

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/19/2017 8:41:11 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey <Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu>; Hood, Carra <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Gregg, Robert <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Cc: Davenport, Susan <Susan.Davenport@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report



Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu

From: Kesselman, Harvey
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 6:15 PM
To: McDonald, Michelle; Hood, Carra; Vermeulen, Lori; Gregg, Robert
Cc: Davenport, Susan
Subject: RE: Stockton MLK Report



Harvey Kesselman
President
Stockton University

-----Original Message-----

From: McDonald, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 2:26 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey ; Hood, Carra ; Vermeulen, Lori ; Gregg, Robert
(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was
>academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much
>money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?
>
>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to
>establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing
>his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.
>
>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the
>back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper
>account
>- was away in the army for two years.
>
>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had
>to be able to 'go anywhere.'²
>
>The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to
>mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place
>only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than
>depreciating, as you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'²
>
>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but
>neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal
>entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his
>ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only
>belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and
>should be continued and properly conducted with interviews of
>historians as it should have been.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid
>him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>
>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of
>a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and
>still can do.
>
>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey
>please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to
>the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting
>non-violent protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/19/2017 8:41:11 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey <Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu>; Hood, Carra <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Gregg, Robert <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Cc: Davenport, Susan <Susan.Davenport@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report



Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu

From: Kesselman, Harvey
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 6:15 PM
To: McDonald, Michelle; Hood, Carra; Vermeulen, Lori; Gregg, Robert
Cc: Davenport, Susan
Subject: RE: Stockton MLK Report



Harvey Kesselman
President
Stockton University

-----Original Message-----
From: McDonald, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 2:26 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey; Hood, Carra; Vermeulen, Lori; Gregg, Robert
(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was
>academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much
>money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

>

>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to
>establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing
>his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

>

>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the
>back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper
>account

>- was away in the army for two years.

>

>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had
>to be able to 'go anywhere.'

>

>The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to
>mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place
>only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than
>depreciating, as you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'

>

>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but
>neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal
>entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his
>ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only
>belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and
>should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral
>histories as it should have been.

>

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid
>him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>
>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of
>a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and
>still can do.
>
>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey
>please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to
>the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting
>non-violent protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/19/2017 8:56:53 PM
To: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>; Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Cc: Kesselman, Harvey <Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu>; Hood, Carra <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Gregg, Robert <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

Dear Patrick:

As I've already emailed to you on a previous occasion, I've been instructed to direct you to Kate Marcopul at the NJDEP for all further inquiries about this project. She can be reached at:

Kate Marcopul
609-984-0176
Kate.marcopul@dep.nj.gov

Best,
Michelle

Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu



From: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 3:10 PM
To: Bill Kelly
Cc: Kesselman, Harvey; McDonald, Michelle; Hood, Carra; Vermeulen, Lori; Gregg, Robert
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

Not to mention the study failed to examine criteria A, which my application was also filed under, which is attachment to a significant event. Why is it that only criteria B was examined when my application was also included criteria A?

With the new evidence from the interview with Jesthroe Hunt and Thelma Lowery it clearly shows that King did not just happen by Mary's Cafe by accident, he planned it and did so at 753 Walnut.

Patrick Duff

On Dec 19, 2017 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" <billkelly3@gmail.com> (OPRA) DUFF-010920-1(A)
I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend

so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

William Kelly

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/19/2017 8:56:53 PM
To: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>; Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Cc: Kesselman, Harvey <Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu>; Hood, Carra <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Gregg, Robert <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report


Dear Patrick:

As I've already emailed to you on a previous occasion, I've been instructed to direct you to Kate Marcopul at the NJDEP for all further inquiries about this project. She can be reached at:

Kate Marcopul
609-984-0176
Kate.marcopul@dep.nj.gov

Best,
Michelle

Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu



From: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 3:10 PM
To: Bill Kelly
Cc: Kesselman, Harvey; McDonald, Michelle; Hood, Carra; Vermeulen, Lori; Gregg, Robert
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

Not to mention the study failed to examine criteria A, which my application was also filed under, which is attachment to a significant event. Why is it that only criteria B was examined when my application was also included criteria A?

With the new evidence from the interview with Jesthroe Hunt and Thelma Lowery it clearly shows that King did not just happen by Mary's Cafe by accident, he planned it and did so at 753 Walnut.

