other words, one can be seriously harmed by speeders.

This has been ignored for far too long.

As discussed at the Neptune Democratic Club's last meeting, we should be given an unmarked car with radar. I am giving you a link to the legal dictionary so as to clarify in your mind why an unmarked car is NOT entrapment.

<https://legaldictionary.net/entrapment/>

"Entrapment refers to the actions of a law enforcement official that persuade or encourage a person to engage in an illegal act, which he would otherwise have been unlikely to commit. A person charged with a crime he believes a police officer, or other law enforcement official, convinced him to commit, may claim he was "entrapped," or caught in a trap baited

by the police. To explore this concept, consider the following entrapment definition...The act of a law enforcement official luring a person into committing a crime, so that the person can be prosecuted."

Please let me know what will be done about this matter. You can see Rick Cuttrell or Lt. Zarro for a copy of the petition.

I look forward to hearing back from you with the specifics of what action will be implemented.

Looking forward to seeing you tomorrow evening Save the last dance for me.

Best!!

Jim

--

"We must take sides. Neutrality helps the oppressor, never the victim. Silence encourages the tormentor, never the tormented. Sometimes we must interfere." *Elie Wiesel*

"We get the government we deserve. Citizenship requires effort. Without it, the bullies and power-hungry autocrats run wild." *Jennifer Rubin, Washington Post 5/30/2019*

From: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Kevin McMillan](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Leanne Hoffmann](#); [Michael Bascom](#); ["Gene J. Anthony Esq."](#)
Subject: Document submitted by Susan Chenitz
Date: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 10:21:57 AM
Attachments: [Pitfalls of Shoreline Stabilization.pdf](#)

Attached is a copy of the document submitted by Susan Chenitz at last night's meeting.

The document is a chapter from the book "Pitfalls of Shoreline Stabilization: Selected Case Studies" by Orrin H. Pilkey and J. Andrew G. Cooper.

Rick

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Speeding on S. Riverside
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2019 8:44:45 PM

Just beginning!

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 8:13:25 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Speeding on S. Riverside

Thanks, Nick. I appreciate your personal interest.
Jim

On Thu, Jun 20, 2019, 8:05 PM Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:
Jim,

I know that an unmarked car is not entrapment which I established with a Lt. Other then I was told years ago. We are having another POC meeting with the Chief and his Administrative Officers. I will get answers from him for sure. We may not like what we hear (I would think) but we must make him aware of the complaints and put the responsibility on him. If something occurs then we as the POC can always say that the head of the Neptune Police Dept was and has been aware for years of this problem but has done nothing to correct it. Just an initial thought!
I must get home before the stroke of midnight though or I will turn into a rabbit!

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 1:26:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Kevin McMillan
Subject: Speeding on S. Riverside

Hi Nick--

I am following up on my question/discussion with you about uncontrolled speeding on upper S. Riverside Dr.

In 2017 I submitted to the township and to Lt.Zarro a petition with 60 signatures requesting better patrol and radar enforcement of speeding on this section of S. Riverside. You get it on lower. We get nothing.

Today, while trimming shrubs along the street I nearly lost by backside to a speeding car. In other words, one can be seriously harmed by speeders.

This has been ignored for far too long.

As discussed at the Neptune Democratic Club's last meeting, we should be given an unmarked car with radar. I am giving you a link to the legal dictionary so as to clarify in your mind why an unmarked car is NOT entrapment.

<https://legaldictionary.net/entrapment/>

"Entrapment refers to the actions of a law enforcement official that persuade or encourage a person to engage in an illegal act, which he would otherwise have been unlikely to commit. A person charged with a crime he believes a police officer, or other law enforcement official, convinced him to commit, may claim he was "entrapped," or caught in a trap baited by the police. To explore this concept, consider the following entrapment definition...The act of a law enforcement official luring a person into committing a crime, so that the person can be prosecuted."

Please let me know what will be done about this matter. You can see Rick Cuttrell or Lt. Zarro for a copy of the petition.

I look forward to hearing back from you with the specifics of what action will be implemented.

Looking forward to seeing you tomorrow evening Save the last dance for me.

Best!!

Jim

--

"We must take sides. Neutrality helps the oppressor, never the victim. Silence encourages the tormentor, never the tormented. Sometimes we must interfere." *Elie Wiesel*

"We get the government we deserve. Citizenship requires effort. Without it, the bullies and power-hungry autocrats run wild." *Jennifer Rubin, Washington Post 5/30/2019*

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Cc: [Kevin McMillan](#)
Subject: Re: Speeding on S. Riverside
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2019 8:05:24 PM

Jim,

I know that an unmarked car is not entrapment which I established with a Lt. Other then I was told years ago. We are having another POC meeting with the Chief and his Administrative Officers. I will get answers from him for sure. We may not like what we hear (I would think) but we must make him aware of the complaints and put the responsibility on him. If something occurs then we as the POC can always say that the head of the Neptune Police Dept was and has been aware for years of this problem but has done nothing to correct it. Just an initial thought!

I must get home before the stroke of midnight though or I will turn into a rabbit!

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 1:26:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Kevin McMillan
Subject: Speeding on S. Riverside

Hi Nick--

I am following up on my question/discussion with you about uncontrolled speeding on upper S. Riverside Dr.

In 2017 I submitted to the township and to Lt.Zarro a petition with 60 signatures requesting better patrol and radar enforcement of speeding on this section of S. Riverside. You get it on lower. We get nothing.

Today, while trimming shrubs along the street I nearly lost by backside to a speeding car. In other words, one can be seriously harmed by speeders.

This has been ignored for far too long.

As discussed at the Neptune Democratic Club's last meeting, we should be given an unmarked car with radar. I am giving you a link to the legal dictionary so as to clarify in your mind why an unmarked car is NOT entrapment. <https://legaldictionary.net/entrapment/>

"Entrapment refers to the actions of a law enforcement official that persuade or encourage a person to engage in an illegal act, which he would otherwise have been unlikely to commit. A person charged with a crime he believes a police officer, or other law enforcement official, convinced him to commit, may claim he was "entrapped," or caught in a trap baited by the police. To explore this concept, consider the following entrapment definition...The act of a law enforcement official luring a person into committing a crime, so that the person can be prosecuted."

Please let me know what will be done about this matter. You can see Rick Cuttrell or Lt. Zarro for a copy of the petition.

I look forward to hearing back from you with the specifics of what action will be implemented.

Looking forward to seeing you tomorrow evening Save the last dance for me.

Best!!

Jim

--

"We must take sides. Neutrality helps the oppressor, never the victim. Silence encourages the tormentor, never the tormented. Sometimes we must interfere." *Elie Wiesel*

"We get the government we deserve. Citizenship requires effort. Without it, the bullies and power-hungry autocrats run wild." *Jennifer Rubin, Washington Post 5/30/2019*

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: How to proceed
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:56:48 PM

Thanks for reaching out to me with your comments on the mitigation project. As an elected official I am responsible to all residents in Neptune Township and was voted in by the majority to do what's best as you have put your faith and future in our hands. I take this position very seriously and base my individual vote on facts not by party affiliation or voting as a block. I have heard your comments over the past two months and will vote on this Ordinance accordingly. I too am a taxpayer and resident of Shark River Hills. I also have a huge interest in the river as I have been a resident of Neptune since 1990 and a boat owner. I usually fish two to three times a week if I am able to get out due to my schedule. This alone will help me in making what I hope will be the right decision. Stay dry today (lol) and have a great weekend.

Nick

PS.....Thanks for the comment about my nature and humor. I look at life as waking up everyday and it can go in either direction. Good or Bad, but try to keep a positive attitude in everything I do. Years ago I came up with an philosophy that we are in a car driving and the windshield represents our future. As we drive we get closer to it but never really get to it. The rear view mirror represents our past. It is a lot smaller and as we drive we get further and further away until it disappears completely and is gone forever. That is why I live life one day at a time because we don't know what the future will bring us!
Next meeting, come up and introduce yourself to me and let's get better aquatinted.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:07:17 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: How to proceed

Hello Nick!

I know you've heard from others but, I might as well add my thoughts.

As you know, I was told the township could do the river project incrementally, i.e., first repair the back flow pipes with new flaps that would allow rain water to run from the road out to the river but prevent river water from flowing onto the roadway.

Obviously, if it was found that this one step satisfactorily resolved the issue (an issue that seems to be known to only a select few people) that would be wonderful. Job completed for far less money. But, if after doing the pipe repairs flooding really was occurring then more could be done.

As a point of information, I spent a reasonable amount of time talking with Sam, the mailman that covers that area. He, like the rest of us, said that the occasional puddling of water is from

back flow up the stormdrains. He estimated that only 2-3 times A YEAR he will have to reroute his route until the puddles go down. He noted this water is NOT across the entire roadway but on the sides of the road where he has to drive to get to mailboxes. Remember the road is bermed.

If the township proceeds with the full blown project we risk wasting taxpayer money and irreparably damaging our shoreline.

It makes most sense to fix the drains and then reassess. Remember, the only documentation that exists at all is that which was done by Ray Dodd. And, his observations support the need to repair the drains but fully refute the notion that the roadway floods to the point of causing traffic to have to be diverted.

Though I do not yet know you well I do enjoy your very pleasant nature and your humor. I hope you will maintain an open mind in this very meaningful township matter. A significant part of town is called Shark River Hills. The Shark River plays an important part in the lifestyle of people throughout the township. Covering the beach with concrete to resolve an unproven problem is too great a loss to consider without first correcting the drains.

