

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 5, 2017 12:45 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: FW: Five Mile Run Historical Park

Without those things in place before hand I wouldn't support spending a \$1

On Thu, Oct 5, 2017 at 12:43 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

I think Paul is hoping the Township buy it and then work out the details of ownership, renovation and management later on since it is a time sensitive issue. I will let Paul know what the council's consensus is when it is received.

kpn

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 5, 2017 10:00 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: FW: Five Mile Run Historical Park

Advocates need to put together a coalition of Partners who will pay it. a 10-year plan and estimates on how we would renovate and run this particular venture

On Oct 5, 2017 9:58 AM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

I received an email yesterday from Paul Larson informing me that property on Lawrence Road we discussed at the meeting has reduced in price to \$250,000. Can each of you let me know your position on this?

kpn

From: Paul Larson [mailto:lvillear@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2017 2:53 PM

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 9:36 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Bid for Cell Tower Lease on Police Station Tower

Pls send bid package to me

On Oct 17, 2017 9:27 AM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

After several meetings with VZW officials and site inspections, VZW has determined that our tower at the Police Station is well situated for its use and for one other provider after some structural alterations. As a result, we intend to send out a Bid under the following description:

"For the construction and maintenance of a new telecommunications facility with antenna and related equipment to be located on the existing tower at either 145' or 132' RAD Center with equipment to be located in an expanded compound area adjacent to the existing compound."

Bid specs include a minimum bid of \$30,000 per year for a lease period of 5 years with 4 additional 5 year options to renew.

In addition to a potential additional revenue stream of at least \$60,000 per year, a Verizon Wireless presence will greatly enhance our service to the police department's cell service which has been sporadic and deficient to date. VZW has also agreed to assist with better cell service within our court/police facility (building occupants/officers have no cell phone service within the building presently due to the building's structure). Currently, our cell tower is only used as a back-up tower since our PD uses Channel 52's. This back-up situation will be provided for in any lease we sign. Chief Ubry has been involved in this process, and this lease arrangement will pose no obstacles to police operations.

I do have a copy of the bid package if you would like to review it. Please let me know if you have any questions. We can certainly discuss this more at tonight's meeting.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com>
Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 3:34 PM
To: Cathleen Lewis (cathleenmlewis@gmail.com)
Subject: Garbage Issue

-C-

Greg received this response from Solterra after our inquiry as to whether the workers are taking the trash bags out by hand and not using the auto lifter for the trash containers.....hopefully bringing this to them will cause it to stop if it was in fact happening.

"I have spoken to my drivers and they assured me that they are not doing that. Cedric is out there on a truck as well and is not doing that. It is possible that the trash may get stuck on the bottom of the pail when they dump them. I told them to make sure they are empty before they put them down.

Thank you,

Anthony La Testa
SOLTERRA RECYCLING SOLUTIONS"

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.
Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence
2207 Lawrence Road
PO Box 6006
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648
Direct - 609.844.7005
Fax - 609.895.1668
www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 4:30 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: 128 Roxboro Road (Bucci site for 6 homes)

This is a complete waste of taxpayer money!

It would be The most expensive purchase of any piece of land the township would have purchased with no connection to any other open space tract , no need from the community and solves none of the townships park or open space problems

On Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 4:15 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

At the recommendation of the Planning Board, Mr. Bucci and his son met with Jim Parvesse and I to discuss their intentions regarding the site and alternatives to the approved site plan for 6 homes. Mr. Bucci advised at the Planning Board meeting (where they were present to request a 2 year extension...and received 1 year), that the proposed development is not workable as it presently exists and a reduced amount of homes is also not financially feasible due to the amount of costs incurred due to the Township's requirements during their application process.

At this point, Bucci has offered the following solutions if the Town was so inclined:

1. Township acquires the entirety of the property at a cost of \$720,000 (which is \$120,00 per lot) for preservation as a passive park. This amount is of course negotiable;
2. The Township acquires a portion of the property (1 + Acres) as preserved land for a park while Bucci develops the remaining with single family homes (4);
3. The Township acquires the entirety of the property and Bucci's make a tax deductible donation of lots to make up the difference.