Patrick Duff

On Dec 19, 2017 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" <billkelly3@gmail.com> (OPRA) DUFF-010920-1(A)
I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend

so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

William Kelly

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/19/2017 2:26:07 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey <Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu>; Hood, Carra <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Gregg, Robert <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically
>impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much money and not
>conduct any original interviews - except one?
>
>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish
>MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753
>Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.
>
>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back
>bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account
>- was away in the army for two years.
>
>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to
>be able to 'go anywhere.'²
>
>The idea that because most of the books and bios of (OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
>his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Pl 37a only

>makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as
>you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'²
>
>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected
>period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement
>and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is
>extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle
>yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be
>continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it
>should have been.
>
>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him
>for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>
>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of a
>new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and still
>can do.
>
>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please
>try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the
>Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent
>protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: Patrick Duff <10ietm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 3:10:43 PM
To: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Cc: Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu;McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>;Carra Hood <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>;Lori Vermeulen <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>;Robert Gregg <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

Not to mention the study failed to examine criteria A, which my application was also filed under, which is attachment to a significant event. Why is it that only criteria B was examined when my application was also included criteria A?

With the new evidence from the interview with Jethroe Hunt and Thelma Lowery it clearly shows that King did not just happen by Mary's Cafe by accident, he planned it and did so at 753 Walnut.

Patrick Duff

On Dec 19, 2017 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" <billkelly3@gmail.com> wrote:

I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the ~~bone~~ ³⁷⁶ of a new, revised community, but not because of anything

you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

William Kelly

From: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 3:10:43 PM
To: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Cc: Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu;McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>;Carra Hood <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>;Lori Vermeulen <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>;Robert Gregg <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

Not to mention the study failed to examine criteria A, which my application was also filed under, which is attachment to a significant event. Why is it that only criteria B was examined when my application was also included criteria A?

With the new evidence from the interview with Jethroe Hunt and Thelma Lowery it clearly shows that King did not just happen by Mary's Cafe by accident, he planned it and did so at 753 Walnut.

Patrick Duff

On Dec 19, 2017 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" <billkelly3@gmail.com> wrote:

I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the b378 bone of a new, revised community, but not because of anything

you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

William Kelly

From: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 2:15:50 PM
To: Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu; Michelle McDonald <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>; Carra Hood <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Lori Vermeulen <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Robert Gregg <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Cc: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Subject: Stockton MLK Report

Attachments: [ArticleOne.pdf](#), [attachment.txt](#)

I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

From: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 2:15:50 PM
To: Harvey.Kesselman@stockton.edu; Michelle McDonald <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>; Carra Hood <Carra.Hood@stockton.edu>; Lori Vermeulen <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>; Robert Gregg <Robert.Gregg@stockton.edu>
Cc: Bill Kelly <billkelly3@gmail.com>
Subject: Stockton MLK Report

Attachments: [ArticleOne.pdf](#), [attachment.txt](#)

I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?

As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.

The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper account - was away in the army for two years.

His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had to be able to "go anywhere."

The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than depreciating, as you make it seem as "the best possibility."

Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral histories as it should have been.

This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.

The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and still can do.

In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting non-violent protests as a means of social change.

Now that would be significant.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

From: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 9:34:31 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>; Paul W. Schopp <pwschopp@comcast.net>; O'Hara, John <John.O'Hara@stockton.edu>; Bob.craig@dep.nj.gov; bob.martin@dep.nj.gov; Andrea Tingey <Andrea.Tingey@dep.nj.gov>
Cc: Trethan, Phaedra <PTrethan@gannettnj.com>
Subject: The story of what happened at 753 Walnut and Maple Shade!

Here is the words of the late Jethroe Hunt speaking about what happened in Maple Shade. This confirms what Thelma said and shows that the idea of heading to Maple Shade was born at 753 Walnut Street.

I think this changes your study quite a bit....

Thanks,
Patrick Duff

 ArticleOne.pdf

 ArticleTwo.pdf

From: Patrick Duff <l01etm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/19/2017 9:34:31 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>; Paul W. Schopp <pwschopp@comcast.net>; O'Hara, John <John.O'Hara@stockton.edu>; Bob.craig@dep.nj.gov; bob.martin@dep.nj.gov; Andrea Tingey <Andrea.Tingey@dep.nj.gov>
Cc: Trethan, Phaedra <PTrethan@gannettnj.com>
Subject: The story of what happened at 753 Walnut and Maple Shade!

Here is the words of the late Jethroe Hunt speaking about what happened in Maple Shade. This confirms what Thelma said and shows that the idea of heading to Maple Shade was born at 753 Walnut Street.