I appreciate what you do for our town and I fully understand the magnitude of your commitment and the hours of your time it consumes. Thank you!!!

Best personal regards!

Jim Chenitz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Susan Gloor Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:19:49 PM

Understood and we will do our best in making the right decision for everyone. Thanks again for contacting me. Have a great weekend and will see you soon again.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Susan Gloor Chenitz <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:15:32 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject:

632 South Riverside Drive

Neptune, NJ 07753

August 18, 2017

Mr. Nick Williams

Neptune Township Committee

Neptune, NJ 07754

Dear Nick:

As you know, I left with the township committee at last week's August 14, 2017 the 12th chapter from a textbook titled 'Pitfalls of Shoreline Stabilization – Selected Case Studies'. This chapter "Alternative Shoreline Erosion Control Devices: A Review" summarizes over 100 "alternative devices" on the market, how they are supposed to function and reviews their performance.

Since I know you have more going on than just the shoreline project along the Shark River I have written out the comments I would have made at this Monday's meeting, had it not been so late into the evening. Hopefully this outline and your reading the article will help you understand our concerns.

The two devices being sold by the company represented by Dr. Andrew Rella are Geotubes – silt filled tubes and Marine Mattresses, large pads of articulated concrete blocks.

Both of these structures when tried in actual littoral zones (area where water meets shore):

1. Caused Beach erosion (exactly what Dr. Rella is purportedly trying to correct)
2. Create hazards to water recreation (in other words limit beach access, which is unacceptable to all residents)
3. Negatively impacted native plants and animals.

In the Shark River these structures would:

- a) eliminate horseshoe crab spawning along beach by covering the sand where they lay their eggs
- b) affect the Shark River estuarine ecosystem which are nursery grounds for many fish species
- c) impact the endangered species (bald eagle, black skimmer, peregrine falcon) and threatened species (egret, black crowned night heron, yellow crowned night heron, osprey) that live here

According to the web site for Econcrete, the company has been in existence for a little over two years. Therefore these structures have essentially no long term track record in any setting and no track record at all in a setting comparable to the Shark River.

Econcrete mattress (marine mattress) and Geotubes are forms of beach armoring

The article I provided to you explains that rather than providing protection such devices would actually put the homes you are trying to protect at greater peril in certain types of storms because they would fully eliminate the buffering provided by sandy beaches.

As has been made fully clear at previous meetings, neither the town nor the county has any documentation of the regular street flooding of South Riverside Drive as was asserted in the FEMA application. Likewise there is no township or county documentation of regular street closing due to flooding of the Shark River.

As you know, my husband was told by a FEMA representative that the township could do the project incrementally, i.e. first repair the backflow valves with new flaps that would allow rain water to flow out to the river but prevent river water from flowing back to the roadway. If this step resolves any nuisance flooding then the project has been accomplished for a fraction of the cost and with preservation of the beach.

Nick, I know you love fishing and I am pretty sure you would not knowingly do anything to impact this very special place where we all live. Thanks for listening.

Thank you for all the time and effort you expend on behalf of Neptune.

Respectfully,

Susan Gloor Chenitz

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 9:29:53 AM

Something to look at as a Committee. Most people do go over but this will give them a heads up their time will be ending soon.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 8:32:05 AM
To: Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: FW:

Committee members,

Please see below email from Jim Chenitz. Do you have interest in acquiring a light system to notify speakers when their five minute limit is approaching?

Rick

From: James Chenitz [mailto:xxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx]
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject:

Hi Rick--

Last week I forwarded to you a copy of the letter I sent to the Township Committee members and am wondering if it was included as part of the official record. I would like it to be in the record. Would you please let me know if it is. If it is not, please let me know what needs to be done to get it in.

Also, I had thought of this before Monday evening but, having been cut off by the clock, I was fully reminded, we need a better method than just shutting people off because they exceeded their 5 minutes. At conferences I've attended as well as those I've been a speaker, they use a light system that gives a green light, yellow warning (varies in time for how much warning your getting) and a red to tell you it is time to wrap it up.

So, we could do green for 3 minutes, full yellow for 1, flashing yellow for 1, and red, and it should be visible to speakers. But, cutting someone off, abruptly, seems harsh and means the committee misses out on ideas citizens wish to share.

I'm offering these thoughts to you and hope you will present them to whomever is the correct party to give it further consideration.

Thank you for all you've done for this township. You are outstanding!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Re: PDM 2011
Date: Monday, August 21, 2017 3:38:53 PM

I personally think that we should change this project and do the storm drains as the first phase. My advise is to have our OEM from here on in start keeping reports on any flood situations that they may have to respond to entailing the day, time and area affected so we can have data for the future if we need it for any reason moving forward. I would like to pull the second reading of the Ordinsnce on 8/28/ at the next meeting.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Vito Gadaleta
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:27:02 PM
To: Carol Rizzo; Michael Brantley; Kevin McMillan; Michael Bascom; Nicholas Williams; Rick Cuttrell; Robert Lane
Cc: Leanne Hoffmann
Subject: FW: PDM 2011

Please see below response from NJOEM concerning the flood mitigation project. I would suggest, based upon public opinion, that Township revise the scope of work to provide only for the storm drainage portion of the project, and submit the scope change to NJOEM and FEMA. We would be looking for a reduced federal commitment.

If the members of the Committee agree, I would get out a press release now for public distribution prior to the meeting.

Vito

From: Jillian Stokley [mailto:xxxxxxxx@xx.xxx.xxx]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Cc: Leanne Hoffmann <xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject: Re: PDM 2011

Good Afternoon,

Leanne had reached out to me about this recently. You can revise the scope of work based upon the public comments and we can submit a scope of work change request to FEMA.

FEMA will be the ultimate decision maker as to whether or not a scope change is allowed, but if the redesign is due to public concern I think they will allow for it (as Mike Foley indicated).

If the redesign increases the budget we can request additional funding from FEMA but it is unlikely that additional funds will be available, as the PDM/FMA funding pots are limited.

In order to process of scope change with FEMA you will need to provide NJOEM with a letter requesting the change, detailing the reason for the change (e.g. concerns raised by the residents), along with the newly proposed design plan.

Should the township wish to drop the project entirely instead of seeking a scope change, you just need to provide a letter stating you wish to return the obligated funding and closeout the grant.

Those would basically be your two options, seek a scope change or return the funding. If this is a priority area for the township I would definitely recommend the scope change route before returning funding.

If you have any additional questions please contact me.

Regards,

Jillian Stokley

NJOEM Mitigation Grants Specialist

Desk: 732-866-1513

Cell: 609-462-5642

Email: [@._____](#)

>>> Vito Gadaleta <[@._____](#)> 8/21/2017 1:21 PM >>>
> _____

Good afternoon Jillian. I am reaching out today concerning PDM 2011 grant. We are getting some serious public pushback on the project. In fact one resident advised at a recent public hearing that he had spoken with Mike Foley about the project and this resident, Jim Chenitz stated that Mike Foley had said FEMA would support a scaled down, phased in project. I sent an email to Mike but have not received a reply. Our next public hearing is one week from today. I need to advise our governing body of their options. If there is any assistance or direction you can provide me it would be most appreciated. Thank you for your cooperation and considerations.

Vito D. Gadaleta, RMC, QPA, NJCEM

Business Administrator
Township of Neptune
732-988-5200, ext. 232

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE The information contained in this communication from the Division of State Police is privileged and confidential and is intended for the sole use of the persons or entities who are the addressees. If you are not an intended recipient of this e-mail, the dissemination, distribution, copying or use of the information it contains is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately contact the Division of State Police at (609) 882-2000 to arrange for the return of this

information.

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 3:53:37 PM

Something to look at as a Committee. Most people do go over but this will give them a heads up their time will be ending soon.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 8:32:05 AM
To: Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: FW:

Committee members,

Please see below email from Jim Chenitz. Do you have interest in acquiring a light system to notify speakers when their five minute limit is approaching?

Rick

From: James Chenitz [mailto:xxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx]
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject:

Hi Rick--

Last week I forwarded to you a copy of the letter I sent to the Township Committee members and am wondering if it was included as part of the official record. I would like it to be in the record. Would you please let me know if it is. If it is not, please let me know what needs to be done to get it in.

Also, I had thought of this before Monday evening but, having been cut off by the clock, I was fully reminded, we need a better method than just shutting people off because they exceeded their 5 minutes. At conferences I've attended as well as those I've been a speaker, they use a light system that gives a green light, yellow warning (varies in time for how much warning your getting) and a red to tell you it is time to wrap it up.

So, we could do green for 3 minutes, full yellow for 1, flashing yellow for 1, and red, and it should be visible to speakers. But, cutting someone off, abruptly, seems harsh and means the committee misses out on ideas citizens wish to share.

I'm offering these thoughts to you and hope you will present them to whomever is the correct party to give it further consideration.

Thank you for all you've done for this township. You are outstanding!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:56:00 AM

I forwarded you email to our Business Administration for some guidance in this situation. Jim, I personally do not know the answer but will surely try to get one as soon as possible. Thank you for reaching out to me and hoping to get a response shortly.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:49:01 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Police matter

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Fwd: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:56:27 AM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:49:01 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Police matter

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee
Date: Friday, August 25, 2017 2:35:36 PM

Jim

Thank you for all your concern and especially your input in making our decision to table the Shark River project. As you can see this Committee does not take public opinion lightly and do listen to our residents. The voting members of Neptune put your future in our hands to do the right thing. I feel we did the right thing and can keep a better eye on the situation with proper data moving forward. I feel good about not changing the river at all except to do the sewage and drain work. I like many others have and. Intimidating to use the river as much as possible. I have had a boat in the river for the past 25 years I've resided in the Hills. Hope you have a great weekend and will see you Monday ugh get if you attend

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 9:53:54 AM
To: Carol Rizzo; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Rick Cuttrell; Robert Lane; Vito Gadaleta
Subject: Letter from Shark River Hills Residents to Township Committee

An expression of our Gratitude!