I understand that this was the subject of consideration of council at public meetings where neighbors (my father included....) attended wanting the site to not be developed and have the Township acquire it for a passive park. No one has come to me requesting a reconsideration of the Council's decision to pass on acquiring the property. I bring this to your attention because the Planning Board requested diligence from the Bucci's to take action on this site and seek alternatives; the above being action in response to the Board's request. If you have any inclination to move forward in any way as described above, please let me know either by direct email to me only or with a phone call.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE PURPOSE OF THIS EMAIL IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING A PENDING TOWNSHIP ISSUE. YOU MAY CONTACT ME DIRECTLY SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.

Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence

2207 Lawrence Road

PO Box 6006

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Direct - 609.844.7004

Fax - 609.895.1668

www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 4:32 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: 128 Roxboro Road (Bucci site for 6 homes)

That was me sugar coating it

On Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 4:31 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

Don't sugar coat it...tell me how you feel! So, that would be "no"..... See you tonight!

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 4:30 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: 128 Roxboro Road (Bucci site for 6 homes)

This is a complete waste of taxpayer money!

It would be The most expensive purchase of any piece of land the township would have purchased with no connection to any other open space tract , no need from the community and solves none of the townships park or open space problems

On Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 4:15 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

At the recommendation of the Planning Board, Mr. Bucci and his son met with Jim Parvesse and I to discuss their intentions regarding the site and alternatives to the approved site plan for 6 homes. Mr. Bucci advised at the Planning Board meeting (where they were present to request a 2 year extension...and received 1 year), that the proposed development is not workable as it presently exists and a reduced amount of homes is also

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 8:24 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: 10 b

Have you reached out to the tow companies to make them aware of the changes ? While they make sense the companies are often vocal when changes are made and I want to make sure they understand what changes are encompassed in the ordinance

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 4:48 PM
To: Christoher Bobbitt; David Maffei; Jim Kownacki (irishpol@comcast.net); Kevin Nerwinski; Mike Powers
Cc: David M. Roskos
Subject: Re: Green Acres funds

There are several issues but right now I'll focus on the Dyson trapeze the largest obstacle has never been available space or funds to make that into a recreation field the problem has always been finding adequate parking as well as a new traffic management system or expansion of the roadways around there to allow for The additional traffic that will come with the games and practices

Prior to exploring the field piece of it I think it's important for us to understand those issues and if there are any solutions that are feasible

I have also asked to no avail for the recreation committee to look to identify additional sites particularly with the parcels of land that we already have that might make good practice sites and had a separate conversation with members of the school board about if there are ways that we can be creative about practice fields and sharing them in the future I think picking One project before we look at the entirety of her inventory is shortsighted and we may it may be part of the solution but I think we need to come up with a towel white solution as well as looking at the traffic and parking issues that Dyson has surrounding it

On Wed, Oct 18, 2017 at 4:36 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

In response to Paul Larson's comments last night, we reached out to Renee Jones at Green Acres to obtain information regarding the potential forfeiture of funds. She informed us that Paul Larson contacted her and explained that the Township is motivated to acquire the subject property on Lawrence Road for the purpose of historical, recreation and open space purposes. Apparently there was some type of discussion between them which resulted in her agreement to issue a forfeiture notice to the Township....I can only assume to compel the Township to act on the proposed project. Kind of disappointing.

Renee confirms that there is up to \$211,000 of funding available for a 50% match on a qualifying project. The Township does have to update and certify its ROSI (Roster of Open Space Inventory) and also have an Open Space and Recreation Plan that is less than 10 years old (Phil Caton is currently working on the new Plan....our current one is more than 10 years old) in order for us to comply with the requirements of the funding program.

Other uses of this matching funding: I would like to explore the Dyson Tract for passive recreation fields (to allow the local sports and related organizations to have an open practice area) to take the pressure off the other fields that are being utilized during the seasons for games or potentially turfing Zimmer Field since all of the infrastructure is in place at that location.

Thoughts and feedback would be appreciated.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE PURPOSE OF THIS EMAIL IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING A PENDING TOWNSHIP ISSUE. YOU MAY CONTACT ME DIRECTLY SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.

Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence

2207 Lawrence Road

PO Box 6006

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Direct - 609.844.7004

Fax - 609.895.1668

www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 5:02 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: RE: Green Acres funds

Kevin parking and transportation issues aside my point is that doing this piecemeal is the wrong way to go about solving what is a larger problem we need a comprehensive Recreation plan that looks at the town as a whole assesses the properties we already have properties that we could purchase and the feasibility of those in addressing our shortage of field those options should be provided to council so that we are no longer making decisions in a vacuum this shouldn't be about do we want to look at one field it should be what is a comprehensive plan and which of our options is the most feasible and when

On Oct 18, 2017 4:54 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

Thank you for the response. Focusing on Dyson would of course involve exploring its feasibility to meet the need (i.e., parking, restrooms, traffic management). I am just looking for a signal from council as to whether it has an interest in a particular site or not. It certainly isn't smart to expend time and energy on a site that is non-starter with council for whatever reason. The conversation has to be pushed or else it won't advance and nothing will get done. I will wait to hear from the other members and report back to you all.

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [<mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 4:48 PM
To: Christoher Bobbitt; David Maffei; Jim Kownacki (irishpol@comcast.net); Kevin Nerwinski; Mike Powers
Cc: David M. Roskos
Subject: Re: Green Acres funds

There are several issues but right now I'll focus on the Dyson trapeze the largest obstacle has never been available space or funds to make that into a recreation field the problem has always been finding adequate parking as well as a new traffic management system or expansion of the roadways around there to allow for The additional traffic that will come with the games and practices

Prior to exploring the field piece of it I think it's important for us to understand those issues and if there are any solutions that are feasible

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 7:14 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Chief Ubry

I am happy to have a conversation with you whenever you would like, your email raises even more concerns than when you and I initially spoke.

On Mon, Oct 23, 2017 at 6:01 PM, Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

<http://www.trentonian.com/general-news/20171023/lawrence-police-chief-hit-with-sexual-harassment-complaint>

Mayor and Council:

Following up with my brief phone call to each of you, above is the link to the article regarding a sexual harassment complaint against the chief by [REDACTED]. The article contains no substantive information but simply states that a sexual harassment complaint had been filed by [REDACTED].

Although I have been under a gag order (i.e., precluded by law to speak with anyone about this matter) by the Prosecutor's officer since the inception of it's investigation, I can reveal to you the following sequence of events:

The same day that I originally met with [REDACTED] who reported allegations to me, I made the determination that there were of a substance that pursuant to Attorney General Guidelines required my reporting them to the Mercer County Prosecutor's Office. Before doing so, I met with labor counsel Jen Roselle, who confirmed my obligation. That same afternoon I contacted Mercer County Prosecutor Angelo Onofri and reported the allegations to him. He placed me under a "gag" order. I met with the Prosecutor and Investigators as soon as they were able to gather (2 days later) and they took control and commenced the investigation. Pending the outcome, I made arrangements [REDACTED] to the Recreation office to separate her from the Chief for obvious reasons.

Today, the Mayor came to see me indicating that the Trentonian called him wanting a comment on the "sexual harassment" complaint against the Chief. I informed him that I was unable to speak substantively to him about the allegations, but confirmed that a complaint had been made and is being investigated by the Prosecutor's Office. After that meeting, I met with Chief Ubry to discuss how I was proceeding and that, of course, pending

the prosecutor's investigation I am taking no action against at this time...not on the allegations that were made to me by Ms. Thomas. I received a call from Councilmember Bobbitt who had received the same request from the Trentonian...and I explained the same to him as I did to the Mayor. Councilman Powers called and I spoke with him giving the same details. Immediately thereafter, I had a meeting with the Lieutenants of the Police Dept to inform them about what was happening and to make sure they understood that "allegations" do not mean guilt and that our Township position is that we are to have no comment until the Prosecutor completes the investigation. Once that meeting concluded, I called Councilmember Lewis with the intent to provide her with the same information as the other members. I then called Councilmember Kownacki to let him know what was happening.

