

made and he would like it voted on tonight so that VMMS might have a principal as soon as possible.

MOTION TO
TABLE (H)
UNDER PERS
TEACHING
MOTION
DEFEATED

After some discussion, during which Mr. Stites stated that he did not want to vote without any prior knowledge of the other candidates, motion was made by Mr. Stites, seconded by Mrs. Benton with the following roll call vote to table Item (h) under Personnel-Teaching until the January 11, 1990 meeting: Mr. Stites, yes; Mrs. Russell, no; Mrs. Benton, yes; Mr. Leahy, no and Mr. Cevalasco, no. Motion was defeated.

MOTION TO
APPROVE
ITEM (H)

Motion was made by Mrs. Russell, seconded by Mr. Leahy and carried by the following roll call vote to approve item (h) under Personnel-teaching as follows: Mr. Stites, no; Mrs. Russell, yes; Mrs. Benton, yes; Mr. Leahy, yes and Mr. Cevalasco, yes.

APPROVE
RAYMOND
MESSEMER
PRINCIPAL
VMMS

Item (h) Motion that the Board of Education approve the appointment of Mr. Raymond Messemer, to the position of Principal (12 months) at the Veterans Memorial Middle School, at a salary of \$66,575.00 (Pro rata \$32,177.92) representing Step 9 Master's + 30 salary guide, effective January 5, 1990. Mr. Stites stated that he had voted no on item (h) because he feels it is a violation of the Sunshine Law. Mr. Collins was asked to have an answer prepared for Mr. Stites, in writing, on this matter.

PERS. NON
TEACHING

Personnel-non-teaching items were reviewed by Mr. Stutts with all in agreement.

POLICY
DISCUSS
PARENT
ASSOCIATION
POLICY
REVISION

Policy - Discussion was held among Board Members regarding the Parent Associations Policy. Mrs. Russell felt that a statement should be added to this Policy that confidential school personnel should not participate in Parent Associations. Mr. Stites felt this might be discrimination. Mrs. Benton suggested before adding anything to the Policy, the Board Attorney should get together with the Policy Committee and create a Policy that is constitutionally correct, she would like this in writing.

AUDIENCE
COMMENTS
RE: POLICY
REVISION
PROPOSAL
PARENT
ASSOC.

Several PTA officers from the audience offered comments regarding this proposed Policy revision. They felt that this addendum would be defamation of character. They felt that if there has been no violation then why change the Policy. Mr. Stites explained that the words "direct them" were removed to eliminate domination of the organizations. It was felt that it is understood that officers direct. After some more discussion, Mr. Cevalasco suggested that the Policy Committee should come back to the Board with any revisions to this Policy after they have reviewed it with the Board Attorney.