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:20:01 PM

One thing I never done in my 40+ years in politics is to “convince someone to vote my way”. I like both candidates and you do bring up some very valid points about both candidates for sure. I like the points about Wells especially which I never thought of. Jim does own a business down in the Waretown area as you said and I was also aware of. I wouldn’t mind having either candidate on the ticket to try to beat Smith. Don’t know if you recall we always had Frank Pallone and it was so much easier. Lol
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:11:45 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Interesting, Nick. From my point of view, he is a guy running a business and engaging with schools and their athletic programs. I would be will to bet heavily that, if Welle loses, he will go back to one of his Virginia homes and his wife will work in the Deloitte office in DC. If he wins, she'll also go work there and he'll become, like Smith, an absentee "representative". And, for sure, if they have kids down there, Welle will rarely, if ever, come back here. He will be a younger Chris Smith.

We need someone who can win. What Jim has not done for the party may mean something, maybe. But, he is the best option to go after Smith. And, should Keady win, he'll do more for Democrats in this area to way more than make up for whatever it is the Democratic leadership is pissed about.

I do not wish to put you in an awkward or inappropriate position. But, I do think, when you think about who will get the unmotivated democratic voters engaged, Jim is the only one who can do it.

Welle wants to gather up Republicans that aren't happy. But, that number of people is likely far less than the number of Democrats that just need to get psyched about the candidate and the real chance for them to realize real change.

I'm submitting a letter to the Asbury Park Press. that I'm also attaching to this letter. I'd be anxious to hear your thoughts.

Thanks for your insights. You have deep roots in the local Democratic party. I'm just digging in now.

Best!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 5:02 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM
To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 5:02:34 PM

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Thank you
Date: Wednesday, August 22, 2018 8:09:37 AM

Thanks for the kind words and although it is frustrating at times it is a boat. I still have my health, family and some great friends like yourself. The boat will get fixed I'm sure eventually. Thank you for hosting a fundraiser for Larry and Amber. I've known Larry for many years and supported him the other elections he was involved in. I just met Amber this year as she is a relatively new comer to the Monmouth County Democratic Organization. Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2018 6:02:18 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Thank you

Hi Mayor--

I know you had a frustrating day yesterday so all the more reason I want to thank you so much for attending our fundraiser last evening.

Improving the lot for all residents of Monmouth County is an important goal. The two Freeholder candidates are a very important step in that direction.

You're dedicated involvement on behalf of Neptune is greatly appreciated!!!

Best regards!
Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Welle: "It's None of your business"
Date: Thursday, February 15, 2018 11:29:08 AM

Thanks Dr. and I will review them

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 7:25:24 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Welle: "It's None of your business"

Hi Nick--

It's not my intention to pester you about Keady vs. Welle. I know you'll be voting for the Democratic candidate. I am trying to point out that Keady will motivate people who for many election cycles have sat it out. To them, it didn't seem that the candidate from either party was going to help them.

Welle is Republican Lite. A race between Welle and Smith would fit the way Ralph Nader described the choice between Bush and Gore, "Like choosing between Tweedle Dee and Tweedle Dum." (Note: I did not agree related to that race but would agree in a Smith/Welle face off).

Here is the YouTube of Welle telling people at a Town Hall that where he lives is "None of your business" The second link is an article Susan spotted this morning online that is exactly the same point as mine: Keady is the only candidate in the 4th that can beat Smith.

Best regards!!!
Jim

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7N7BS4qOHS8>

<https://medium.com/@jimesilverman/how-democrats-can-win-in-nj-04-c07d09804fef>

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [James Mowczan](#); [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules
Date: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 7:12:47 AM
Attachments: [New Vote by Mail rules.pdf](#)

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:07:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Michael Bascom; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Please see attached press release from the Monmouth County Clerk and pay particular attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4. All voters in NJ who voted by mail in the 2016 General Election will be sent a Mail-In ballot for the 2018 General Election *whether they request one or not*. A voter who receives a Mail-In ballot cannot vote in the voting machine on Election Day.

The County Clerk will send a letter to every 2016 Mail-In voter to alert them of this important change before the 2018 Mail-In ballot is sent.

If any of these voters do not want to receive a 2018 Mail-In ballot, they must notify the County Clerk *in writing*.

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Re:
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 2:51:23 PM

Something to look at as a Committee. Most people do go over but this will give them a heads up their time will be ending soon.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 8:32:05 AM
To: Michael Brantley; Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: FW:

Committee members,

Please see below email from Jim Chenitz. Do you have interest in acquiring a light system to notify speakers when their five minute limit is approaching?

Rick

From: James Chenitz [mailto:xxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx]
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject:

Hi Rick--

Last week I forwarded to you a copy of the letter I sent to the Township Committee members and am wondering if it was included as part of the official record. I would like it to be in the record. Would you please let me know if it is. If it is not, please let me know what needs to be done to get it in.

Also, I had thought of this before Monday evening but, having been cut off by the clock, I was fully reminded, we need a better method than just shutting people off because they exceeded their 5 minutes. At conferences I've attended as well as those I've been a speaker, they use a light system that gives a green light, yellow warning (varies in time for how much warning your getting) and a red to tell you it is time to wrap it up.

So, we could do green for 3 minutes, full yellow for 1, flashing yellow for 1, and red, and it should be visible to speakers. But, cutting someone off, abruptly, seems harsh and means the committee misses out on ideas citizens wish to share.

I'm offering these thoughts to you and hope you will present them to whomever is the correct party to give it further consideration.

Thank you for all you've done for this township. You are outstanding!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules
Date: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:37:50 PM

I'll look into the billboard tomorrow. I actually spoke to Bob Lane to get some information from Dawn Thompson.

I appreciate all you and Susan are doing for all the Democratic line candidates this year and glad that you are part of our team.

As far as this Vote By Mail, I was talking to Vin (Gopal) about it today and we both feel it is very confusing and hope that it will not effect people coming to the polls after they receive a vote by mail ballot but don't fill it out and return it. They can vote by provision but a lot of poll workers will not go through the trouble of informing them they may. Have a great time and see you when you return,

Nick

732-904-4200

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:15:50 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Thanks, Nick--This is important! But, even more importantly, we are in Casper, Wyoming. You might ask, "Why"? To which I would reply: "Good point!!!" Please look into the electronic billboard. If the Rt 66 one is comparably priced at about \$400/mo, I'll be happy to buy the month before the election.

Also, Susan and I are all in on doing what we can to reelect you and Dr. Brantley. Honestly, the risk (as slim as it is) of having Granelli sitting on the township committee is (gasp) horrifying.

Thanks for forwarding the Vote By Mail info.

Jim

On Thu, Sep 13, 2018 at 10:14 AM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)> wrote:

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:13:12 AM
To: Jim Chenitz; [xxxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); James Mowczan
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

For your information on the new rules for Vote By Mail. Hope you arrived safely and enjoy your vacation. Please forward this to anyone who might be interested in this information.

Jim Mowczan, please send a email

Blast out to our membership as this can have an effect on them if they have voted Vote By Mail in the last general election.

Thanks,
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 7:12:44 AM
To: James Mowczan; Jim Chenitz
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:07:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Michael Bascom; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Please see attached press release from the Monmouth County Clerk and pay particular attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4. All voters in NJ who voted by mail in the 2016 General Election will be sent a Mail-In ballot for the 2018 General Election *whether they request one or not*. A voter who receives a Mail-In ballot cannot vote in the voting machine on Election Day.

The County Clerk will send a letter to every 2016 Mail-In voter to alert them of this important change before the 2018 Mail-In ballot is sent.

If any of these voters do not want to receive a 2018 Mail-In ballot, they must notify the County Clerk *in writing*.

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: anything to report
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:43:45 PM

I think that it is very expensive according to Rob Lane. It is like 900.00 or something like that. I heard Vito knows the actual prices. I will check with him tomorrow.

On the flip side there are rumors coming out of the Republican Camp that the lady withdrew from running and that Grinelli is also packing it in. Grinelli told Jim Manning that he is running alone against us but others are saying he is finished. Only time will tell but either way, we will continue to do what we can and get the vote out for the entire ticket. Have fun and any other need I'll get back to you but let's hope he does drop out.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:35:49 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: anything to report

Hi Mayor--

We're outside Yellowstone Park having already made friends with a herd of Bison and watched Ol' Faithful.

Which causes me to write again. Wishing to remain faithful, have you gotten any information on the billboard. I'd like to know soon so I don't blow my budget on travel issues. As it is, in order to budget, I'm limiting Susan to 2 meals a day.

Best regards!
Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: Signs
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:40:19 PM

We will have our first debate which is scheduled at 7:30 tonight at the Shark River Hills Fire House for the Homeowners. It will be interesting to see if he is either up there with us, in the audience or not there at all. We are all anticipating what he will do. Doc and I will be prepared and ready to answer any of the sensible questions which may be asked. I will not get into a pissing match with him or any audience member tonight. I'll stick to facts and data. Let you know how it goes. was going to be aired live but got bumped for Wheel of Fortune instead.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 12:23:48 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Signs

Hi Nick—

We're now in Santa Fe. I'm wondering if you now know more about Granelli's run. Is he in or out? We're still shooting for being back around Oct 20th and will be available to offer any help we can to get you and Dr. Brantly to remain on the TC.