The Prosecutor does not intend to reach a conclusion to the investigation for a couple of weeks. Once I have been informed of the result and have been released to speak with you substantively I will. I caution all of you that these are allegations and they have been taken seriously and dealt with diligently. We have two employees involved in this, and I committed to treating both of them fairly and properly. I am not looking for a response as I would like to limit our communications on this issue at this time.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE PURPOSE OF THIS EMAIL IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING A PENDING TOWNSHIP ISSUE. YOU MAY CONTACT ME DIRECTLY SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.

Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence

2207 Lawrence Road

PO Box 6006

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Direct - 609.844.7004

Fax - 609.895.1668

www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.



1990

10

1

3

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 7:30 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: RE: Chief Ubry

I'm sorry we are not done. I don't want to talk about the allegations (those will be sorted out through the investigation). The way that this has been handled brings up significant concerns, I am happy to discuss those with you or I can ask my questions at the next public meeting.

On Oct 23, 2017 7:20 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

It doesn't. As I said, when the Prosecutor has concluded his investigation, I will speak with all of you. We are done on this subject.

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 7:14 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Chief Ubry

I am happy to have a conversation with you whenever you would like, your email raises even more concerns than when you and I initially spoke.

On Mon, Oct 23, 2017 at 6:01 PM, Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

<http://www.trentonian.com/general-news/20171023/lawrence-police-chief-hit-with-sexual-harassment-complaint>

Mayor and Council:

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 9:39 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Ixp

It has been brought to my attention that at some point recently IXP incorrectly transferred a call to Princeton because they had a Princeton mailing address. Please answer the following questions:

When did this occur

Was the recent ok (or could the delay have caused further problems)

What steps have been taken to ensure this does not happen again?

Why was council not informed of this incident

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski; pkiriakatis@lawrencetwp.com
Subject: RE: ELSA

These ongoing increases are outrageous especially since we are continually given the same opaque answer for thier cause and assurances that it will stop further increases

Please have Bob provide the following for the meeting

A ten year look back at budget, capital expenses, rate increase and resident increase

A ten year look back detailing all capital expenditures

A five year prospective budget including rate increase and resident increase

A five year prospective capital budget

On Oct 19, 2017 12:43 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

See attachment. Docs provided to me by Mr. Filler for your review.

From: Kevin Nerwinski
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 11:34 AM
To: David Maffei (dmaffei@lawrencetwp.com); Mike Powers; Jim Kownacki (irishpol@comcast.net); Cathleen Lewis (cathleenmlewis@gmail.com); 'Christopher Bobbitt'
Cc: Clerk; 'David M. Roskos'; Peter Kiriakatis
Subject: ELSA

Mayor and Council:

As a follow up, and to be clear, the sewer rates will not increase in 2018 with the use of the Rate Stabilization Fund as the offset. Last year a determination was made to do a combination of increase and use of the Rate Stabilization Fund. Again, 2 years of an increase to residents is not appropriate and utilizing the Fund for the purpose intended is the best course in my opinion.

I have asked Bob Filler to come to our November 9 meeting for a brief presentation, to answer questions, and to provide us with his view of future budgeting issues.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE

PURPOSE OF THIS EMAIL IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING A PENDING TOWNSHIP ISSUE. YOU MAY CONTACT ME DIRECTLY SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.

Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence

2207 Lawrence Road

PO Box 6006

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Direct - 609.844.7004

Fax - 609.895.1668

www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 12:10 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: RE: Ixp

Autocorrect must have changed patient to recent, my apologies.

And I whole heartedly disagree with your assessment that council should not have been notified, as it presents a public safety issue, it may impact our desire to extend thier contract and at the end of the day word of it has gotten back to members of council and created questions from the public - that fact alone makes it worthy of notice.

On Oct 25, 2017 12:02 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Thank you for your email. I will provide you with answers when received. What do you mean by "Was the recent ok?"

Clearly, council does not need to be informed of this incident. However, since you have made the inquiry, I will provide you with the details when received.

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 9:39 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Ixp

It has been brought to my attention that at some point recently Ixp incorrectly transferred a call to Princeton because they had a Princeton mailing address. Please answer the following the questions:

When did this occur

Was the recent ok (or could the delay have caused further problems)

What steps have been taken to ensure this does not happen again?