I think this changes your study quite a bit...

Thanks,
Patrick Duff

 ArticleOne.pdf

 ArticleTwo.pdf

From: Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/20/2017 6:52:37 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Subject: FW: Stockton MLK Report



Lori Vermeulen, Ph.D.
Provost and Vice-President for Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205

Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu
Phone: 609-652-4515
Fax: 609-626-5509

On 12/19/17, 6:15 PM, "Kesselman, Harvey" wrote:



Harvey Kesselman
President
Stockton University

-----Original Message-----
From: McDonald, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 2:26 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey ; Hood, Carra ; Vermeulen, Lori ; Gregg, Robert
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report



On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was
>academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much
>money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?
>

>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to
>establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing
>his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.
>

>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the
>back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper
>account

>- was away in the army for two years.
>

>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had
>to be able to 'go anywhere.'²
>

>The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to
>mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place
>only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than
>depreciating, as you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'²
>

>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but
>neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal
>entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his
>ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only
>belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and
>should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral
>histories as it should have been.
>

>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid
>him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>

>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of
>a new, revised community, but not because of anything.
>still can do.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey
>please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to
>the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting
>non-violent protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/20/2017 7:05:31 AM
To: Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report



Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu

From: Vermeulen, Lori
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 6:52 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle
Subject: FW: Stockton MLK Report



Lori Vermeulen, Ph.D.
Provost and Vice-President for Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205

Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu
Phone: 609-652-4515
Fax: 609-626-5509

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
389

Harvey Kesselman
President
Stockton University

-----Original Message-----
From: McDonald, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 2:26 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey ; Hood, Carra ; Vermeulen, Lori ; Gregg, Robert
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was
>academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much
>money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?
>
>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to
>establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing
>his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.
>
>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the
>back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper
>account
>- was away in the army for two years.
>
>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Male Shades and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had
>to be able to go anywhere.²