Awesome trip so far. And, as you might guess, we've had many conversations with locals. It's hard to conceive of how Trump is in office. Almost every person we've spoke to in the Midwest (not a valid sampling, I admit) despises him.

Hope you're well and keeping Neptune up and running without our oversight!!

Best regards,
Jim

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Cc: [Maire](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:00:13 PM

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 2:59:13 PM

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!
Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#); [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [James Mowczan](#)
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules
Date: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:13:13 AM
Attachments: [New Vote by Mail rules.pdf](#)

For your information on the new rules for Vote By Mail. Hope you arrived safely and enjoy your vacation. Please forward this to anyone who might be interested in this information.

Jim Mowczan, please send a email

Blast out to our membership as this can have an effect on them if they have voted Vote By Mail in the last general election.

Thanks,

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 7:12:44 AM
To: James Mowczan; Jim Chenitz
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:07:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Michael Bascom; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Please see attached press release from the Monmouth County Clerk and pay particular attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4. All voters in NJ who voted by mail in the 2016 General Election will be sent a Mail-In ballot for the 2018 General Election *whether they request one or not*. A voter who receives a Mail-In ballot cannot vote in the voting machine on Election Day.

The County Clerk will send a letter to every 2016 Mail-In voter to alert them of this important change before the 2018 Mail-In ballot is sent.

If any of these voters do not want to receive a 2018 Mail-In ballot, they must notify the County Clerk *in writing*.

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Cc: [Maire](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:38:41 PM

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll

It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved. To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal. We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen. We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project

to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.
I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: How to proceed
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:14:07 PM

Another thing, I never fish on weekends. Too many crazy' out there. Limit to Monday thru Friday. Thanks again and stop and say hello on the 28th.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:11:32 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: How to proceed

Thanks for your comments. For sure no one knows what the future holds in store and there is nothing that we can do to change what is already done. I try to maintain an upbeat and positive attitude about life and about the people I meet. Everyone has more than enough on his or her plate.

On the river issue, I agree this is NOT a political issue. Since we live in a coastal community we are very fortunate to have at our disposal people with very many years of experience. I'm calling this to your attention because, with all due respect, Dr. Rella is a recent graduate with essentially limited exposure to real world circumstances. In fact, his company has never done a project like the Shark River. But, Captain Al Modjeski and Dr. Bill Sciarappa have done years of comparable work. These are the resources we should tap.

Sunday should be a winner. Go fishing. Have fun. I will save time to chat at the next meeting.

Best regards!!!
Jim Chenitz

On Fri, Aug 18, 2017 at 1:56 PM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Thanks for reaching out to me with your comments on the mitigation project. As an elected official I am responsible to all residents in Neptune Township and was voted in by the majority to do what's best as you have put your faith and future in our hands. I take this position very seriously and base my individual vote on facts not by party affiliation or voting as a block. I have heard your comments over the past two months and will vote on this Ordinance accordingly. I too am a taxpayer and resident of Shark River Hills. I also have a huge interest in the river as I have been a resident of Neptune since 1990 and a boat owner. I usually fish two to three times a week if I am able to get out due to my schedule. This alone will help me in making what I hope will be the right decision. Stay dry today (lol) and have a great weekend.

Nick

PS.....Thanks for the comment about my nature and humor. I look at life as waking up

everyday and it can go in either direction. Good or Bad, but try to keep a positive attitude in everything I do. Years ago I came up with an philosophy that we are in a car driving and the windshield represents our future. As we drive we get closer to it but never really get to it. The rear view mirror represents our past. It is a lot smaller and as we drive we get further and further away until it disappears completely and is gone forever. That is why I live life one day at a time because we don't know what the future will bring us!
Next meeting, come up and introduce yourself to me and let's get better aquatinted.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:07:17 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: How to proceed

Hello Nick!

I know you've heard from others but, I might as well add my thoughts.

As you know, I was told the township could do the river project incrementally, i.e., first repair the back flow pipes with new flaps that would allow rain water to run from the road out to the river but prevent river water from flowing onto the roadway.

Obviously, if it was found that this one step satisfactorily resolved the issue (an issue that seems to be known to only a select few people) that would be wonderful. Job completed for far less money. But, if after doing the pipe repairs flooding really was occurring then more could be done.

As a point of information, I spent a reasonable amount of time talking with Sam, the mailman that covers that area. He, like the rest of us, said that the occasional puddling of water is from back flow up the stormdrains. He estimated that only 2-3 times A YEAR he will have to reroute his route until the puddles go down. He noted this water is NOT across the entire roadway but on the sides of the road where he has to drive to get to mailboxes. Remember the road is bermed.

If the township proceeds with the full blown project we risk wasting taxpayer money and irreparably damaging our shoreline.

It makes most sense to fix the drains and then reassess. Remember, the only documentation that exists at all is that which was done by Ray Dodd. And, his observations support the need to repair the drains but fully refute the notion that the roadway floods to the point of causing traffic to have to be diverted.

Though I do not yet know you well I do enjoy your very pleasant nature and your humor. I hope you will maintain an open mind in this very meaningful township matter. A significant part of town is called Shark River Hills. The Shark River plays an important part in the lifestyle of people throughout the township. Covering the beach with concrete to resolve an unproven problem is too great a loss to consider without first correcting the drains.

I appreciate what you do for our town and I fully understand the magnitude of your

commitment and the hours of your time it consumes. Thank you!!!

Best personal regards!

Jim Chenitz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:27:25 PM
Attachments: [Image-3.png](#)

I'm being honored as Irishman of the year. Well half Irish anyway.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:26:19 PM
To: Jim Chenitz
Subject: Re: I have Questions



Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:24 PM

Subject: Re: I have Questions

To: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Wells actually answered “ none of your business” wow! Not a good way to start an election. Transparency at its worst. I really didn’t know that. I wanted to attend but we had our Club meeting and election last night.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:20:00 PM

To: Jim Chenitz

Subject: Re: I have Questions

One thing I never done in my 40+ years in politics is to “convince someone to vote my way”. I like both candidates and you do bring up some very valid points about both candidates for sure. I like the points about Wells especially which I never thought of. Jim does own a business down in the Waretown area as you said and I was also aware of. I wouldn’t mind having either candidate on the ticket to try to beat Smith. Don’t know if you recall we always had Frank Pallone and it was so much easier. Lol
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:11:45 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: Re: I have Questions

Interesting, Nick. From my point of view, he is a guy running a business and engaging with schools and their athletic programs. I would be will to bet heavily that, if Welle loses, he will go back to one of his Virginia homes and his wife will work in the Deloitte office in DC. If he wins, she'll also go work there and he'll become, like Smith, an absentee "representative". And, for sure, if they have kids down there, Welle will rarely, if ever, come back here. He will be a younger Chris Smith.

We need someone who can win. What Jim has not done for the party may mean something, maybe. But, he is the best option to go after Smith. And, should Keady win, he'll do more for Democrats in this area to way more than make up for whatever it is the Democratic leadership is pissed about.

I do not wish to put you in an awkward or inappropriate position. But, I do think, when you think about who will get the unmotivated democratic voters engaged, Jim is the only one who can do it.

Welle wants to gather up Republicans that aren't happy. But, that number of people is likely far less than the number of Democrats that just need to get psyched about the candidate and the real chance for them to realize real change.

I'm submitting a letter to the Asbury Park Press. that I'm also attaching to this letter. I'd be

anxious to hear your thoughts.

Thanks for your insights. You have deep roots in the local Democratic party. I'm just digging in now.

Best!

Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 5:02 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!

Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County

Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Cc: [Maire](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:51:37 PM

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.

But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.

I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll

It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM

To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams
<xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:24:45 PM

Wells actually answered “ none of your business” wow! Not a good way to start an election. Transparency at its worst. I really didn’t know that. I wanted to attend but we had our Club meeting and election last night.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:20:00 PM
To: Jim Chenitz
Subject: Re: I have Questions

One thing I never done in my 40+ years in politics is to “convince someone to vote my way”. I like both candidates and you do bring up some very valid points about both candidates for sure. I like the points about Wells especially which I never thought of. Jim does own a business down in the Waretown area as you said and I was also aware of. I wouldn’t mind having either candidate on the ticket to try to beat Smith. Don’t know if you recall we always had Frank Pallone and it was so much easier. Lol
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:11:45 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Interesting, Nick. From my point of view, he is a guy running a business and engaging with schools and their athletic programs. I would be will to bet heavily that, if Welle loses, he will go back to one of his Virginia homes and his wife will work in the Deloitte office in DC. If he wins, she'll also go work there and he'll become, like Smith, an absentee "representative". And, for sure, if they have kids down there, Welle will rarely, if ever, come back here. He will be a younger Chris Smith.

We need someone who can win. What Jim has not done for the party may mean something, maybe. But, he is the best option to go after Smith. And, should Keady win, he'll do more for Democrats in this area to way more than make up for whatever it is the Democratic leadership is pissed about.

I do not wish to put you in an awkward or inappropriate position. But, I do think, when you think about who will get the unmotivated democratic voters engaged, Jim is the only one who can do it.