Why was council not informed of this incident

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 11:00 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: RE: Ixp

It was handled by the dispatchers I will find out more info but it leads me to believe IXP did not bring it to the chiefs attention which causes a larger question.

And we can not agree to disagree on this issue. This is a basic metric by which you are judged if you can do your job. I have been clear about this since the interview process, any concern that impacts Public Safety, public perception or anything that a resident could ask us because it is out of the ordinary that you are aware of we should be made aware of immediately this is the metric by which you will be judged on whether or not you can perform the basic functions of your job

On Oct 26, 2017 10:40 AM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

Chief Ubry needs more information to research the incident and retrieve the call information:

1. Was it a 911 call? Or did it come through the main #?
2. Date and time (estimated) call was made?
3. Address of event (if possible).

As you can imagine, there are many calls made to the service so instead of wasting time searching through days or weeks of logs, the information above would be helpful. Thank you.

As far as reporting an event such as this to council, we will have to disagree on whether it is appropriate. The performance of vendors doing business with our Township will always be addressed at the time a decision is to be made on whether to renew the contract. But day to day reporting to council members on the operations of Township business for vendors contracted to business with us seems to me to be improper in our form of government. If members of council wish to address me individually on Cathleen's concern and my position on it, please feel free to do so. I am certainly open to feedback.

Kevin

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 28, 2017 11:17 AM
To: Jim Parvesse; Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Smart signals

Can you please provide me an inventory of which intersections currently have smart signals (including state roads) and which planning or the traffic bureau would like to have them in the future

Thanks
Cathleen

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 8:35 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

This email is inconsistent with your last update on the shopping center in which you said the new owners has no interest in redevelopment .

That first email raised concerns that I hadn't yet addressed with you. But I'll start from here. Prior to talking with one applicant or another there should be a thoughtful strategic plan in place before we choose one project for the affordable housing component.

Project freedom may be a great fit but we need to look at what makes sense on that site, what makes sense for the owners and what will help us get to the numbers we agreed to on that site in the most thoughtful way.

We need to bring Phil in so that we create a plan that not only hits all those points but to ensure we do it in the best way to make all redevelopment tools available to us.

On Oct 29, 2017 2:43 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Mayor and Council:

FYI...

On Friday, October 27, Jim Parvesse, Andy Link and I met with officers of Project Freedom (Timothy J. Doherty and Tracee Battis) at their request. They indicated that they have had a preliminary meeting with ownership of the Lawrence Shopping Center to determine interest in Project Freedom utilizing a portion of the property (the parking lot area closest to Princeton Pike and adjacent to Burlington store) (see pic and diagram) for the purpose of building a 4 building 72 unit complex (which will have the potential of **100 affordable housing credits** if deemed an area of redevelopment). The owners of the shopping center and Project Freedom met last month and it seemed there was interest in pursuing this on their end. I am attaching some pictures provided to us at the meeting with regard to the 3-story buildings that would be involved. The obvious positive points for Project Freedom is the easy accessible location to a major retail location for residents (as well as bus routes). There is buffer to the rear of the proposed complex and the four building complex would be enclosed to the entrance lanes going into the shopping center. The Google Earth pic has my hand sketch of what would be how the buildings would be positioned. Project Freedom does intend to meet again with the shopping center ownership for a more meaningful discussion. I will keep you informed of any further developments.

NOTE: 72 Units was described as Project Freedom's "sweet spot" for a self-sufficient, viable location. The Township would have to acquire the property from the Shopping Center and, of course, it would be PILOT situation. I have asked Project Freedom to provide us with a better initial "site plan" diagram showing the foot print(s) of the buildings situated upon the current parking lot.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH "OPMA" LAW, DO NOT RESPOND SUBSTANTIVELY TO THIS EMAIL. THERE CAN BE NO EXCHANGE OF IDEAS OR THOUGHTS AMONG YOU BY EMAIL ON THE ISSUE DISCLOSED HEREIN. THE PURPOSE OF THIS EMAIL IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING A PENDING TOWNSHIP ISSUE. YOU MAY CONTACT ME DIRECTLY SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Kevin

Kevin P. Nerwinski, Esq.