(OPRA) b6 b7C b7D b7E b7F b7G b7H b7I b7J b7K b7L b7M b7N b7O b7P b7Q b7R b7S b7T b7U b7V b7W b7X b7Y b7Z b7AA b7AB b7AC b7AD b7AE b7AF b7AG b7AH b7AI b7AJ b7AK b7AL b7AM b7AN b7AO b7AP b7AQ b7AR b7AS b7AT b7AU b7AV b7AW b7AX b7AY b7AZ b7BA b7BB b7BC b7BD b7BE b7BF b7BG b7BH b7BI b7BJ b7BK b7BL b7BM b7BN b7BO b7BP b7BQ b7BR b7BS b7BT b7BU b7BV b7BW b7BX b7BY b7BZ b7CA b7CB b7CC b7CD b7CE b7CF b7CG b7CH b7CI b7CJ b7CK b7CL b7CM b7CN b7CO b7CP b7CQ b7CR b7CS b7CT b7CU b7CV b7CW b7CX b7CY b7CZ b7DA b7DB b7DC b7DD b7DE b7DF b7DG b7DH b7DI b7DJ b7DK b7DL b7DM b7DN b7DO b7DP b7DQ b7DR b7DS b7DT b7DU b7DV b7DW b7DX b7DY b7DZ b7EA b7EB b7EC b7ED b7EE b7EF b7EG b7EH b7EI b7EJ b7EK b7EL b7EM b7EN b7EO b7EP b7EQ b7ER b7ES b7ET b7EU b7EV b7EW b7EX b7EY b7EZ b7FA b7FB b7FC b7FD b7FE b7FF b7FG b7FH b7FI b7FJ b7FK b7FL b7FM b7FN b7FO b7FP b7FQ b7FR b7FS b7FT b7FU b7FV b7FW b7FX b7FY b7FZ b7GA b7GB b7GC b7GD b7GE b7GF b7GG b7GH b7GI b7GJ b7GK b7GL b7GM b7GN b7GO b7GP b7GQ b7GR b7GS b7GT b7GU b7GV b7GW b7GX b7GY b7GZ b7HA b7HB b7HC b7HD b7HE b7HF b7HG b7HH b7HI b7HJ b7HK b7HL b7HM b7HN b7HO b7HP b7HQ b7HR b7HS b7HT b7HU b7HV b7HW b7HX b7HY b7HZ b7IA b7IB b7IC b7ID b7IE b7IF b7IG b7IH b7II b7IJ b7IK b7IL b7IM b7IN b7IO b7IP b7IQ b7IR b7IS b7IT b7IU b7IV b7IW b7IX b7IY b7IZ b7JA b7JB b7JC b7JD b7JE b7JF b7JG b7JH b7JI b7JJ b7JK b7JL b7JM b7JN b7JO b7JP b7JQ b7JR b7JS b7JT b7JU b7JV b7JW b7JX b7JY b7JZ b7KA b7KB b7KC b7KD b7KE b7KF b7KG b7KH b7KI b7KJ b7KK b7KL b7KM b7KN b7KO b7KP b7KQ b7KR b7KS b7KT b7KU b7KV b7KW b7KX b7KY b7KZ b7LA b7LB b7LC b7LD b7LE b7LF b7LG b7LH b7LI b7LJ b7LK b7LL b7LM b7LN b7LO b7LP b7LQ b7LR b7LS b7LT b7LU b7LV b7LW b7LX b7LY b7LZ b7MA b7MB b7MC b7MD b7ME b7MF b7MG b7MH b7MI b7MJ b7MK b7ML b7MM b7MN b7MO b7MP b7MQ b7MR b7MS b7MT b7MU b7MV b7MW b7MX b7MY b7MZ b7NA b7NB b7NC b7ND b7NE b7NF b7NG b7NH b7NI b7NJ b7NK b7NL b7NM b7NO b7NP b7NQ b7NR b7NS b7NT b7NU b7NV b7NW b7NX b7NY b7NZ b7OA b7OB b7OC b7OD b7OE b7OF b7OG b7OH b7OI b7OJ b7OK b7OL b7OM b7ON b7OO b7OP b7OQ b7OR b7OS b7OT b7OU b7OV b7OW b7OX b7OY b7OZ b7PA b7PB b7PC b7PD b7PE b7PF b7PG b7PH b7PI b7PJ b7PK b7PL b7PM b7PN b7PO b7PP b7PQ b7PR b7PS b7PT b7PU b7PV b7PW b7PX b7PY b7PZ b7QA b7QB b7QC b7QD b7QE b7QF b7QG b7QH b7QI b7QJ b7QK b7QL b7QM b7QN b7QO b7QP b7QQ b7QR b7QS b7QT b7QU b7QV b7QW b7QX b7QY b7QZ b7RA b7RB b7RC b7RD b7RE b7RF b7RG b7RH b7RI b7RJ b7RK b7RL b7RM b7RN b7RO b7RP b7RQ b7RR b7RS b7RT b7RU b7RV b7RW b7RX b7RY b7RZ b7SA b7SB b7SC b7SD b7SE b7SF b7SG b7SH b7SI b7SJ b7SK b7SL b7SM b7SN b7SO b7SP b7SQ b7SR b7SS b7ST b7SU b7SV b7SW b7SX b7SY b7SZ b7TA b7TB b7TC b7TD b7TE b7TF b7TG b7TH b7TI b7TJ b7TK b7TL b7TM b7TN b7TO b7TP b7TQ b7TR b7TS b7TT b7TU b7TV b7TW b7TX b7TY b7TZ b7UA b7UB b7UC b7UD b7UE b7UF b7UG b7UH b7UI b7UJ b7UK b7UL b7UM b7UN b7UO b7UP b7UQ b7UR b7US b7UT b7UU b7UV b7UW b7UX b7UY b7UZ b7VA b7VB b7VC b7VD b7VE b7VF b7VG b7VH b7VI b7VJ b7VK b7VL b7VM b7VN b7VO b7VP b7VQ b7VR b7VS b7VT b7VU b7VV b7VW b7VX b7VY b7VZ b7WA b7WB b7WC b7WD b7WE b7WF b7WG b7WH b7WI b7WJ b7WK b7WL b7WM b7WN b7WO b7WP b7WQ b7WR b7WS b7WT b7WU b7WV b7WW b7WX b7WY b7WZ b7XA b7XB b7XC b7XD b7XE b7XF b7XG b7XH b7XI b7XJ b7XK b7XL b7XM b7XN b7XO b7XP b7XQ b7XR b7XS b7XT b7XU b7XV b7XW b7XX b7XY b7XZ b7YA b7YB b7YC b7YD b7YE b7YF b7YG b7YH b7YI b7YJ b7YK b7YL b7YM b7YN b7YO b7YP b7YQ b7YR b7YS b7YT b7YU b7YV b7YW b7YX b7YY b7YZ b7ZA b7ZB b7ZC b7ZD b7ZE b7ZF b7ZG b7ZH b7ZI b7ZJ b7ZK b7ZL b7ZM b7ZN b7ZO