Welle wants to gather up Republicans that aren't happy. But, that number of people is likely far less than the number of Democrats that just need to get psyched about the candidate and the real chance for them to realize real change.

I'm submitting a letter to the Asbury Park Press. that I'm also attaching to this letter. I'd be anxious to hear your thoughts.

Thanks for your insights. You have deep roots in the local Democratic party. I'm just digging in now.

Best!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 5:02 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!
Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:46:47 PM

He went on vacation and then he returned, then he attended a conference for Economic Development and EMS. Came in last week and now is on a personal vacation until Monday.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:44:33 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Thanks Nick, Guess I'm not used to those nice long well deserved vacations ?
Same to you

On Oct 17, 2018, at 2:42 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Vito is out this week on vacation. I will ask him on Monday. Knowxwe got an extension by FEMA to have it completed by 2020. Hope all is going well.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:33:33 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hey Mayor,

Just circling back to see if you were able to contact Vito on the follow-up meeting.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.
But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.
I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll
It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams

<xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,
Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:45:11 PM

I mentioned it to him and I think it's time we meet and see where we are and the next step in continuing the plan.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:42:46 PM
To: Ray Dodd
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Vito is out this week on vacation. I will ask him on Monday. Knowxwe got an extension by FEMA to have it completed by 2020. Hope all is going well.
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:33:33 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hey Mayor,

Just circling back to see if you were able to contact Vito on the follow-up meeting.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.

But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.

I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams

<xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll

It out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly

before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams

xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,

Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito

on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.
As we approached each other we exchanged
'good morning' and I told you we had been there
to meet on the living shoreline project.
Your response to us was 'those people from
Shark River Hills want nothing"
We are all from Shark River Hills.
Both my daughter and I have voted for you in
the past and an election is coming where you are
on the ballot.
We would like to better understand your
comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was
made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 7:59:37 AM

Good morning

This article discusses how common cyber attacks are against local governments. Has Neptune done anything substantial as of yet to prevent such an attack?

Thank you,
Jim Chenitz
632 S. Riverside Dr.

How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks <https://nyti.ms/2GhzIaq>

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Ray Dodd](#)
Subject: Re: Last February meet
Date: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:42:48 PM

Vito is out this week on vacation. I will ask him on Monday. Knowxwe got an extension by FEMA to have it completed by 2020. Hope all is going well.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:33:33 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hey Mayor,

Just circling back to see if you were able to contact Vito on the follow-up meeting.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:51 PM, Nicholas Williams
<[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

I will speak to Vito when he returns back to the office and see where we are at with this.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <[xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:45:47 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

I agree with everything you said. We did leave with a positive feeling and positive feedback, it was a good step forward.

But the 2nd meeting to review the design didn't happen as discussed at the 2/20 meeting. In reality we don't know what it is going to look like until we see the plan and there are some potential concerns.

I recently spoke with Capt Al from the Littoral Society and he had the same concern that the followup meeting did not occur.

I know we would all prefer to continue to be involved.

Thanks
Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:38 PM, Nicholas Williams
<xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I thought Vito said the plan was moving forward and the consensus was it was moving in a positive direction which may be a plan which would be a favorable one to most residents when we roll it out to the public in the future. Good news is we have some time to continue to move it forward. Thanks again and sorry for the misunderstanding. Have a great week and enjoy this great weather.

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:16:52 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Cc: Maire
Subject: Re: Last February meet

Hi again Nick,

That's good enough for me. We were all puzzled by the comment, thanks for the explanation.

To bring you up to date on the living shoreline my understanding had been that the neighborhood (4 of us) , Littoral Society and SRCC would continue to be involved.

To date we have attended only the Feb 20 meeting and that was before any design was developed, it was all verbal.

We had been told at the Feb 20 meeting the full group would see the design before submission to FEMA, that didn't happen.

We are hoping that some time in the very near future, and certainly before the project gets too far along the full group is reconvened.

Perhaps you can advise if the plan for community and expert involvement is still being followed.

Thanks again Nick

Ray

On Oct 2, 2018, at 12:00 PM, Nicholas Williams

<xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I really cannot recall the statement that I made. I hear that we are moving on a project that may be feasible and know we have gotten an extension on the project to 2020. I am by no way doubting you but cannot substantiate why I would make that type of a statement to anyone. That is not my usually demeanor at all. If I said it I am sorry and would not want to judge any group by location. I have been a resident of Shark River Hills section of Neptune since 1990 myself. Please accept my apology. As far as elections, please vote for who you feel is going and will continue to do the best job for all residents of the Township. We, as you know listen to what the residents have to say and do not take your suggestions lightly. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding to you and your daughter.

I remain,

Nick Williams

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Ray Dodd <xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:05:05 AM

To: Nicholas Williams

Cc: Maire

Subject: Last February meet

Good morning Mayor,

For months my daughter and I have had a nagging issue and now seems to be the time to try to clarify it.

One morning last February (the 20th at 11am to be exact) myself, my daughter and Jim and Susan Chenitz were leaving a meeting with Vito on the plan for the S Riverside living shoreline.

As we approached each other we exchanged 'good morning' and I told you we had been there to meet on the living shoreline project.

Your response to us was 'those people from Shark River Hills want nothing'

We are all from Shark River Hills.

Both my daughter and I have voted for you in the past and an election is coming where you are on the ballot.

We would like to better understand your

comment about SHR residents.

Mayor, can you explain why your comment was made ?

Respectfully
Ray Dadd

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Re: Police matter
Date: Thursday, December 07, 2017 9:00:36 AM

Thanks

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Vito Gadaleta
Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:59:42 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: RE: Police matter

Nick,

I am meeting with Jim tomorrow on another matter but will discuss this issue with him. I am not aware of such a regulation but will do some follow up and get back to you. Certainly not a police matter, rather our Shade Tree Commission and DPW could get involved.

Our current ordinance permits residents on developed single family lots to clear cut their lot should they desire to do so. We should visit this ordinance language and develop some new guidelines.

Vito

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2017 8:56 AM
To: Vito Gadaleta <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Fwd: Police matter

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Thursday, December 7, 2017 8:49:01 AM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Police matter

Hi Nick--

I forget your exact title with regard to the Neptune Police; however, I know you are now on the recently formed police committee.

With that in mind, I am writing to quickly discuss an enforcement matter with you. Specifically, cutting trees that are within Neptune but are protected by NJ DEP regulations, ie, trees on and adjacent to coastal bluffs along the Shark River.

When speaking with the state DEP I was given a "hotline" phone number to call to report such an issue; however, they explained their response team would be coming from Toms River. That means that until the DEP arrives the tree cutters can remain very busy.

Assuming at least a 1 hour response time, I am requesting that our police department be officially instructed to stop the tree cutting until it is reviewed by the DEP.

If, on the other hand Nick, this is not within your purview would you please direct me to the proper individual.

As always, thank you very much for your attention and help.

Finally, my best personal regards and wishes to you and your family for a wonderful holiday season!

Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Welle: "It's None of your business"
Date: Thursday, February 15, 2018 7:25:49 AM

Hi Nick--

It's not my intention to pester you about Keady vs. Welle. I know you'll be voting for the Democratic candidate. I am trying to point out that Keady will motivate people who for many election cycles have sat it out. To them, it didn't seem that the candidate from either party was going to help them.

Welle is Republican Lite. A race between Welle and Smith would fit the way Ralph Nader described the choice between Bush and Gore, "Like choosing between Tweedle Dee and Tweedle Dum." (Note: I did not agree related to that race but would agree in a Smith/Welle face off).

Here is the YouTube of Welle telling people at a Town Hall that where he lives is "None of your business" The second link is an article Susan spotted this morning online that is exactly the same point as mine: Keady is the only candidate in the 4th that can beat Smith.

Best regards!!!
Jim

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7N7BS4qOHS8>

<https://medium.com/@jimesilverman/how-democrats-can-win-in-nj-04-c07d09804fef>

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:12:12 PM
Attachments: [APP-Keady.docx](#)

Interesting, Nick. From my point of view, he is a guy running a business and engaging with schools and their athletic programs. I would be will to bet heavily that, if Welle loses, he will go back to one of his Virginia homes and his wife will work in the Deloitte office in DC. If he wins, she'll also go work there and he'll become, like Smith, an absentee "representative". And, for sure, if they have kids down there, Welle will rarely, if ever, come back here. He will be a younger Chris Smith.

We need someone who can win. What Jim has not done for the party may mean something, maybe. But, he is the best option to go after Smith. And, should Keady win, he'll do more for Democrats in this area to way more than make up for whatever it is the Democratic leadership is pissed about.

I do not wish to put you in an awkward or inappropriate position. But, I do think, when you think about who will get the unmotivated democratic voters engaged, Jim is the only one who can do it.

Welle wants to gather up Republicans that aren't happy. But, that number of people is likely far less than the number of Democrats that just need to get psyched about the candidate and the real chance for them to realize real change.

I'm submitting a letter to the Asbury Park Press. that I'm also attaching to this letter. I'd be anxious to hear your thoughts.

Thanks for your insights. You have deep roots in the local Democratic party. I'm just digging in now.

Best!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 5:02 PM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!

Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:18:04 PM

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!
Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

| Jim

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#); [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); [James Mowczan](#)
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules
Date: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:14:59 AM
Attachments: [New Vote by Mail rules.pdf](#)

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 10:13:12 AM
To: Jim Chenitz; [xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxx](#); James Mowczan
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

For your information on the new rules for Vote By Mail. Hope you arrived safely and enjoy your vacation. Please forward this to anyone who might be interested in this information.

