



61 SOUTH PARAMUS ROAD, SUITE 250
PARAMUS, NEW JERSEY 07652

NEW JERSEY
NEW YORK

TELEPHONE: (201) 928-1100
TELEFAX: (201) 928-0588
WWW.DECOTIISLAW.COM

ARLENE Q. PEREZ, ESQ.
APEREZ@DECOTIISLAW.COM
201.347.2114

February 8, 2021

Via Email (opra+request-20084-e830ac57@requests.opramachine.com)

Mr. Radwan

Re: OPRA Request Dated February 4, 2021

Dear Mr. Radwan:

Please be advised that this Firm represents the Carteret Board of Education (“Board”). We are in receipt of your February 4, 2021 Open Public Records Act (“OPRA”) request addressed to the Carteret School District seeking “the email logs for Superintendent Diaz from 10/1/2020 to 10/13/2020.”

Pursuant to the New Jersey Open Public Records Act (“OPRA”), its accompanying regulations, and guidance from the Government Records Council (“GRC”), your request is denied for the following reasons. OPRA provides that government records made, maintained, kept on file, or received by a public agency in the course of its official business are subject to public access unless otherwise exempt. See N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1. A custodian of record must release all records responsive to an OPRA request “with certain exceptions.” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1. OPRA places the burden on a custodian of record to prove that a denial of records is lawful pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.

An OPRA requestor must describe the records sought “with the requisite specificity,” see Burke v. Brandes, 429 N.J. Super. 169, 177 (App. Div. 2012) and may not submit a “broad, generic description of documents,” see Bart v. Passaic County Public Housing Agency, 406 N.J. Super. 445, 451 (App. Div. 2009). Further, case law is clear that “the custodian is not required to research [his or her] files to figure out which records, if any, might be responsive to a broad or unclear OPRA request.” Burnett v. City of Gloucester, 415 N.J. Super. 506, 515 (App. Div. 2010), quoting Donato v. Township of Union, GRC 2005-182, interim order (January 31, 2007) (emphasis added).

Prior OPRA requests for e-mail production similar to the instant request here have been addressed by the GRC. See Elcavage v. West Milford, GRC 2009-07, final decision (April 13, 2010). Upon review, your request is overbroad and as such is denied. Upon receipt of a narrowly-tailored request, the Board will cooperatively produce any non-privileged records responsive thereto.

Sincerely,
DeCotiis, FitzPatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP

/s/ Arlene Quinones Perez
Arlene Quiñones Perez, Esq.

cc: Rosa Diaz, Superintendent of Schools (*via e-mail*)
Ellen Skiba, Secretary to Business Administrator/Board Secretary (*via e-mail*)