b7ZP b7ZQ b7ZR b7ZS b7ZT b7ZU b7ZV b7ZW b7ZX b7ZY b7ZZ b7AA b7AB b7AC b7AD b7AE b7AF b7AG b7AH b7AI b7AJ b7AK b7AL b7AM b7AN b7AO b7AP b7AQ b7AR b7AS b7AT b7AU b7AV b7AW b7AX b7AY b7AZ b7BA b7BB b7BC b7BD b7BE b7BF b7BG b7BH b7BI b7BJ b7BK b7BL b7BM b7BN b7BO b7BP b7BQ b7BR b7BS b7BT b7BU b7BV b7BW b7BX b7BY b7BZ b7CA b7CB b7CC b7CD b7CE b7CF b7CG b7CH b7CI b7CJ b7CK b7CL b7CM b7CN b7CO b7CP b7CQ b7CR b7CS b7CT b7CU b7CV b7CW b7CX b7CY b7CZ b7DA b7DB b7DC b7DD b7DE b7DF b7DG b7DH b7DI b7DJ b7DK b7DL b7DM b7DN b7DO b7DP b7DQ b7DR b7DS b7DT b7DU b7DV b7DW b7DX b7DY b7DZ b7EA b7EB b7EC b7ED b7EE b7EF b7EG b7EH b7EI b7EJ b7EK b7EL b7EM b7EN b7EO b7EP b7EQ b7ER b7ES b7ET b7EU b7EV b7EW b7EX b7EY b7EZ b7FA b7FB b7FC b7FD b7FE b7FF b7FG b7FH b7FI b7FJ b7FK b7FL b7FM b7FN b7FO b7FP b7FQ b7FR b7FS b7FT b7FU b7FV b7FW b7FX b7FY b7FZ b7GA b7GB b7GC b7GD b7GE b7GF b7GG b7GH b7GI b7GJ b7GK b7GL b7GM b7GN b7GO b7GP b7GQ b7GR b7GS b7GT b7GU b7GV b7GW b7GX b7GY b7GZ b7HA b7HB b7HC b7HD b7HE b7HF b7HG b7HH b7HI b7HJ b7HK b7HL b7HM b7HN b7HO b7HP b7HQ b7HR b7HS b7HT b7HU b7HV b7HW b7HX b7HY b7HZ b7IA b7IB b7IC b7ID b7IE b7IF b7IG b7IH b7II b7IJ b7IK b7IL b7IM b7IN b7IO b7IP b7IQ b7IR b7IS b7IT b7IU b7IV b7IW b7IX b7IY b7IZ b7JA b7JB b7JC b7JD b7JE b7JF b7JG b7JH b7JI b7JJ b7JK b7JL b7JM b7JN b7JO b7JP b7JQ b7JR b7JS b7JT b7JU b7JV b7JW b7JX b7JY b7JZ b7KA b7KB b7KC b7KD b7KE b7KF b7KG b7KH b7KI b7KJ b7KK b7KL b7KM b7KN b7KO b7KP b7KQ b7KR b7KS b7KT b7KU b7KV b7KW b7KX b7KY b7KZ b7LA b7LB b7LC b7LD b7LE b7LF b7LG b7LH b7LI b7LJ b7LK b7LL b7LM b7LN b7LO b7LP b7LQ b7LR b7LS b7LT b7LU b7LV b7LW b7LX b7LY b7LZ b7MA b7MB b7MC b7MD b7ME b7MF b7MG b7MH b7MI b7MJ b7MK b7ML b7MM b7MN b7MO b7MP b7MQ b7MR b7MS b7MT b7MU b7MV b7MW b7MX b7MY b7MZ b7NA b7NB b7NC b7ND b7NE b7NF b7NG b7NH b7NI b7NJ b7NK b7NL b7NM b7NO b7NP b7NQ b7NR b7NS b7NT b7NU b7NV b7NW b7NX b7NY b7NZ b7OA b7OB b7OC b7OD b7OE b7OF b7OG b7OH b7OI b7OJ b7OK b7OL b7OM b7ON b7OO b7OP b7OQ b7OR b7OS b7OT b7OU b7OV b7OW b7OX b7OY b7OZ b7PA b7PB b7PC b7PD b7PE b7PF b7PG b7PH b7PI b7PJ b7PK b7PL b7PM b7PN b7PO b7PP b7PQ b7PR b7PS b7PT b7PU b7PV b7PW b7PX b7PY b7PZ b7QA b7QB b7QC b7QD b7QE b7QF b7QG b7QH b7QI b7QJ b7QK b7QL b7QM b7QN b7QO b7QP b7QQ b7QR b7QS b7QT b7QU b7QV b7QW b7QX b7QY b7QZ b7RA b7RB b7RC b7RD b7RE b7RF b7RG b7RH b7RI b7RJ b7RK b7RL b7RM b7RN b7RO b7RP b7RQ b7RR b7RS b7RT b7RU b7RV b7RW b7RX b7RY b7RZ b7SA b7SB b7SC b7SD b7SE b7SF b7SG b7SH b7SI b7SJ b7SK b7SL b7SM b7SN b7SO b7SP b7SQ b7SR b7SS b7ST b7SU b7SV b7SW b7SX b7SY b7SZ b7TA b7TB b7TC b7TD b7TE b7TF b7TG b7TH b7TI b7TJ b7TK b7TL b7TM b7TN b7TO b7TP b7TQ b7TR b7TS b7TT b7TU b7TV b7TW b7TX b7TY b7TZ b7UA b7UB b7UC b7UD b7UE b7UF b7UG b7UH b7UI b7UJ b7UK b7UL b7UM b7UN b7UO b7UP b7UQ b7UR b7US b7UT b7UU b7UV b7UW b7UX b7UY b7UZ b7VA b7VB b7VC b7VD b7VE b7VF b7VG b7VH b7VI b7VJ b7VK b7VL b7VM b7VN b7VO b7VP b7VQ b7VR b7VS b7VT b7VU b7VV b7VW b7VX b7VY b7VZ b7WA b7WB b7WC b7WD b7WE b7WF b7WG b7WH b7WI b7WJ b7WK b7WL b7WM b7WN b7WO b7WP b7WQ b7WR b7WS b7WT b7WU b7WV b7WW b7WX b7WY b7WZ b7XA b7XB b7XC b7XD b7XE b7XF b7XG b7XH b7XI b7XJ b7XK b7XL b7XM b7XN b7XO b7XP b7XQ b7XR b7XS b7XT b7XU b7XV b7XW b7XX b7XY b7XZ b7YA b7YB b7YC b7YD b7YE b7YF b7YG b7YH b7YI b7YJ b7YK b7YL b7YM b7YN b7YO b7YP b7YQ b7YR b7YS b7YT b7YU b7YV b7YW b7YX b7YY b7YZ b7ZA b7ZB b7ZC b7ZD b7ZE b7ZF b7ZG b7ZH b7ZI b7ZJ b7ZK b7ZL b7ZM b7ZN b7ZO b7ZP b7ZQ b7ZR b7ZS b7ZT b7ZU b7ZV b7ZW b7ZX b7ZY b7ZZ