Jim Mowczan, please send a email

Blast out to our membership as this can have an effect on them if they have voted Vote By Mail in the last general election.

Thanks,
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2018 7:12:44 AM
To: James Mowczan; Jim Chenitz
Subject: Fwd: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rick Cuttrell
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2018 2:07:42 PM
To: Nicholas Williams; Carol Rizzo; Robert Lane; Kevin McMillan; Michael Brantley
Cc: Vito Gadaleta; Michael Bascom; Gene J. Anthony Esq.
Subject: New law affecting Vote by Mail rules

Please see attached press release from the Monmouth County Clerk and pay particular attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4. All voters in NJ who voted by mail in the 2016 General Election will be sent a Mail-In ballot for the 2018 General Election *whether they request one or not*. A voter who receives a Mail-In ballot cannot vote in the voting machine on Election Day.

The County Clerk will send a letter to every 2016 Mail-In voter to alert them of this important

change before the 2018 Mail-In ballot is sent.

If any of these voters do not want to receive a 2018 Mail-In ballot, they must notify the County Clerk *in writing*.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Fundraiser is NOT cancelled
Date: Sunday, March 24, 2019 7:18:26 AM

Dear Folks--

As many of you also did, I just received an email stating that the Houghtaling/Downey fundraiser scheduled for April 1st is cancelled. IT IS ABSOLUTELY STILL ON.

I have no idea who sent the email (though I do have a suspicion) but you can tell from it being sent that there are people who do NOT want these two progressive thinkers to be back in the NJ Assembly.

NOW, the fight to help Eric and Joann is fully ON. PLEASE, PLEASE SHOW UP ON APRIL 1 AND SHOW YOUR SUPPORT.

Donations are obviously hoped for and needed (especially in light of this frontal attack!!) Give whatever you are comfortable giving.

Invite like-minded people to come, ask questions, sip wine, eat a bit, and throw support to this campaign.

Just so you'll have a better understanding about our Democratic Assembly People (Houghtaling & Downey), I've compiled an summary (incomplete) of some of their major bills brought to the Assembly. Read that below.

Remember: THE FUNDRAISER IS STILL ON!!!! YOUR PRESENCE IS NEEDED.
LOCATION:
HOME OF SUSAN & JIM CHENITZ
632 S. Riverside Dr.
Neptune, NJ 07753

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Fundraiser is NOT CANCELLED
Date: Sunday, March 24, 2019 7:26:22 AM

Support our very effective Assembly People: Eric Houghtaling and Joann Downey

April 1, 2019 at our home, Susan & I will be hosting a fundraiser for Eric and Joann. IT HAS NOT BEEN CANCELLED!!!

We sincerely hope you will drop by to both show your personal support for these candidates as well as to leave as generous a donation to their campaign as you possibly can.

A reasonable question is: Why should you support these two candidates? There are multiple reasons why, most of which are their individual and combined effectiveness in proposing and getting enacted important, progressive, legislation for New Jersey and for Monmouth County.

Together, Eric and Joann introduced the NJ bill that Authorizes Sports Betting for adults over 21. This bill was signed into law.

They also got signed into law a bill giving Monmouth County more tools for sharing services. In other words, in an effort to ease the burden on taxpayers, because of this bill municipalities can combine resources. This will allow Monmouth and Atlantic counties to enter into shared services agreements for the services of tenured local employees and provide for the dismissal of any tenured local employees who are not selected to be service providers under the shared service agreement. Stated somewhat differently, their bill will ease the tax burden on tax payers in both counties.

Eric and Joann have introduced in the Assembly a bill to revise the regulatory structure for New Jersey's medical cannabis program, shifting the primary administration from the Department of Health (DOH) to the Cannabis Regulatory Commission. Their goal is to increase access to and expand licensure for medical cannabis.

They had signed into law a bill introduced by to enhance school security as well as a bill to protect seniors that reside in continuing care retirement communities. They also introduced a bill to revise several requirements for collecting outstanding debts for health care services, including delaying when debts may be referred to collection agencies, requiring the option of income-based repayment plans, deferrals on repayment in the event of permanent disability and for the discharge of the debt in the event of permanent total disability or death. This bill will help families.

But wait...there's more.

Not all that they've done has been joint authoring of bills. Individually, both Eric and Joann have introduced numerous bills.

Eric sponsored the Making Medicaid Simpler and Fairer bill which calls for the creation of a Medicaid Task Force to study the Medicaid application process. Another of his bills is the Funding Critical Manufacturing Training bill which will make a General Fund supplemental appropriation of \$250,000 for funding the N.J. Manufacturing Extension Program, Inc. In addition, the bill also makes NJMEP eligible to receive an additional \$250,000 in federal matching funds. And, one more example of Eric's bills: the Working Family Health Security Fund which, importantly, would provide monetary assistance to pay for continuation of an employee's health insurance coverage under COBRA in situations where an individual would otherwise be stripped of his/her health insurance due to a labor dispute.

Looking at some of Joann's bills, she introduced one that will gain greater workplace fairness,

thus helping woman and all workers in an effort to combat the wage gap. This bill restricts employers from using an applicant's salary history as part of the applicant screening process. A new employer has no need to assess an applicant by what she was earning before.

Joann also introduced a bill that will keep sexual predators out of schools. This bill requires all schools to review the employment history of prospective employees in an effort to discover prior allegations of child abuse or sexual misconduct with children.

In addition to other bills, Joann also introduced to the Assembly a bill that will strengthen New Jersey's Workers Compensation laws. I worked an entire professional career evaluating patients injured in accidents. There is little doubt that this law will better protect the injured worker in an era when industry is being given every advantage over their workers. This is a visionary bill!!!

Come support these two remarkable candidates at our home on April 1st as they begin their campaign for reelection. They have tirelessly worked on behalf on Monmouth County residents and the people of New Jersey.

At 7PM we'll kick off an evening to meet these candidates with time for you ask them your questions, show them your engagement in their campaign, and make a donation to the campaign.

The gathering (there will be food and drink!!!) will be at 632 S. Riverside Dr., Neptune, NJ.

We look forward to you being with us!!!

Susan & Jim Chenitz

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: Re: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:26:20 PM
Attachments: [Image-3.png](#)



YOU'RE INVITED TO THE MONMOUTH COUNTY DEMOCRATS'

6TH ANNUAL IRISH-AMERICAN DINNER

HONORING LOCAL IRISH-AMERICAN DEMOCRATIC LEADERS

AVON-BY-THE-SEA CHAIRWOMAN PATRICIA SHEEHAN	NEPTUNE TOWNSHIP MAYOR NICHOLAS WILLIAMS
KEYPORT COUNCILMAN JOE SHERIDAN	ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS CHAIRWOMAN REGINA HAWLEY-KEELEN
LAKE COMO COUNCILMAN KEVIN HIGGINS	WALL TOWNSHIP COUNTY COMMITTEEWOMAN MARY GRACE NEUHAUS

WITH SPECIAL GUEST

ASSEMBLY SPEAKER CRAIG COUGHLIN

LIVE IRISH MUSIC • TRADITIONAL
IRISH DINNER • BEER AND WINE
INCLUDED FROM 6:30-7:30 PM

.....

6:30 - 8:30 PM ON
MONDAY, MARCH 5TH

ST. STEPHEN'S GREEN PUBLIC HOUSE
2031 NJ-71, SPRING LAKE, NJ 07762

GENERAL ADMISSION: \$55
CHAIRMAN'S CLUB: \$25

RSVP TO EVENTS@MONMOUTHDEMS.ORG

.....

AD JOURNAL	SPONSORSHIPS
1/2 Page: \$100	Shamrock Sponsor \$300
Full Page: \$150	Lucky Clover Sponsor: \$500
Cover Page: \$250	Crystal Sponsor: \$1,000
	Emerald Sponsor: \$2,500

ALL SPONSORSHIPS INCLUDE LARGE SIGNAGE AT EVENTS, SEVERAL
TICKETS, AND RECOGNITION AT THE MIC

PAID FOR BY & CHECKS PAYABLE TO
MONMOUTH COUNTY DEMOCRATS
106 APPLE STREET, SUITE 200D, TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:24 PM
Subject: Re: I have Questions
To: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Wells actually answered “ none of your business” wow! Not a good way to start an election. Transparency at its worst. I really didn’t know that. I wanted to attend but we had our Club meeting and election last night.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nicholas Williams
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:20:00 PM
To: Jim Chenitz
Subject: Re: I have Questions

One thing I never done in my 40+ years in politics is to “convince someone to vote my way”. I like both candidates and you do bring up some very valid points about both candidates for sure. I like the points about Wells especially which I never thought of. Jim does own a business down in the Waretown area as you said and I was also aware of. I wouldn’t mind having either candidate on the ticket to try to beat Smith. Don’t know if you recall we always had Frank Pallone and it was so much easier. Lol
Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 7:11:45 PM
To: Nicholas Williams
Subject: Re: I have Questions

Interesting, Nick. From my point of view, he is a guy running a business and engaging with schools and their athletic programs. I would be will to bet heavily that, if Welle loses, he will go back to one of his Virginia homes and his wife will work in the Deloitte office in DC. If he wins, she'll also go work there and he'll become, like Smith, an absentee "representative". And, for sure, if they have kids down there, Welle will rarely, if ever, come back here. He will be a younger Chris Smith.