Municipal Manager/QPA - Township of Lawrence

2207 Lawrence Road

PO Box 6006

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Direct - 609.844.7004

Fax - 609.895.1668

www.lawrencetwp.com

NOTE: I am not authorized to practice law on behalf of the Township of Lawrence. Communications with me are not protected by the attorney-client privilege.

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 12:53 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

Again, you have misunderstood my question there should be a redevelopment plan put into place or at least started before we talk to any interested party.

We shouldn't be responding to piecemeal requests we should be looking ahead, planning for what works best for the township and then evaluating how any given proposal works within that plan

On Mon, Oct 30, 2017 at 11:40 AM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

As are most things, this is a fluid situation. My initial reporting to Mayor and Council reflected the substance of the meeting I had with ownership of the Lawrence Shopping Center. Sometime after that meeting, Project

Freedom reached out and met with the ownership of the Lawrence Shopping Center and proposed locating a site on the parking lot area nearest to Princeton Pike. After that meeting, and receiving a positive response from them, Project Freedom reached out to me for the meeting I had described in my email to all of you.

These are preliminary meetings to gauge interest. I have informed Phil Caton and we will be meeting to discuss a process moving forward. Of course, this all rests with the inclinations of the property owner, who I will be contacting in the near future.

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 8:35 AM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

This email is inconsistent with your last update on the shopping center in which you said the new owners has no interest in redevelopment .

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 1:49 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

That Explanation shows a clear misunderstanding of not only my questions but your basic job function
You should take the meeting but be able to than frame it as something that is either in the long term plan or not
or something that is outside but of merit
The council has twice taken action that calls for a redevelopment plan for that site
Your job is not just to administer the day to day but to ensure that there is an overall plan and strategy to make
sure that every decision is moving forward in th direction council has set forward.
Council has clearly said we need a plan first in encouraging and endorsing the idea of a redevelopment area at
the shopping center and then when we put it in our affordable housing plan .
Until there is a plan in place the answer to every question has to be how does this help or hurt our ability to
move the town forward in a Holistic sense. And we need to be able to see al options before choosing which
makes sense that's why there needs to be a plan

On Mon, Oct 30, 2017 at 1:04 PM Kevin Nerwinski <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

I don't misunderstand you. I just don't agree with you and your assessment. But thank you for your feedback. Putting
time, effort and resources into a plan that may not even be viable from the property owner's standpoint, does not
make sense to me. Unfortunately, these preliminary meetings have to take place and we work from there.

Further, if Project Freedom (or any other entity) seeks a meeting with me on potential affordable housing projects
which will satisfy our obligation, I'm taking the meeting and reporting to Mayor and Council about its proposal.

Have a great day.

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 12:53 PM

Kevin Nerwinski

From: Cathleen Lewis <cathleenmlewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: FW: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

I take that response to mean that either the plan and how this would fit into the plan will either be provided to me prior to our next council meeting or we will be having this discussion at said council meeting

On Oct 30, 2017 3:16 PM, "Kevin Nerwinski" <knerwinski@lawrencetwp.com> wrote:

Cathleen:

Thank you again for your input and assessment of this situation.

Kevin

From: Cathleen Lewis [mailto:cathleenmlewis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 1:49 PM

To: Kevin Nerwinski
Subject: Re: Project Freedom and Lawrence Shopping Center site

That Explanation shows a clear misunderstanding of not only my questions but your basic job function

You should take the meeting but be able to than frame it as something that is either in the long term plan or not or something that is outside but of merit

The council has twice taken action that calls for a redevelopment plan for that site

Your job is not just to administer the day to day but to ensure that there is an overall plan and strategy to make sure that every decision is moving forward in th direction council has set forward.

Council has clearly said we need a plan first in encouraging and endorsing the idea of a redevelopment area at the shopping center and then when we put it in our affordable housing plan .

Until there is a plan in place the answer to every question has to be how does this help or hurt our ability to move the town forward in a Holistic sense. And we need to be able to see al options before choosing which makes sense that's why there needs to be a plan