>
>The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to
>mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place
>only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than
>depreciating, as you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'²
>
>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but
>neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal
>entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his
>ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only
>belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and
>should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral
>histories as it should have been.
>
>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid
>him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>
>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of
>a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and
>still can do.
>
>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey
>please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to
>the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting
>non-violent protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: Vermeulen, Lori <Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/20/2017 8:06:51 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report

[REDACTED]

Lori Vermeulen, Ph.D.
Provost and Vice-President for Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205

Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu
Phone: 609-652-4515
Fax: 609-626-5509

On 12/20/17, 7:05 AM, "McDonald, Michelle" wrote:

[REDACTED]

Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu

From: Vermeulen, Lori
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 6:52 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
392

Subject: FW: Stockton MLK Report



Lori Vermeulen, Ph.D.
Provost and Vice-President for Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205

Lori.Vermeulen@stockton.edu
Phone: 609-652-4515
Fax: 609-626-5509

On 12/19/17, 6:15 PM, "Kesselman, Harvey" wrote:



Harvey Kesselman
President
Stockton University

-----Original Message-----

From: McDonald, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 2:26 PM
To: Kesselman, Harvey ; Hood, Carra ; Vermeulen, Lori ; Gregg, Robert
Subject: Re: Stockton MLK Report



Best,
Michelle

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
393

On 12/19/17, 2:15 PM, "Bill Kelly" wrote:

>I thought the Stockton study and report on MLK in Camden was
>academically impressive - yet incomplete - how could you spend so much
>money and not conduct any original interviews - except one?
>
>As an academic paper it might get an A - but the purpose was to
>establish MLK's residency in Camden - that he himself does in listing
>his 753 Walnut Street address on the legal complaint.
>
>The recollections of other residents of him being there and McCall's
>relationship with the owner solidify the fact he lived there in the
>back bedroom whose regular occupant - according to this 1998 newspaper
>account
>- was away in the army for two years.
>
>His return on the very day MLK and McCall went to Maple Shade and were
>warned not to go - is emphasized by King's determination that they had
>to be able to 'go anywhere.'²
>
>The idea that because most of the books and bios of King fail to
>mention his time in Camden and the Maple Shade incident at Mary's Place
>only makes these facts more deeply significant rather than
>depreciating, as you make it seem as 'the best possibility.'²
>
>Instead of certifying King's time in Camden as an important but
>neglected period and the Maple Shade incident as King's first legal
>entanglement and what sparked him to make civil rights a part of his
>ministry is extremely significant. But you belittle it - and only
>belittle yourselves. I think the Stockton study is incomplete and
>should be continued and properly conducted with interviews and oral
>histories as it should have been.
>
>This story did not begin with Patrick Duff - but you should have paid
>him for all the real research he did and you failed to do.
>
>The Camden house will be saved, restored and made into the backbone of
>a new, revised community, but not because of anything you did, and
>still can do.
>
>In addition - if you are serious about MLK's time in South Jersey
>please try to locate the lost tape recording of his landmark speech to
>the Quaker conference in Cape May where he spoke of adopting
>non-violent protests as a means of social change.
>
>Now that would be significant.
>
>William Kelly
>

From: McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Sent: 12/22/2017 10:15:04 AM
To: Masterson, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Masterson@stockton.edu>
Subject: Re: Bugging You....

Michelle Craig McDonald
Associate Vice President of Academic Affairs
Stockton University
101 Vera King Farris Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205
(609) 626-3529
michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu



From: Masterson, Elizabeth
Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:20 AM
To: McDonald, Michelle
Subject: Bugging You....

Elizabeth Masterson '04
Stockton University
Office of the Provost
Elizabeth.masterson@stockton.edu
(P) 609.652.4537
(C) 609.626.5509



(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
395

From: Patrick Duff <commenting@dropboxmail.com>
Sent: 12/31/2017 6:03:51 PM
To: michelle.mcdonald@stockton.edu
Subject: Comments on MLK Biographical Investigation Final Report-11.30.17-SUBMITTED.pdf



MLK Biographical Investigation Final Report-11.30.17-SUBMITTED.pdf

[View in Dropbox](#)

PD

Patrick Duff at 5:37 PM

It takes 29 minutes to drive from 753 Walnut St. to Crozer's old campus via the Ben Franklin Bridge, which was built in the 1920's, so they wouldn't have needed to take the Walt Whitman.

[Reply directly in this email or View in Dropbox](#)

PD

Patrick Duff at 5:54 PM

Now that we also have Jethroe Hunt saying that Dr. Wiggins had to come down to Maple Shade to help them out, and also says that they were being threatened with charges, you can't claim that Wiggins d... [Read more](#)

[Reply directly in this email or View in Dropbox](#)

You may reply via email by clicking on the reply button for each conversation

© 2017 Dropbox, PO Box 77767, San Francisco, CA 94107

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
396

From: Patrick Duff <101etm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/31/2019 10:12:53 AM
To: catherine.mccabe@nj.dep.gov;Green, Derrick <Derrick.Green@nj.gov>;Marcopul, Kate <Kate.Marcopul@dep.nj.gov>;McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Cc: Linn Washington <lwashing@temple.edu>;Burney, Melanie <mburney@phillynews.com>
Subject: Fwd: Paul Schopp and Phil Cohen

***** External email alert *****

Dear DEP and Stockton Officials,

I have been sent some emails that show that the main researcher on the MLK project in Camden was trying to sabotage it from the start and also he and his cohort lied to the media about their knowledge of King's time in Camden. In 2012 in the Inquirer these same two claimed they never heard of Dr. King being in Camden, Schopp even went as far to say "King never stepped foot in Camden", yet they both had been told by one of the Hunts that he at least "visited" the home on Walnut Street. What is going on here? How could you allow a person with such an obvious bias lead your research team?

I have more emails that were sent to me that show how Schopp contacted local reporters to try and squash the information I was uncovering that I will send next.

Please respond,

Patrick Duff

From: Paul W. Schopp [mailto:pwschopp@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2015 3:09 PM
To: Collimore, Ed
Cc: 'Phil Cohen'
Subject: FW: Jeannette HJnt

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
397

Ed:

Phil has the wrong email address for you, so I am forwarding this to you.