We need someone who can win. What Jim has not done for the party may mean something, maybe. But, he is the best option to go after Smith. And, should Keady win, he'll do more for Democrats in this area to way more than make up for whatever it is the Democratic leadership is pissed about.

I do not wish to put you in an awkward or inappropriate position. But, I do think, when you think about who will get the unmotivated democratic voters engaged, Jim is the only one who can do it.

Welle wants to gather up Republicans that aren't happy. But, that number of people is likely far less than the number of Democrats that just need to get psyched about the candidate and the real chance for them to realize real change.

I'm submitting a letter to the Asbury Park Press. that I'm also attaching to this letter. I'd be anxious to hear your thoughts.

Thanks for your insights. You have deep roots in the local Democratic party. I'm just digging in now.

Best!

Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 5:02 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Thanks and this will be a very interesting race to say the least. It may be the only interesting race for the ticket. I as a Executive Board member can not endorse any candidate and must remain in a neutral position but I did speak to both Wells and Keady. The only problem I have with Jim is that when he runs and does not get the line, he turns invisible until he decides to run again. He has done this for the last few runs and years go by without seeing him nor participating in the Monmouth Democrats when he is not running himself. He brings nothing to the party but resurfaces when he wants to ask for support. But he is an exciting speaker!

Nick

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 3:17:40 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: Re: I have Questions

Thanks, Nick

I don't know how you feel about it, but having listened to the debates between the 3 Dems looking to run against Smith, Welle is barely left of center and believes by not being too far to the left he'll be able to strip away some Republicans from Smith. That is not a realistic plan, in my opinion. There are so many registered Dems who do not vote. Who are not excited about elections who, if they could be motivated to come out to vote, would be enough swamp Smith. Keady has the fire, the smarts, the knowledge, and the ability with people to draw them to the polls. Keady will not get very many Republicans to vote for him. But, he has the electricity and the electability to motivate those Democrats who normally just sit out elections because, "it doesn't matter who wins." Just look at the enthusiasm Obama generated or that Bernie generated. Keady can win in November.

Welle is plain white bread and Keeling is not ready for prime time. If a Democrat can win in the 4th district it will have to be Keady.

Thanks for getting back to me!!

Jim

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 2:59 PM, Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx> wrote:

Jim Mowczan selects the 8 people from Neptune Township who usually are County Committee members. I have a vote as a member of the Monmouth County Democratic Executive Board but not as from Neptune.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>

Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:14 PM

To: Nicholas Williams

Subject: I have Questions

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: I have Questions
Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 1:39:39 PM

I am sure you have answers.

Hi Nick--

I've been a bit out of sorts after a relatively significant surgery in January. Life is coming back and I hope to become active once more in township issues.

I am trying to find out who is voting in the March 3rd Neptune vote for the county line. Do you know who they are. I gather you're one of them.

Hope this finds you well.

As always, best regards!!!

Jim

From: [Carol Rizzo](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Re: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:18:25 AM

Jim,

All our systems use sophisticated encryption and are monitored. Systems are backed up.

Ok We have an incredible IT team who are extremely aware of risks and have mitigated those risks as best as possible. But as a former Chief Technology Officer for Citigroup, AIG and Kaiser Permanente and a Chief Security Officer for a defense contractor, no one is safe from a Cyber attack. If someone wants in they will find a way.

Regards,
Carol

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 30, 2018, at 7:59 AM, Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xx> wrote:

Good morning

This article discusses how common cyber attacks are against local governments.
Has Neptune done anything substantial as of yet to prevent such an attack?

Thank you,
Jim Chenitz
632 S. Riverside Dr.

How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks <https://nyti.ms/2GhzIaq>

From: [Mike Hendricks](#)
To: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Re: Proposed Tree Ordinance
Date: Sunday, February 18, 2018 5:09:02 PM

Looks good to me. Thanks Jim.

On Feb 18, 2018 12:59 PM, "Jim Chenitz" <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xx> wrote:

Dear Members of the Neptune Township Committee—

This weeks Coaster Newspaper described a new proposal from the Tree Commission recommending that all property owners in town must get a permit to remove any trees from their property, regardless of the size of the lot.

I firmly support this proposal.

The overwhelming majority of homes in Neptune Township are on properties well under a 1 acre lot size. If the present law were allowed to stay in force, in theory, this town could legally be denuded of trees.

There is also a unique circumstance in town, i.e., the coastal bluffs along the Shark River. If trees are removed from these bluffs erosion would increase thereby increasing silting into the river and destabilizing the sides of the bluffs. Homeowners on these coastal bluff areas are not allowed to remove any trees at all without the express permission of the DEP. This area comes under CAFRA laws

There are homeowners who will maintain that it is their right to do as they wish with their property. But, that is clearly not a realistic position. Existing laws already govern how private owners maintain their properties. Homes with sidewalks must clear snow within a specified period of time. Loud noise is not permissible. Storing junk in yards is regulated. There are rules about how a property is maintained. These rules enable people living in densely populated areas to function nicely together.

The trees in our community are old, stately, and a natural resource that must be more carefully preserved. They help with air quality. They provide a canopy that cools in the summer months. And, of course, they provide habitat for migrating birds of the Atlantic Flyway..

I hope you will embrace fully the recommendations of the tree commission as well as the importance of not allowing tree removal from our coastal bluffs.

Respectfully,

James E. Chenitz

632 S. Riverside Dr.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Cc: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#)
Subject: RE: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:53:47 AM

Hi Carol & Vito-
Thank you for your prompt and reassuring comments.
Have a wonderful Easter!
Jim

On Mar 30, 2018 8:32 AM, "Vito Gadaleta" <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)> wrote:

Jim,

I cannot add anything to what Carol has presented, other than to say we have a dedicated IT team and our insurance company has rated our protections as very high.

Vito

From: Carol Rizzo
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 8:18 AM
To: Jim Chenitz <[xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx](#)>
Cc: Nicholas Williams <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)>; Vito Gadaleta <[xxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx](#)>
Subject: Re: NYTimes: How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks

Jim,

All our systems use sophisticated encryption and are monitored. Systems are backed up.

Ok We have an incredible IT team who are extremely aware of risks and have mitigated those risks as best as possible. But as a former Chief Technology Officer for Citigroup, AIG and Kaiser Permanente and a Chief Security Officer for a defense contractor, no one is safe from a Cyber attack. If someone wants in they will find a way.

Regards,

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 30, 2018, at 7:59 AM, Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxxx@xxxxx.xx> wrote:

Good morning

This article discusses how common cyber attacks are against local governments. Has Neptune done anything substantial as of yet to prevent such an attack?

Thank you,

Jim Chenitz

632 S. Riverside Dr.

How Local Governments Can Prevent Cyberattacks <https://nyti.ms/2GhzIaq>

From: [Susan Gloor Chenitz](#)
To: [Kevin McMillan](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Dr. Michael Brantley](#); [Rick Cuttrell](#)
Subject: Fwd: The 5G Health Hazard That Isn't
Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2019 9:54:35 AM

The facts show that 5G, non-ionizing radiation, is not harmful.

Thank you.

Susan Chenitz

The 5G Health Hazard That Isn't

<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/07/16/science/5g-cellphones-wireless-cancer.html>

Sent from Pocket.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Nicholas Williams](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Robert Lane](#)
Cc: [Rick Cuttrell](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#)
Subject: Proposed Tree Ordinance
Date: Sunday, February 18, 2018 12:59:47 PM

Dear Members of the Neptune Township Committee—

This weeks Coaster Newspaper described a new proposal from the Tree Commission recommending that all property owners in town must get a permit to remove any trees from their property, regardless of the size of the lot.

I firmly support this proposal.

The overwhelming majority of homes in Neptune Township are on properties well under a 1 acre lot size. If the present law were allowed to stay in force, in theory, this town could legally be denuded of trees.

There is also a unique circumstance in town, i.e., the coastal bluffs along the Shark River. If trees are removed from these bluffs erosion would increase thereby increasing silting into the river and destabilizing the sides of the bluffs. Homeowners on these coastal bluff areas are not allowed to remove any trees at all without the express permission of the DEP. This area comes under CAFRA laws

There are homeowners who will maintain that it is their right to do as they wish with their property. But, that is clearly not a realistic position. Existing laws already govern how private owners maintain their properties. Homes with sidewalks must clear snow within a specified period of time. Loud noise is not permissible. Storing junk in yards is regulated. There are rules about how a property is maintained. These rules enable people living in densely populated areas to function nicely together.

The trees in our community are old, stately, and a natural resource that must be more carefully preserved. They help with air quality. They provide a canopy that cools in the summer months. And, of course, they provide habitat for migrating birds of the Atlantic Flyway..

I hope you will embrace fully the recommendations of the tree commission as well as the importance of not allowing tree removal from our coastal bluffs.

Respectfully,

James E. Chenitz

632 S. Riverside Dr.

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
Subject: April 18th--Save the Date--
Date: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 11:17:33 AM

Hi Everyone--

As of now we do not know who will get the County Line for Congress--CD4--But, by the time of this event, that information will be known!

For now, we know one thing with certainty: We do not want Chris Smith win another term as our "representative". Thus, this concert to help raise funds for the candidate who gets the party line and has the greatest chance of winning against Smith in November.

We're holding a concert in Lincroft at the Unitarian Universalist Congregation of Monmouth County (<http://www.uucmc.org/>). This concert will feature Grover Kemble (vocals/guitar) with Jerry Vezza (piano), with Hal Slapin (bass) and John Vourtsis (drums). You should plan for a wonderful evening of jazz and blues!!!

Tickets will be \$65 per person. We'll let you know when tickets become available. For now, save the date!

Here are some links to Grover's music:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9fhpQIm9GFU>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IhOYg1Ff1Jk>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UdDMRhMPbnc>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cGcH-3e74zI>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gIqBIdS-zUE>

SAVE THE DATE. And, to paraphrase, "If you know somebody, tell somebody!!"

Grove has played with some of the greats, including John Pizzarelli.

Best!!
Jim

--

"A people that values its privileges above its principles soon loses both." *Dwight D. Eisenhower*

"Change will not come if we wait for some other person or some other time. We are the ones we've been waiting for. We are the change that we seek." *Barack Obama*

From: [Nicholas Williams](#)
To: [Jim Mowczan](#)
Subject: Fwd: Vote to Extend Vito's contract
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 7:55:38 PM

Get [Outlook](#) for iOS

From: Jim Chenitz <xxx.xxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2020 11:39:12 AM
To: Robert Lane <xxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Cc: Michael Brantley <xxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>; Kevin McMillan <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>; Carol Rizzo <xxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>; Nicholas Williams <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject: Vote to Extend Vito's contract

Hello Mayor Lane and Township Committee members—

I am writing to express the obvious: Neptune, like every other community across the nation, will face significant budgetary adversities due to Covid-19. I cannot predict exactly what they will be but I know they are coming and will bring unique difficulties.

That is precisely why I am calling the township committee to hold the vote at the August 10th meeting to extend Vito Gadaleta's contract through the end of 2021.

I know you are each aware of Mr. Gadaleta's outstanding working relationship with the Monmouth County Board of Chosen Freeholders. That relationship significantly helps Neptune. No new Business Administrator will come to the job with such a relationship. That would present a major problem at the precise time we'll already be dealing with too many problems.

Moreover the two people being discussed to take over the job would come with significant liabilities. One has no business administrator experience; the other has demonstrated he moves from job to job with no loyalties to anyplace. Thus, either choice would bring high risks to Neptune.

On August 10th the Township Committee should vote for the resolution to extend Vito's contract.

For clarification, Mr. Gadaleta's job is neither a tenured position as is Rick Cuttrell's, Mike Bascome's, Bernie Haney's, and Bill Dolittle's. Likewise, Vito's is not a union job. In other words, the uniqueness of his position as Township Administrator precludes all excuses you may hear or believe for delaying the vote. No other changes to his contract are being sought: No change in salary. No change in benefits. No time to waste!

To settle the concerns of Neptune's citizens I am calling on you to, on August 10th, hold the vote for the resolution and cast your vote in favor of the contract extension. Do it to help Neptune at a terrible time in history.

One additional point: After the New Year, assuming Keith Cafferty wins the general election,

the makeup of the township committee will change. I am calling on each of you to refrain from calling for another vote. You are representatives of the citizens and should not act like loose cannons. We will be paying attention and we will not accept a lack of transparency.

Respectfully,

Dr. James Chenitz

632 S. Riverside Dr.

Neptune, NJ. 07753

732-741-7897

--

"Racism in America is like dust in the air. It seems invisible--even if you're choking on it--until you let the sun in. Then you see it's everywhere." Kareem Abdul-Jabbar

From: [Rick Cuttrell](#)
To: [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Robert Lane](#); [Keith Cafferty](#)
Cc: [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Gene J. Anthony Esq.](#)
Subject: FW: to mayor brantley and the neptune township committee - petition
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:57:26 PM
Attachments: [signatures-20210412 \(1\).csv](#)

From: mary jane Dodd <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxx.xxx>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:56 PM
To: Rick Cuttrell <xxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>
Subject: to mayor brantley and the neptune township committee - petition

committeepersons,

my name is mary jane dodd and i reside at 624 south riverside drive, neptune. in response to community members' grave concern about the decision to eliminate remote access to meetings and limit them to in-person attendance, i have created a petition that you can find here: <https://sign.moveon.org/petitions/make-remote-access-to-public-meetings-permanent>

there have been 113 signers. i am providing a list of their names.

we wish to have remote access restored on a permanent basis for reasons of the pandemic and transparent governance. we believe the hybrid style of meetings should be reinstated in neptune.

respectfully,

mary jane dodd

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Robert Lane](#)
Cc: [Michael Brantley](#); [Kevin McMillan](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Nicholas Williams](#)
Subject: Vote to Extend Vito's contract
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 11:39:30 AM

Hello Mayor Lane and Township Committee members—

I am writing to express the obvious: Neptune, like every other community across the nation, will face significant budgetary adversities due to Covid-19. I cannot predict exactly what they will be but I know they are coming and will bring unique difficulties.

That is precisely why I am calling the township committee to hold the vote at the August 10th meeting to extend Vito Gadaleta's contract through the end of 2021.

I know you are each aware of Mr. Gadaleta's outstanding working relationship with the Monmouth County Board of Chosen Freeholders. That relationship significantly helps Neptune. No new Business Administrator will come to the job with such a relationship. That would present a major problem at the precise time we'll already be dealing with too many problems.

Moreover the two people being discussed to take over the job would come with significant liabilities. One has no business administrator experience; the other has demonstrated he moves from job to job with no loyalties to anyplace. Thus, either choice would bring high risks to Neptune.

On August 10th the Township Committee should vote for the resolution to extend Vito's contract.

For clarification, Mr. Gadaleta's job is neither a tenured position as is Rick Cuttrell's, Mike Bascome's, Bernie Haney's, and Bill Dolittle's. Likewise, Vito's is not a union job. In other words, the uniqueness of his position as Township Administrator precludes all excuses you may hear or believe for delaying the vote. No other changes to his contract are being sought: No change in salary. No change in benefits. No time to waste!

To settle the concerns of Neptune's citizens I am calling on you to, on August 10th, hold the vote for the resolution and cast your vote in favor of the contract extension. Do it to help Neptune at a terrible time in history.

One additional point: After the New Year, assuming Keith Cafferty wins the general election, the makeup of the township committee will change. I am calling on each of you to refrain from calling for another vote. You are representatives of the citizens and should not act like loose cannons. We will be paying attention and we will not accept a lack of transparency.

Respectfully,

Dr. James Chenitz

632 S. Riverside Dr.

Neptune, NJ. 07753

732-741-7897

--

"Racism in America is like dust in the air. It seems invisible--even if you're choking on it--until you let the sun in. Then you see it's everywhere." Kareem Abdul-Jabbar

From: [Jim Chenitz](#)
To: [Robert Lane](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Kevin McMillan](#)
Subject: Tree Ordinance
Date: Monday, September 28, 2020 6:08:55 AM

Dear Members of the Neptune Township Committee-

I am writing to urge you to support the new recommendations as put forth by the Environmental and Shade Tree Commission.

As you are aware, the commission has recommended removal of the property size stipulation thus now requiring all Neptune properties of any size to get a permit prior to removal of any trees. The same commission recommendation has also removed the present 10 tree criteria, thus meaning the removal of even one tree would require the property owner to first get a permit.

I understand this bill could still be improved upon if the Township had a conservation officer who would personally inspect each tree the property owner wants to remove. The conservation office would determine if there is a justifiable rationale for the trees removal. Such an employee could be shared with other regional communities to reduce the financial impact.

Furthermore, the Township should establish a sizable fine for removal of any tree(s) without the proper filing and receipt of a permit.

For now, however, the current recommendations of the Environmental and Shade Tree Commission will function as an important improvement that will cause residents to think before cutting.

Your vote in favor of this new Tree Ordinance will be sincerely appreciated!

Respectfully,
Dr. Jim Chenitz
632 S. Riverside Dr.

--

"It doesn't matter how exhausted we are or how difficult the odds. In this hell-spawned year, we can either give up, or give everything we can to stop some of America's worst men from blotting out the legacy of one of our very best women." *Michelle Goldberg on death of Ruth Bader Ginsberg 9/19/2020*

From: [Kim Formichella](#)
To: [Robert Lane](#); [Carol Rizzo](#); [Michael Brantley](#); [Nicholas Williams](#); [Vito Gadaleta](#); [Keith Cafferty](#); [Kevin McMillan](#)
Cc: [Kim Formichella](#)
Subject: S. Riverside Dr. Living Shoreline
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2020 7:22:03 AM
Attachments: [Shark River Action final outcome Thank you to committee.docx](#)
[Petition to save SRH 2017.pdf](#)

Dear Mayor Lane, Committee Members and Mr. Gadaleta,

I hope this email finds you all staying well!

I am writing to beg and plead once more to tread lightly, one step at a time, when fixing the supposed flood issue along S. Riverside Dr. The claim that the roadway from Dykeman Pl to the Yacht Club floods 3-4 per month is untrue. If anything should be fixed it would be the drains or the area of S. Riverside and Prospect.

Please listen to the residents that live here, the people who pay taxes to live here, the people who bought homes to live here because of the natural beauty of this area.

Please do not disturb the natural habitat for the horseshoe crabs and other creatures that live in our beautiful river. Please do not tear out and destroy the natural vegetation that is home to many birds, rabbits, turtles, frogs and such.

Please, please, please leave this area alone.

I have attached a Petition from 2017 when this project came to light. It shows 168 people who oppose this project. I've also attached a letter thanking the committee for listening to the residents and including us in the project. Please continue to work with us, the people that live here. And please, please do not insert a man made geotube or concrete mattress into this beautiful river.

I hope you have a Happy Thanksgiving!

Sincerely,

Kim Formichella