Paul

From: Paul W. Schopp [mailto:pwschopp@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2015 3:06 PM
To: 'Phil Cohen'
Cc: '8569525119@txt.att.net'; 'edcolimore@phillynews.com'
Subject: RE: Jeannette HUnt

Phil:

I told Kelly Thursday night when he attended the Camden Historic Preservation Commission meeting that he should contact the office of the newly minted congressman, Donald Norcross, and have him ask the census bureau to check the 1950 census for King residing at 753 Walnut. I am as dubious as you are about him living there, but the 1950 census population schedules should either confirm or refute this story once and for all! We are only 7 years away from that census being released for public access, so it's not like we asking them for some relatively recent deep, dark secret!

Best,

Paul

From: Phil Cohen [mailto:phil552@reagan.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2015 2:53 PM
To: '8569525119@txt.att.net'; 'edcolimore@phillynews.com'
Cc: Paul W. Schopp
Subject: Jeannette HUnt
Importance: High

Hi Ed,

I don't know why... or rather I do know why... Ms. Hunt has changed her story about Martin Luther King staying on Walnut Street. She SPECIFICALLY told me that he never lived there, just visited.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

The ENTIRE idea of him living in Camden, then commuting to the Seminary near Chester 5 days a week, in an era long before the Walt Whitman Bridge, the Barry Bridge, or for that matter much in the way of highways is ridiculous.

Benjamin Hunt came up from Conway SC, as did King's classmate, I forget if they were related or not... perhaps were, but the connection between the roommate and the Hunts was the sole reason for King being on Walnut Street. END OF STORY.

By the way, it was Ms. Hunt who reached out to me... this was about 8-10 years ago... because Heart of Camden was trying to palm off a property on someone by claiming Dr. King had once lived there.

NOW THE DEEP STUFF:

Colandus "Kelly" Francis was born on August 12, 1934.

Martin Luther King enrolled in Crozer on September 14, 1948 and graduated on May 8, 1951.

The US Postal Service was not employing 16 year old mailmen in 1951.

This is getting to be as tacky as every old house having a "George Washington Slept Here" plaque on its door.

Phil Cohen
PO Box 2792
Bismarck ND 58502-2792

PS Having observed Kelly Francis over the years, I would NEVER expect truth when a lie would advance his agenda.

Colandus "Kelly" Francis was born on August 12, 1934.

Martin Luther King enrolled in Crozer on September 14, 1948 and graduated on May 8, 1951.

The US Postal Service was not employing 16 year old mailmen in 1951.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

From: Patrick Duff <10letm@gmail.com>
Sent: 12/31/2019 10:16:12 AM
To: catherine.mccabe@nj.dep.gov; Marcopul, Kate <Kate.Marcopul@dep.nj.gov>; Green, Derrick <Derrick.Green@nj.gov>; McDonald, Michelle <Michelle.McDonald@stockton.edu>
Cc: Linn Washington <lwashing@temple.edu>; Burney, Melanie <mburney@phillynews.com>; Michael Coard <MichaelCoard@msn.com>
Subject: Fwd: Paul Schopp smoking gun

***** External email alert *****

Here is another example of Schopp's bias. He not only questions King's honesty but he tries to make him into a villain...and this is the guy you chose to head your study? Preposterous.

From: pwschopp@comcast.net [mailto:pwschopp@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2015 9:32 PM
To: Collimore, Ed
Subject: Re: Jeannette HJht

Well, between you and me, Ed, I can't figure out why a seminary student would be stopping in a Maple Shade roadhouse for beer on a Sunday. So, in my opinion, it would not have been that much of a stretch for him to not be truthful about his address. Perhaps he didn't want anyone to know he was attending seminary, being embarrassed that he DID stop off for beer with a young lady. I can't speak to what went through his mind, I just want to see some collaborating evidence before I accept his residency in Camden.

Best,
Paul

Sent from XFINITY Connect Mobile App

On Jan 24, 2015, at 8:52 PM, "pwschopp@comcast.net" <pwschopp@comcast.net> wrote:

Ed:

There are myriad reasons why MLK would want to use McCall's address without living there. As I indicated in my previous email, the surest way to settle this issue is through accessing the 1950 federal census.

I'm not trying to rain on anyone's parade. I simply want to dot all the i's and cross all the t's. The story is too important to do otherwise.

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)

Best regards,
Paul

Sent from XFINITY Connect Mobile App

(OPRA) DUFF 010920-1(A)
401